Iran Upholds Enlightenment Principles with Revisionist Conference

by Michael A. Hoffman II

Iran's "Holocaust" conference opened Dec. 11, and in spite of some imperfections, it marked an historic event, the first time any government in the world has sought to examine the Allied claims about mass execution gas chambers allegedly used by the Germans at Auschwitz.

Of course the conference is not reported in these terms by "our" media. The corporate media reports don't refer to "gas chamber denial" but rather to that Orwellian neologism, "Holocaust' denial." The allusion to "denying" a "Holocaust" begs the question by confusing skepticism toward gas chambers as a weapon of mass murder, with the notion that revisionists are questioning the existence of concentrations camps and persecution of Judaics, which they are not. The resulting confusion is exploited by the Western media to further obscure the truth, as a service to Israeli mythology.

One of the leading experts on the Auschwitz homicidal gas chamber claims cannot attend the conference in Iran. He's been in jail in Germany for several months. Former Max Planck chemist Germar Rudolf has written scientifically rigorous books on the Auschwitz facilities and for that "crime" he is presently on trial in Mannheim, facing five years in prison. Almost all news of his trial has been suppressed by "our free world media."

British Prime Minister Tony Blair has called the Iran conference "stupid." But anyone with an Internet connection and comprehension of English can read Germar's brilliant revisionist book online and quickly determine that the execution gas chamber claims are anything but the undeniable Holy Writ that the British Prime Minister proclaims them to be. Read Germar's book online: http://www.vho.org/dl/ENG/trr.pdf

The homicidal gas chamber imposture is mainly the product of a media protection racket, backed by the state and certain corporations. Revisionist investigators are ridiculed, blacklisted and boycotted in the US and their professional careers hindered and harmed. Google, an Internet enterprise that has reaped billions of dollars from the freedom and openness of the Internet, has this year implemented a policy of banning gas chamber revisionism from its Google Video site.

Paypal, the Internet banking arm of the online auction house, Ebay, which also got rich off the open nature of the pioneer Internet, bans payments for revisionist books if the books are advertised on a web page. Companies that have made vast fortunes off the freedom the Internet offered, are crafting a restricted Internet which hinders free expression and inquiry.

In Canada, Europe and Australia, the establishment is so fearful of the findings of revisionist researchers that they are silenced through prosecution and in some cases, jail sentences. Others have been fired from their jobs and beaten by Zionist terrorists. One revisionist school teacher, Francois Duprat, was murdered.

If the questions revisionists ask about the killing operation in Auschwitz really were as absurd as the Judaics claim, revisionist skeptics would be left unmolested. It is because the establishment has something to hide that it uses a blacklist in the US and dungeons in Europe to suppress revisionist scholars, even while hypocritically lecturing Muslims on democracy and an open society.

Hoffman

At the crux of the debate is the murder weapon. If NASA claimed to have sent astronauts to the moon but couldn't produce the rocket that carried them there, anyone would rightly question NASA's claims. Yet the Judaic establishment has never produced anything approaching a credible prototype of an Auschwitz execution gas chamber. This is what the leading forensic revisionist sleuths such as Carlo Mattogno, Ditlieb Felderer, Brian Renk, Fred Leuchter, Robert Faurisson and Germar Rudolf have highlighted. Those who have toured the gas chamber at San Quentin and compared it with early depictions and representations of Auschwitz homicidal gas chambers will spot the enormous difference between the two. The authentic gas chamber in San Quentin resembles a space capsule decompression chamber. The fakes in Auschwitz are by comparison, a jury-rigged joke. But don't laugh because that would be "denial."

It is often said that the execution gas chambers existed because eyewitnesses testify to their existence. What should be said is that fantasists have spun tales that appear unimpeachable because they have never been cross-examined, since in the Wonderland logic of

Holocaustianity, to question is to commit the sin of denial. The theological dimension is paramount. One may deny the Virgin birth of Mary or the Resurrection of Jesus Christ without penalty or prosecution, but it is quite another matter in the West to deny the central tenets of the "Shoah" (the rabbinic term for the myth of the Six Million). By that criterion it can be seen that the vaunted agnostics and secularists of Europe are upholders of Holocaustianity, the last European fundamentalism still commanding blind faith and a divine mandate to punish heretics.

