

Phoenix Journal

231



By Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn

Table Of Contents

CHAPTER 1	1
SETUP FROM THE START!	1
EMERGING INFO ABOUT ARRESTS OF “BIO-TERRORISTS”	1
NEWS DESK SPECIAL REPORT Dr. Al Overholt 2/28/98	3
THE TRUTH ABOUT THE ARREST OF THE BIOTERRORISTS	3
THE CONSPIRACY AGAINST LARRY HARRIS	
by Dr. Len Horowitz, Co-Author with Terry W. Stough	8
INTRODUCTION FOR THE CLARIFICATION	9
BACKGROUND OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	9
HEADLINE NEWS AND THE NEED	
FOR BIOLOGICAL PROPAGANDA	10
PREVIOUS AND CURRENT EVENTS	11
ENTER MR. RONALD ROCKWELL	11
CONCLUSION	13
FOOTNOTES	14
THE GREAT LAS VEGAS ANTHRAX PLOT By Vin Suprynowicz	14
‘GO WRITE YOUR OWN CIVIL DEFENSE MANUAL’	15
TIME MACHINES AND UFOS	16
FALSE ALARM	17
3/2/98 DR. AL OVERHOLT	19
THE SILENCE BEFORE THE AMBUSH OF LARRY WAYNE HARRIS	19
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:	22
CHAPTER 2	23
THE NEWS DESK - Dr. Al Overholt 2/28/98	23
GENE-ENGINEERED ANTHRAX: IS IT A WEAPON?	23
DEMJANJUK GETS U.S. CITIZENSHIP BACK	23
SATMAR HASID ACKNOWLEDGES ZIONIST	24
SABOTAGE OF HIMMLER’S PLAN FOR WWII RESCUE OF JEWS	24
THOSE CLINTON POLLS—SCIENCE OR SOOTHSAYING?	24
MRS. CLINTON A MODEL FOR YOUNG COMMUNISTS	26
FEDS ARGUE SHARPSHOOTER SHOULD NOT FACE CHARGES	27
MORE INFORMATION ON THE 1918 “SPANISH” FLU	27
THE REAL ORIGINAL AMERICAN	28
MORE ON JOSEPH McCARTHY	29
CLINTON AND HIS BUDDY ABE FOXMAN	29
THAT’S NOT ALL	30
TEST EFFECTIVENESS	31
TREASURES IN PRISON CELLS	31
GOLD AND SILVER IN OUR PRISONS	31
YOU’RE NOT GETTING OLD, YOU’RE GETTING POISONED!	32
CHURCH INVESTING IN ARMS TRADE	33

UNITED RELIGIONS INITIATIVE	33
OPEN LETTER TO US ALL	34
WHAT PRAYER CAN DO	35
CHAPTER 3	36
DEALING WITH THE I.R.S. LAWFULLY AND LEGALLY	
by Ray Bilger 2/25/98	36
CHAPTER 4	45
KORTON: WAR GOING ON RIGHT IN FRONT OF YOU!	
2/24/98 KORTON	45
YOU WERE THE LIGHT UNTO THE WORLD	46
THE MYSTERY OF THE SO-CALLED	
SOUTH AFRICAN DOUBLE FLASH	46
RUSSIAN WEATHER MODIFICATION TECHNIQUES	48
COSMOSPHERE USE IN WEATHER MODIFICATION	48
FIRST OPERATIONAL TEST OF RUSSIAN MOON BASES	49
THE KREMLIN UNLEASHES ITS LATEST WEAPON	51
CHAPTER 5	54
THE WORLDLINE HEPTAMERON AN UNCENSORED HISTORY	
OF OUR ORIGINS AND OVERLORDS PART IV IN A SERIES	
by Calvin Burgin 2/5/98	54
SHEM'S CHRONOLOGY	54
WHO WERE CAIN AND TUBALCAIN?	55
CLAY TABLET KING LISTS	57
THE POST-FLOOD WORLD	61
THE CURSING OF CANAAN	62
NOAH LOST PRIESTHOOD	63
NOAH'S WIFE	63
NEW WORLD ORDER	65
GOVERNMENTS AFTER THE FLOOD	65
THE FIRST KINGDOMS	66
WHO WAS HAM?	67
WHO WAS MIZRAIM?	67
WHO WAS PHUT?	69
WHO WAS CANAAN?	69
WHO WAS KENKENES?	70
CHAPTER 6	71
IS AGGRESSION TOWARD IRAQ A WAR ABOUT	
POWERS OF U.S. CONSTITUTION?	71
AT WAR WITH THE U.S. CONSTITUTION71 PART I	
by Dr. John Coleman 3/3/98	71
ON THE CONSTITUTIONALLY MANDATED	
DECLARATION OF WAR:	73
CAN THE PRESIDENT ORDER THE MILITIA	
TO SERVE ACROSS THE SEAS?	76
"ARTICLE III"	80