In the 1985 trial of Ernst Zundel in Toronto on charges of denying that the kabbalistic "Six Million" dead Judaics statistic was accurate, this reporter sat in the press gallery and observed as the Crown prosecutor paraded several of these supposed infallible "Holocaust' Survivors" on the witness stand, including Rudolf Vrba, whose WWII-era report of mass gassings in Auschwitz led the future Pope John XXIII to lead a secret campaign against Pope Pius XII for having failed to believe the "eyewitness testimony" of Vrba. But the Zundel trial proved that Pius had been prudent to doubt Vrba who, under the withering cross-examination of Zundel's defense attorney Doug Christie, admitted that his report was only "an artistic picture" and "not a document for a court."

These are the truths elicited when we are permitted the basic human right to doubt and question. Lies can only survive and prosper in a vacuum where all counter-claims and challenges are suppressed.

When Steven Spielberg filmed "Schindler's List" he dared not depict homicidal gas chambers in operation for fear that revisionists would pick his portrayal to pieces. When Eisenhower, Churchill and De Gaulle wrote their multi-volume World War II memoirs they published not one word about the execution gas chambers which, in our time, have become the central pillar upon which the history of the war in the Atlantic theatre is based.

Were there gas chambers in Auschwitz? Most assuredly and that's why this writer qualifies the term by preceding it with the words "execution" or "homicidal." The concentration camps were plagued by the typhus louse and the Germans had facilities, i.e. "gas chambers" for fumigating bedding and clothing as a prophylactic against this dreaded disease that killed Ann Frank and tens of thousands of others. These fumigaton chambers could not accommodate humans, as Ditlieb Felderer (himself of Judaic ethnicity) documented in his photographs of Auschwitz-Birkenau which he took in the late 1970s.

Did Hitler harbor a racist hatred for Judaic people? Most assuredly, and out of that hatred came his toleration for mass shootings of Judaic civilians by some SS units on the Eastern Front. Hitler was just as evil as Churchill and Roosevelt, who ordered the mass murder of German and Japanese civilians. But then as now, when the terror bombs are delivered by airplanes, the crime somehow is exculpated in the eyes of the sleepwalkers of Europe and America. But there is no order extant from Hitler or his underlings commanding the mass murder of Judaics in gas chambers and the weapon itself is missing, so it's normal for thinking persons to harbor a healthy skepticism about "gassings." The abnormality is found among those who believe blindly and denounce those who have the courage to dissent.

> ...we should not lose sight of the human right to think independently and ask difficult and seemingly sacrilegious questions.

Amid all the editorial page huffing and puffing against Iran and revisionism that will appear like smog in the next few days, the finger-wagging bromides and platitudes, the narrow-minded ridicule by bigots who have never read a single revisionist book, we should not lose sight of the human right to think independently and ask difficult and seemingly sacrilegious questions.

For some of us, the word of "The Holy People" about "The Holocaust" is not enough. It has been a truism since at least the Enlightenment that without the right to question a holy dogma, even one alleged to have been proclaimed by heaven or Christ's "vicar on earth," or Calvin in the "holy citadel of Geneva," knowledge and human advancement are not possible.

When James Macpherson, a Scottish Nationalist, purported in the 18th century to have discovered epics and sagas in Gaelic, these were rapturously received by the British public. However, "mean-spirited" intellectuals such as Samuel Johnson challenged Macpherson to provide the original documents upon which he based his claims. Johnson told Macpherson, "I thought your book an imposture from the beginning and what I have heard of your morals disposes me to pay regard not to what you shall say, but to what you can prove." Macpherson blustered but never produced the evidence.

We have the right to declare to the Simon Wiesenthal Center, the Yad Vashem museum, the US State Department and Congress, Tony Blair, Koffi Annan, the governments of Germany and Israel and Zionists everywhere, your morals dispose us to pay regard not to what you shall say, but to what you can prove. According to revisionist "heretics," about the execution gas chambers, the Judaics and their allies have thus far proved nothing.

It's remarkable that it is the Iranians with their revisionist conference in Tehran who are the contemporary guarantors of Enlightenment principles, while the post-modern West lies submerged in the darkness, superstition and self-protective inertia of the religious fundamentalism that is Holocaustianity.

Copyright © 2006 RevisionistHistory.org
Permission to reprint granted provided no changes are made.

For further reference: My book on the 1985 Zundel trial, "The Great Holocuast Trial" is out print but may be obtained through Amazon.com and other purveyors of used and rare books.

Acknowledgment: I am indebted to Charles Dawson for the account of the Macpherson-Johnson exchange.

Independent History and Research
Box 849 • Coeur d'Alene • Idaho 83816
www.RevisionistHistory.org