THE FIG LEAF	81
“UNITED NATIONS” EXCUSE	81
CLOSING CONCLUSIONS:	85
CHAPTER 7	86
THE NEWS DESK - by Dr. Al Overholt 3/7/98	86
MEXICAN INVASION COMPARABLE TO WAR	86
NEW COUNTRY	86
LOTS OF VICTIMS	86
AMERICA AS ‘BRAINLESS LOUT’ IN MIDDLE EAST	88
BABIES OF PRIESTLY CASTE SOUGHT	88
IRAN AND IRAQ FORGE ANTI-WEST PACT	89
YOU’LL LOVE IT—WE WENT THERE SEVEN YEARS AGO AND HAD A SUPER TIME	90
THE DIALOG ON RACE	91
MEDIA NOT REPORTING MANY DYING FROM FLESH-EATING BACTERIA	91
SICK OF MANAGED CARE	91
CAUSE OF DEATH	92
THE DAILY QUESTION AND ANSWER	92
A NEWSWEEK POLL	93
GEM OF THE DAY	93
WOMAN AWARDED DAMAGES OVER CUSTOMS SEARCH	93
HATS OFF	93
ASPIRIN-A-DAY HOAX	94
1,000-MHZ MILESTONE	95
PAPER-THIN COMPUTERS	95
WEST COAST BEACH HEAD	96
WAR CONTINUES	96
FUMIGANT PROTESTED	97
GLOBAL COOLING	97
PAYOFFS MAY NOT PAY OFF	97
TERM LIMITS WINNING	98
WHY TERRORISM?	98
CAPITALISM SI!	98
PERSONAL FROM THE EDITOR	98
WHITE HOUSE FOLLIES	99
MEDIA HOAX IN BOSNIA EXPOSED	99
AN ANGEL IN THE MIDST OF A FIRE	100
CHAPTER 8	101
THE WORLDLINE HEPTAMERON AN UNCENSORED HISTORY OF OUR ORIGINS AND OVERLORDS PART V IN A Series by Calvin Burgin 2/5/98	101
WHO WAS UENEPHES?	101
THE REIGN OF CUSH	102
NIMROD	103

WHO WAS GILGAMESH?	103
NIMROD IN MESOPOTAMIA	104
WHAT HAPPENED AT THE TOWER OF BABEL?.....	105
THE CHINESE CONFIRMATION OF BABEL.....	106
ONE LANGUAGE BEFORE BABEL, OR MANY?	109
THE ST. PETER'S INTERPRETERS	109
DYNASTY OF CUSH (KISH).....	110
SEX-WORSHIP RELIGION	111
TAMMUZ.....	111
INANNA.....	112
CHAPTER 9	113
ATTENTION ALL VETS!.....	113
HERE'S A SLICK ONE by Thomas Todd 3/3/98	113
CHAPTER 10	114
SOLTEC: GROWING IN HARMONY WITH GREAT MOTHER EARTH	
3/12/98 SOLTEC	114
3/13/98 SOLTEC	115

CHAPTER 1

SETUP FROM THE START!

EMERGING INFO ABOUT ARRESTS OF “BIO-TERRORISTS”

Editor’s note: Something smelled pretty rotten from the start, wouldn’t you agree? Especially the way the timing unfolded.

*Microbiologists Larry Wayne Harris and William Levitt were arrested in Las Vegas by the ever-vigilant FBI and promptly labeled evil, anthrax-carrying “bio-terrorists” by the controlled major media, early on Thursday morning, February 19. Ahem—this happened **just after** the fiasco of the evening before at the staged “town hall meeting” at Ohio State University.*

You remember that Command Performance. It featured her lowness, Queen Madeleine, in the leading role, and included a supporting cast of her smirking, dutiful entourage of robotoid minions trying their conniving best to create the “mother of all pep rallies” to grease the skids for war in the Middle East.

BUT—even with the front rows filled with a buffer of well-behaved military personnel, plus well-rehearsed, seasoned CNN broadcast commentators refereeing the event—all placed strategically to try to infuse an aire of dignity, The Performance was still seen through by most of the outraged and noisy public in attendance as no more than a snow job. The event sold about like hot coffee would on a sweltering July afternoon.

So, it looked like it was now clearly time for heavy spin-doctoring to get the public in step with a Middle East war agenda.

But surely the “bio-terrorists” scare of the very next morning was merely a “coincidence”.

What is that snicker I hear?

We presented some initial commentary on this matter of those evil “bio-terrorists” on page 40 of last week’s CONTACT. But it was obvious at the time that there HAD to be much more to what happened—what with the media’s complete inability to refer to the matter without weaving nasty Saddam and helpless Iraq into the vegetable soup at every turn of the blather crank. One way or another the public was going to be stirred-up AGAINST Saddam and FOR war, even if through such a convoluted route as this approach.

Considering what other remarkable distractions were capturing the headlines at the time—and by that I mean the heavy storms courtesy of weather engineering (which “accidentally” even seem to figure into this event, too, as you’ll read below)—one would sooner have expected peppy El Nino

to catch the blame, before tired ol' Saddam. (By the way, don't miss Commander Kortan's lesson on this subject of weather engineering warfare elsewhere in this week's CONTACT.)

And speaking of changing "weather" patterns, the crooks who engineered this "bio-terrorist" event left their usual fingerprints in the form of their usual hasty and botched-up job of preparation. They had to change their stories more often than has President Zipper, with each passing hour and necessary revision of the "facts" to save face in the Light of what could be too easily proven was blatant lie.

Well, now some time has passed and some interesting details ARE coming out about these most curious arrests and surrounding events. The truth of the matter is ever so much more interesting (and, thankfully, embarrassing to those who tried to stage this event) than what the media prostitutes were told to blather at the time.

Even Art Bell became uneasy, agitated, and resisted entertaining the obvious "coincidences" as callers-in to his large-audience late-night radio talk-show continued to point them out that evening.

Serious names show up in these reports, including Dr. Len Horowitz, who has electrified the Front Page of CONTACT several times in recent years with the results of his courageous research on our own government's despicable bug warfare shenanigans and outright lying about same. The antics which drew him into this event end up only strengthening the case of a frame-up on several fronts.

And get this: even the exasperating George Green puppet figures in this picture—if only in a small way, befitting his actual capabilities and stature—apparently executing his usual roles of deceit and collusion for his puppet masters.

As was said in an introductory editorial last week on page 40, it is important for all who are trying to navigate the rough waters of the Big League to THINK FIRST and remain ever vigilant concerning their actions, since ones may be used for the purposes of the elite controllers without (or before) realizing that such has occurred. This is especially prone to happen when well-intentioned but under-aware ones wander onto the Major League Playing Field without adequately understanding the stakes of the Larger Game in progress.

If world depopulation and a war in the Middle East are important parts of the dark agenda, then anything that gets in the way of "progress" will be the focus of "neutralization" attempts. And anything or anyone who can be utilized to advance the dark cause(s) will be exploited with cunning and total lack of regard for morality.

Again, knowledge of the Larger Game Plan helps a lot for steering around the many potholes planted in the road. That is the POWER which comes from information and knowing The Truth. That is why the elite controllers try so hard to hide The Truth. That is why CONTACT works so hard to present The Truth.

It has not been an easy road the past several weeks of large papers droning on and on about one subject so much more than others. But for those of you who are aware of The Protocols Of The

Learned Elders Of Zion, can you imagine what it must be like for well-intentioned ones to wake up one day to finally sense that “something” is wrong, and yet, like the blind people all touching different parts of the elephant and coming to different conclusions about what’s in front of them, they may not be very aware of the actual situation and its ramifications, INCLUDING DANGERS. Acting upon such partial (and maybe erroneous) conclusions is the surest way to fall into those potholes, for as the saying cautions, a little knowledge can be dangerous.

With that in mind, please read the following collected information with the usual eye of discernment for distortions and perceptions which come from a limited view of the Larger Picture. But likewise read with an eye for the characteristic tricks and traps of manipulation by those New World Order dark ones who grow ever more desperate to maintain an agenda of control and annihilation of we, the useless eaters. For those of you who have kept up with the last several weeks of papers, there’s really no surprise here, just confirmations.

These reports were assembled by Dr. Al Overholt from his growing network of connections via trusted Internet sources, and are presented here in the context of a News Desk ‘Special Report’ format.

May the Light of exposure—and the “sunburn” of embarrassment—shine ever brightly on the antics of such pathetic twits as tried to engineer the demise of these microbiologists—who are just two among many working quietly (if sometimes naively) in different fields to provide a way for we-the-people to survive some planned tough times ahead.

—Dr. Edwin M. Young, Editor-In-Chief

NEWS DESK SPECIAL REPORT

Dr. Al Overholt 2/28/98

THE TRUTH ABOUT THE ARREST OF THE BIOTERRORISTS

The traitors move against the people
under a cloak of
deception and treachery.

From the INTERNET, by Terry W. Stough, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, <wrldline@texas.net>, 2/24/98: [quoting]

READ THIS if you never read another thing from me again. Admittedly, I do not have all the facts right now. But time constraints require that I release known information NOW.

Also, please forgive the disorganization of this report. The way the info came in together with

severe time restraints have prevented better clarity.

Let me first say that ANY person who attacks the validity of the information contained in this document without full and irrefutable documentation should be considered the enemy. We do not have the time or luxury of dealing with the detractors or counterintelligence forces. I have been involved in this (mostly behind the scenes for the past few months) for a relatively long time.

I was writing so much about these issues during last summer that web sites were started around the materials being brought to light even though I never posted documents on my own web site.

First some background. We know that the enemy is excellent at counterintelligence. We know that the enemy specifically puts out cover stories as they attempt to destroy our ability to fight back. In this case, you will see that this event is a result of entrapment and propaganda to neutralize two real assets in the fight for our freedom.

This happened several months ago as I became involved in the biochemical warfare issues. We now know that their goals last summer were to gain information about our communications and to discredit the few true Americans fighting for our freedoms while installing false leaders to lead us astray.

The two biggest victims of this were Larry Harris and Peter Kawaja.

Because of current events, I must decloak and tell you what is really going on because I can no longer keep silent, even though I was just a couple of days from helping in an initial exposure of one of their key stooges.

Let me give you the latest from the *Headline News* (CNN) report as of 5:00.

According to the story:

White Separatists, Larry Wayne Harris and William Leavitt were arrested in possession of biohazardous materials possibly including anthrax. The two men were testing the materials and had intended to use them against American targets in New York City.

FBI HazMat teams have been called in and sealed a white Mercedes believed to contain the materials. They received a tip from a man in Las Vegas indicating that Harris had bragged about his abilities with biological weapons. [The man was most likely an undercover government operative. Larry and William have concluded EXACTLY WHO THIS PERSON IS! See below.]

The report further indicated that Harris was on probation on a federal charge involving bubonic plague.

By 6:30, the story had changed. They were now “manufacturing and stockpiling weapons”. But there was no longer any current threat to New York City (I guess they found out by then that he was scheduled to meet with HUNDREDS of people in Florida TONIGHT, so they knew the New York attack part of the story would not wash.). Also, in the later story, it mentioned that Larry Harris had

written extensively about the “DANGERS OF BIOLOGICAL AGENTS”. If you recall, the detractors of Harris this summer used DOSING LEVELS of antibiotics as their main attack point! Does this sound like someone out to hurt people, or help people survive?

Here, folks, are the FACTS:

THIS MUST BE SPREAD TO EVERYONE YOU CAN REACH. THE CALL MUST BE GIVEN NOW.

WE ARE NOW IN A STATE OF WAR AND OUR SURVIVAL IS AT STAKE.

1. I stand on my reputation as being one who has put my life on the line and stood against these evil demons time and time again and will continue to do so. They can take me out but PLEASE have the courage to rise up and let them know that the truth can not be suppressed and their lies will not be believed. We need MASSIVE ACTION. I may be out of position to support or enlighten due to my DIRECT INVOLVEMENT in this story.

LET ME REPEAT FOR THE ENEMY LISTENING POSTS: THAT’S RIGHT, YOU DEMONS FROM THE SYNAGOGUE OF SATAN. I AM DIRECTLY INVOLVED. WHETHER YOU KNEW IT OR NOT, I WAS ABOUT TO HELP EXPOSE ONE OR MORE OF YOU WHOM THE “PATRIOTS” NOW CONSIDER LEADERS IN THE BIOWARFARE FIELD, RIGHT BEFORE THAT PERSON OR PERSONS WOULD BE THRUST INTO THE LIMELIGHT AS PART OF A TEAM OF EXPERTS ON THESE ISSUES, WHEN THE NWO, WORKING THROUGH UNDERCOVER OPERATIVES, BEGAN THEIR FIRST RELEASE OF BIOWARFARE AGENTS. Our plan of exposure was within days of implementation. As a matter of fact, I was scheduled to meet with Harris and others Saturday night at a Conference going on RIGHT NOW in Florida.

The plans were that Harris would be leaving today (Thursday afternoon) to fly to Florida (not NEW YORK CITY) to speak at the Conference and meet with true American experts in biowarfare which have gathered from all over the country, and some even from foreign countries. We had not realized until news of the arrest that the experiments had taken longer than expected and that Harris would be delayed.

2. Larry Wayne Harris is not a crackpot; nor is he a White Separatist, nor is he a member of the Aryan Nation. Yes, he did attend a couple of their meetings long ago. But I once went to a war protest in college, thought that liberalism was a great idea and actually used some recreational drugs—and YES, I did inhale. HEY! Have you ever been to a meeting of a group you now disagree with?

3. Larry Wayne Harris is not a sewer inspector or a well inspector or any of the other things reported about him. As you may recall, I was practically his only supporter on the Internet last summer. Larry is a fully qualified microbiologist. When he was “caught” with the bubonic plaque last year, he was working on treatments which could be readily available to the masses.

4. It is vital to distinguish the fact that Larry Harris is a RESEARCHER, not a terrorist or a soldier

in some wacko paramilitary organization. His research has clearly been aimed at saving lives, never in the direction of using his knowledge to support the Conspiracy.

5. It is TRUE that Harris was finishing some experimentation on anthrax (See details below from release from science conference in progress.).

Notice how they always mix lies with truth, prove the true part and get the public to swallow the lies, hook, line and sinker. However, the experiment was not on how to SPREAD anthrax to kill people but to PREVENT anthrax from spreading to save people.

6. The experiment was being done to prove that the equipment discussed below worked. (See details below.)

7. The Iraqi strategy is and was nothing short of a cover to begin mass extermination of people worldwide. Whether this plan will be fully implemented depends on events as they are currently unfolding.

8. As you know, the enemy's plan is always to launch preliminary stages of multiple strategies simultaneously. Then in the confusion ("order out of chaos") they go with the one or ones which seem to be working best.

THIS JUST IN AT 6:50, DIRECT FROM THE GLOBAL SCIENCE CONFERENCE IN FLORIDA:

At approximately 4:00 pm today at the Global Science Congress Conference in Daytona Beach, FL, an announcement was made regarding Larry Wayne Harris.

Mr. Harris (Lancaster, OH) and William Leavitt (Las Vegas, labs also in Frankfurt, Germany) were arrested in Las Vegas, Nevada. The two men were in Las Vegas, Nevada visiting Ron Rockwell for research purposes.

Ron Rockwell worked for Royal R. Rife and Crane in the 1960s and 1970s.

Mr. Rockwell allegedly was in possession of the original Rife microscope frequency generation equipment.

Mr. Leavitt, a microbiologist also, is a true humanitarian and is very concerned about the current biological situation throughout the world.

Leavitt and Harris were in Las Vegas to test and research the Rife equipment against several different pathogens, particularly anthrax.

After the research was completed, if the equipment proved to be effective, Mr. Leavitt was ready to purchase this equipment and make it available to the public for defense against biological weapons.

Why these two men were arrested for this when in reality they were scheduled to be at the Global

Science Conference today to hopefully announce the results of their research to HELP save the world, not destroy it, is beyond amazing. The “Powers that be” have been working for almost two years now to “set up” and neutralize Mr. Harris and now we see the fruits of their labor.

RELATED ISSUE: Anyone with information regarding the troop movement out of Dobbins Air Force Base (Marietta, Georgia—Atlanta area), please forward the intel to the person from whom you receive this mail [Terry W. Stough—Phone 770-641-9042, cellular 404-444-4995, fax 770-552-6931 and e-mail <TWStough@AOL.Com>]. Our sources say that in just twenty minutes, four civilian, unmarked transport planes departed from the base.

Other details to follow as they become available. Please make this information available to your fellow Americans. The mass media will only cover the government line on this.

LATEST UPDATE: Federal agents have just been at Larry’s home in Lancaster, OH. They are most likely going to be using federal anti-terrorism laws against him in this case.

COMMENT: THE FEDS CLEARLY DO NOT HAVE JURISDICTION. Larry is a Registered Microbiologist despite any of the propaganda released last summer. Any help on how to get Harris free by fighting jurisdiction would be highly appreciated.

Also, any help you can provide to Larry Harris and William Leavitt (especially strategic) would be highly appreciated. We hopefully have gotten the word to Larry Harris by now to fight the jurisdictional issue and not to enter a plea.

Please support the release of Larry Harris and the exposure of yet another conspiracy from those who would destroy our lives and take what is left of our freedoms. —Terry W. Stough, The American Resistance Movement

P.S.: DUE TO TIME CRITICALITY, PLEASE DO NOT CALL JUST TO SEEK FURTHER INFORMATION. I WILL RELEASE FURTHER INFORMATION AS IT BECOMES AVAILABLE.

FURTHER UPDATE: News just in from Larry Harris through his wife reveals that Rockwell engineered a setup. It seems that Harris and Leavitt did a taping for a TV show about their experiments at a local Las Vegas TV station.

The experiments took longer than expected and Larry was going to be late getting to the Conference. As best we can determine, Harris and Leavitt left their hotel room shortly after 9:00 last night and went to the lab.

While at the lab, according to Harris, Rockwell made a call which resulted in a swat team surrounding the facility.

The reports of broken anthrax vials in the car are patently false. No one in their right mind would drive around in a car with anthrax in the air.

FINAL NOTE: Well known conference attendees who are believed to be knowledgeable and/or in agreement with the facts contained in this document:

Chip Tatum (Black Ops Reporter)

Ted Gunderson (ex-FBI)

Dr. Bob Beck (physicist—developed colloidal silver generator)

Al Bielek (physicist—Philadelphia Experiment)

THE CONSPIRACY AGAINST LARRY HARRIS

by Dr. Len Horowitz,
Co-Author with Terry W. Stough

From the INTERNET, courtesy of James Seabourn, 2/25/98: [quoting]

Organization: AOL <<http://www.aol.com>>

PLEASE REPOST THIS DOCUMENT AND PREPARE FOR EVEN BIGGER BOMBSHELLS
LATER!

The following press release was written yesterday by myself and Dr. Len Horowitz—I can not go into detail now because of time constraints. After this was written additional input was obtained. An associate in Florida should have it ready by tomorrow morning. This will give even more startling details.

This confrontation/breakthrough with Dr. Horowitz was nothing short of a miracle. We brought our camps together literally as the storm raged around us. If you heard about the +/-40 people killed on the east coast of Florida Sunday night, about half were killed just north of where we were and half were killed just south. There was only one word uttered about the storm by the scientists present—HAARP!

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE BY THE PRESS—THE CONSPIRACY REGARDING LARRY
HARRIS

By Terry W. Stough, B.A., M.H.A.

Founder, The American Resistance Movement; An Organization Supporting Lawful, Constitutional Government, 9118 Carroll Manor Drive, Atlanta, Georgia 30350, (770) 641-9042, <<http://members.aol.com/TWStough/main.htm>>

And

Leonard G. Horowitz, D.M.D., M.A., M.P.H., President, Tetrahedron, Inc., a nonprofit educational corporation, P.O. Box 402, Rockport, MA 01966, 508-546-6586, <<http://www.tetrahedron.org>>

With input from Doug Millar, Investigator/Researcher, Dallas, Texas and, Dave Adair/U.S. Government Aerospace Expert, Hollywood, California

Expressly prepared for immediate release by news agencies throughout the world.

INTRODUCTION FOR THE CLARIFICATION

For months the two authors of this report have been at odds regarding issues concerning Larry Harris. However, due to a chance meeting in Daytona Beach, Florida at the Global Sciences Conference, on Sunday night, February 22, 1998, the authors had a frank and broad-reaching discussion of the issues raised in each of our earlier written reports. This discussion involved input from over a dozen participants at the Global Sciences Conference. As a result of this discussion and a mutual attempt to resolve issues and find common ground, we were able to uncover additional facts which should enlighten those seeking a more complete understanding of the true enemy Mr. Harris faces. This information bridges the gap between the supporters of Len Horowitz and those of Larry Harris who have thus far been at odds, so that we can all work together in greater harmony against a common enemy.

As part of our intention to act in good faith toward a resolution of these conflicts, it was agreed that both sides of these issues would supply new information believed to be accurate and that each side would place its credibility on the table with respect to the accuracy and verifiability of the facts contained herein.

Further, it was learned during the meeting that when Larry Harris would do a media interview, faxes questioning the credibility of Larry Harris would be sent which purportedly originated from Dr. Horowitz. Dr. Horowitz was unaware of any such faxes. The Harris supporters had originally believed that these faxes were actually sent by Dr. Horowitz, and believed as well that Dr. Horowitz had a large intelligence network at his disposal in an attempt to discredit Larry Harris. Attempts are currently underway to determine the source of these faxes that may show CIA involvement in efforts to use Dr. Horowitz to discredit Larry Harris.

BACKGROUND OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

Since mid-1997, two papers posted on Dr. Horowitz's Internet site <tetrahedron.org—"Research, News and Views Page"—FTP Public Access files> predicted that, at the right time, Larry Harris might be used by U.S. intelligence agencies for propaganda purposes in the realm of biological terrorism. This prediction was based on several facts as detailed below. It is currently our contention that Mr. Harris was set up by agents for the CIA in an effort to instill fear of a biological attack in the minds of the public; thus supporting the propaganda arm of the Clinton administration, in favor of Gulf war efforts. The authors agree that Mr. Harris has been operating in good faith, and if his activities have been manipulated by agents of the CIA, then Mr. Harris is most likely unaware of this.

Dr. Horowitz's prediction of Larry Harris's use by American intelligence for propaganda came true on Feb. 18, 1998, with his false arrest by the FBI for suspected possession of anthrax. The predic-

tion by Leonard Horowitz was based on a number of factors. The first was that Mr. Harris previously worked as a biological warfare researcher for the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA).¹

Second, Mr. Harris had made statements regarding knowledge of a terrorist organization that was threatening to deploy biological weapons, such as anthrax and bubonic plague, against the American people. Third, Harris clearly stated and warned against the threat of biological terrorism against the U.S.. These statements were highly consistent with U.S. propaganda campaigns that had already been initiated by official propaganda sources. Fourth, Harris had claimed expertise in the area of biological weapons. Fifth, Harris was previously arrested in connection with obtaining bubonic plague microorganisms. Sixth, Mr. Harris believed that there was a threat from Iraqi nationals bringing biological weapons into this country rather than the belief held by Dr. Horowitz that the CIA was directly linked to the production and illegal use of biological weapons (BW). Finally, Mr. Harris had provided specific details regarding the manufacture and distribution of biochemical weapons through his lectures and audiotapes.

It was this last fact that largely generated concern on Dr. Horowitz's part.

Mr. Harris's supporters, however, concluded that this knowledge was already in the hands of terrorist organizations, and Mr. Harris was distributing this information in an effort to inform the American people of the ease with which these weapons could be created and utilized.

It was logical to conclude that Larry Harris could be easily used by the propaganda arm of American intelligence. However, as was discussed, had it not been Larry Harris delivering this information, the CIA was likely to have broadcast this information in some other way and/or substituted another person to deliver its messages, in furtherance of its purposes.

HEADLINE NEWS AND THE NEED FOR BIOLOGICAL PROPAGANDA

On Feb. 19, 1998 the news media announced that Larry Harris, along with another microbiologist, William "Job" Leavitt, had been arrested on the afternoon of Wednesday, Feb. 18. The charge was suspected possession of deadly anthrax spores.

Both Dr. Horowitz and the supporters of Mr. Harris immediately recognized the use of this story as a propaganda ploy. The headlines in *USA Today* for example, were released simultaneously with the headline "Last chance for diplomacy?" All participants in the debate concluded that this was no coincidence. The fact that Larry Harris was set up and arrested at the most critical moment in U.N./Iraqi diplomatic efforts—when U.S. propaganda against Saddam Hussein peaked—on the eve of Secretary General Kofi Annan's final diplomatic effort for peace and when American anxiety regarding "imminent" war and resulting threats of biological terrorism in the U.S. peaked.

Largely overlooked was the fact that: (1) production and use of BW by any country was a violation of the standing Geneva Accord; (2) American corporations have been a principal Iraqi supplier of BW along with the reagents and culture mediums required to produce them; (3) President Clinton had been advised that such massively destructive agents can be inexpensively cultured in any large kitchen today; (4) and the hardware used to produce these "weapons of mass destruction" can be

easily moved within hours of an impending inspection. In light of the futility of periodic U.N./American inspections of Iraqi facilities something was clearly amiss. Clearly, to make the American people support the U.S. war effort, intelligence and counterintelligence activities would be required.

Moreover, following the Ohio State “Town Hall Meeting” two days earlier, it became painfully obvious to the Clinton administration that Americans, if not the world, needed additional persuasion to endorse the bombing of Iraq. To secure public support for the futile if not senseless inspections, and/or military attacks in Iraq, both Dr. Horowitz and the supporters of Larry Harris recognized that the CIA turned to the propaganda use and exploitation of Mr. Harris.

PREVIOUS AND CURRENT EVENTS

Precedent exists for such a conspiracy theory. Recall that on the eve of the Bush administration’s desire to invade Iraq in 1990, the American people required similar persuasion. The public relations firm of Hill and Knowlton was immediately summoned. Within hours they filmed a Kuwaiti Prince’s niece alleging she saw Saddam Hussein’s elite guardsmen torturing and maiming babies, pulling them from incubators, stabbing them, then throwing them from windows.

The American people were aghast. War polls shot up favorably. President Bush declared, “This aggression will not stand!” Then the war began. Following the conflict it was determined that this entire Hill and Knowlton/Bush administration story was fabricated. Americans quickly forgot and history has repeated itself.

ENTER MR. RONALD ROCKWELL

As was indicated in the original press release on this issue by Mr. Harris’ supporters, Mr. Harris and Mr. Leavitt were arrested following a tip by Mr. Rockwell—an FBI informant of dubious integrity and a jaded history; an extortion felon convicted in 1981 and 1982. Rockwell told *NBC Nightly News* on Friday (Feb. 20, 1998) that the thought of Harris and Leavitt coming to his facility with military-grade anthrax “scared me so bad” he called the FBI.

This statement has many problems. First, Mr. Rockwell knew that the purpose of the experiments was to find a way to kill anthrax. Second, in order to do so, Larry Harris would have to use samples of an organism (in this case, non-weapons-grade agricultural anthrax). Third, it was Mr. Rockwell, not Mr. Harris, who encouraged the testing for a potential sale. According to Mr. Harris’ intimates \$20,000,000 was the total price for the instrument’s purchase.

Reported to be a cancer researcher and businessman, Mr. Rockwell, was said to have developed the AZ-58 Ray Tube Frequency Instrument Prototype. He alleged this device was able to remove bacteria and viruses including “ANTHRAX” from “large numbers of people at the same time”.

Mr. Leavitt is said to have contacted Mr. Harris for help with the test. How did Mr. Leavitt learn of Mr. Harris? According to a personal acquaintance of Mr. Leavitt who requested anonymity, as witnessed by our groups, George Green introduced the two men. It is known that Mr. Green, an alleged book publisher, was a personal acquaintance of Mr. Rockwell. Both men frequented Neo-

nazi organizational meetings. Mr. Harris likewise attended some Neo-nazi meetings, but in another state.

Several individuals attending the Global Sciences Conference were aware that Mr. Green was frequently involved in questionable financial dealings. Moreover, another anonymous source revealed that Mr. Green had reported being asked by George Bush to head the President's finance committee.

A written statement submitted by Doug Millar, a conference participant, and also an acquaintance of Mr. Rockwell, provided further revealing insights. He wrote:

"As a full time investigator of violent crimes against children, I have met some of the most interesting, important, weird, unusual and criminal minds in America. At a meeting I was speaking at in Las Vegas last summer, a man came forward who claimed to know a lot about the subject and about Las Vegas. He said that Ron Rockwell referred him to our event. We met the next morning to discuss what he knew. He started discussing racist issues and branched into neo-Nazi comments. As someone who studied to become an FBI agent for a couple of years in college, you learn to build trust with people before they are willing to expose their inner secrets.

"Although I was raised to have contempt for racists and am a member of the NAACP, I feigned interest, because I wanted to find out why Rockwell referred such a despicable man to me. Soon he was telling me about his meetings at conventions with Metzgar, David Duke, etc. He had also known [a] Rockwell, the founder of the American Nazi Party who was killed 25-30 years ago. Since he said he spent a lot of time in Vegas, I told him a story that was in the local newspaper announcing that the owner of the Imperial Palace Casino & Hotel had celebrated Hitler's birthday with a party at the casino and Las Vegas strip. Then I asked him the main purpose of my main fishing expedition, 'Where did you meet Ron Rockwell?' He shocked me with his answer, 'At a local Nazi meeting!' As someone who had been invited to Rockwell's home to see the Rife technology a couple of times, I can state that I know many people who agree with the statement of one of the top retired law enforcement agency officials in America who told me, 'I know you can't believe anything Rockwell says!' I certainly agree with that conclusion!"

Another participant in the discussion, Dave Adair, one of America's leading aerospace experts, and frequent U.S. government/space industry consultant, indicated that he had seen and recognized unique equipment that Mr. Rockwell displayed during a previous Global Sciences meeting. Mr. Adair knew that the equipment was built exclusively for the National Security Agency (NSA). The equipment was classified hardware that could only have been obtained by a U.S. government agent with high-level security clearances. Mr. Adair stated that he had asked Mr. Rockwell, "What agency are you with?" Mr. Rockwell refused to answer his question, then walked away.

Mr. Adair also testified to *ABC News* that Mr. Harris' arrest on Feb. 19, 1998, was a "set-up".

According to *USA Today* (Feb. 20, p. 10A) Leavitt's two lawyers, Lamond Mills and Kirby Wells, suggested Leavitt may have been duped and set up by Rockwell. According to attorney Mills, Rockwell was trying to "scam" Leavitt into buying the bogus germ-killing machine. (*AP*—Sat., Feb. 21, in *The Daytona News-Journal*, p. 3A.) They said Leavitt is an "honest businessman" here

who had been negotiating with Rockwell to invest in his new technology and had hired Harris as a consultant. They said Harris had brought harmless anthrax vaccine from Ohio to test the technology. They suggested Rockwell told the FBI a different story “in order to get publicity”.

Publicity indeed, but not for the AZ-58.

Rockwell, a cancer researcher, businessman, and “scam” artist, would be intelligent enough to know that such a stunt would likely backfire, given his earlier felony conviction. He would again risk facing criminal charges following a thorough FBI investigation. That is, unless the FBI was also involved in the ruse. On the other hand, if the machine really cleared viruses and bacteria, including “ANTHRAX”, from people’s blood, and Rockwell was a smart businessman, then he would expect Mr. Leavitt and Mr. Harris to test the machine with the agents he advertised it cleared: “ANTHRAX”. As a cancer and microbiology researcher, the thought of a prospective buyer bringing “military-grade” anthrax in for such a trial should not have alarmed him. So why then, other than to trigger a propaganda campaign, did Mr. Rockwell alert the FBI?

In any case, Mr. Rockwell’s story, and his actions, are suspicious if not incriminating. Had Mr. Rockwell become an FBI informant earlier than reported? Perhaps during a plea bargain that may have occurred in the wake of his 1982 conviction. Could he be linked to the CIA?

In this case the FBI may have been involved with the CIA, or duped by them, in a conspiracy to generate biological terrorism propaganda. Investigators should carefully examine Mr. Rockwell’s history for intelligence agency links.

It was also suspicious that following three days of studying Mr. Harris’ anthrax vaccine, *CNN Headline News* reported (on Saturday) that United States Army researchers, supporting the FBI’s investigation, were uncertain of their test results. This is particularly strange given that anthrax is an easy germ to identify. Can you imagine the risk to U.S. forces in the Gulf if it took more than three days to confirm an anthrax attack!

Finally, late Saturday night, *CNN Headline News* reported that the substance in question was indeed an anthrax vaccine, and that Mr. Leavitt had been freed. Leavitt stated that under the circumstances, the FBI could not be blamed for his mistaken arrest. Mr. Harris was still under arrest, however. This report followed promising news regarding Kofi Annan’s diplomatic efforts in Iraq. U.N. officials were confident Saddam Hussein would comply with their inspection requirements.

The friends of Larry Harris are currently concerned about Mr. Harris’ treatment under custody. Allegations have surfaced that Mr. Harris has been a member of the Aryan Nations, a White supremacist group. The long time friends of Larry Harris have reported that this information has been fabricated, as they have no knowledge of such membership.

CONCLUSION

Any way you look at it, the effect this predicted episode had on the public’s mind, and fear level, was consistent with the effects of psychological warfare. Today the threat of domestic bioterrorism

looms greater than ever not because of Larry Harris, but because of his manipulators.

About Leonard G. Horowitz: Leonard G. Horowitz, D.M.D., M.A., M.P.H. is a Harvard graduate, independent investigator, and internationally known authority in public health education. One of healthcare's most captivating speakers, his book *Emerging Viruses: AIDS & Ebola—Nature, Accident or Intentional?* (Tetrahedron, Inc., 1998), now a bestselling hardcover, is available through any bookstore on request, or by calling the publisher's toll free order line 1-888-508-4787. For Dr. Horowitz's availability as a speaker please call 1-800-336-9266; and for his audiotapes and videotapes including:

Emerging Viruses and Vaccinations and Gulf War Syndrome: The Spreading Epidemic Cover-up, please call 1-888-508-4787.

About Terry W. Stough: Although Terry Stough possesses substantial knowledge of health-related issues (Masters of Health Administration, former Administrator of major Atlanta hospital, etc.) he does not claim any expertise in the technical issues under discussion. Instead, Terry's focus in this area has been to help disseminate information on these issues to the public. He is extremely pleased with the progress made as a result of the discussion between parties who have far more in common than the minor differences between them. Terry's main focus is to work for the restoration of lawful government.

FOOTNOTES

1. Larry Harris' resume is available on request by contacting Terry Stough.
2. Items are available to lend support for Mr. Harris' knowledge in the field of microbiology.
3. Regarding the prior arrest, Larry Harris was convicted of wire fraud under an obscure "blue law" which required you to leave your name and phone number when you leave a message on an interstate call. The charge had nothing to do with possession of biological agents. As an economic, etc., decision, he decided to plead guilty to this charge in exchange for community service and probation. As a result of the probation, he was required to report all activities including the purpose and timing of his latest trip to Las Vegas which resulted in his rearrest.
4. Dr. Horowitz's beliefs were based largely on evidence compiled during the Frank Church Congressional investigation into the illegal storage and use of biological weapons by the CIA long after the signing of the Geneva Accord. For details, see Dr. Horowitz's book, *Emerging Viruses: AIDS & Ebola—Nature, Accident or Intentional?* —James M. Seabourn [End quoting]

Very, Very interesting how George Green was worked into this key ploy of trying to get us into another major war—DON'T YOU THINK SO??

THE GREAT LAS VEGAS ANTHRAX PLOT

By Vin Suprynowicz

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, 2/27/98: [quoting]

The news flashed around the world on Thursday, Feb. 19. I know, because I started getting phone calls from New England, and e-mail from Asia—asking me if I’d broken out my gas masks. The news was accepted at face value in most places, too—because it was precisely what many folks had been led to expect.

A pair of depraved White men—one a former Mormon bishop and the other (according to a hastily-drawn-up federal criminal complaint) a “self-admitted member of the Aryan Nations (who) claims to have the rank of Lieutenant-Colonel,” had been busted by FBI agents and thrown in a Las Vegas hoosegow, while the Mercedes-Benz they had been driving was carefully shrink-wrapped in plastic and hauled away to a U.S. Army facility for testing.

Why?

“These individuals posed a potential chemical and biological threat to our community,” Bobby Siller, head of the FBI’s Las Vegas office, told members of the working press at a Thursday morning press conference. A “citizen informant (hereafter ‘the source’)” had telephoned the Las Vegas offices of the FBI on Feb. 18—again according to the criminal complaint—to report that suspects Larry Wayne Harris, 46 (a licensed microbiologist from Lancaster, Ohio), and William Job Leavitt, Jr., 47 (a fire extinguisher manufacturer, owner of a pair of microbiological laboratories, and former Mormon bishop from Logandale, Nevada) were in possession of what Leavitt had reportedly described as “military-grade” anthrax in flight bags in the trunk of their Mercedes-Benz convertible. Another source, spotted with Leavitt and Harris at the Gold Coast Hotel, reportedly told the FBI that Harris held out a vial and stated there was enough there to “wipe out the city”.

FBI Special Agent John W. Hawken further alleged in his Feb. 19 criminal complaint—this time citing no specific source—that Harris, the Ohio microbiologist, had “in the summer of 1997...told a group of plans to place a ‘globe’ of bubonic plague toxins in a New York subway station, where it could be broken by a passing subway train, causing hundreds of thousands of deaths. Harris stated that the Iraqis would be blamed for that event.”

‘GO WRITE YOUR OWN
CIVIL DEFENSE MANUAL’

But something about this one smelled wrong from the start. Defendant Harris had appeared as a guest on Las Vegas-based radio talk-shows within the previous week, spouting his obsessive concern that the Iraqis were preparing to launch precisely the kind of biological attack the FBI was talking about, and that the United States was ill-prepared to defend against any such attack.

Patriot sources on the Internet (ever paranoid) immediately theorized that the FBI had busted well-known gadfly Harris precisely to shift the blame for any such attack—considered all the more likely if Bill Clinton proceeded with plans to renew bombing of Saddam Hussein—onto the FBI’s favorite target, the gun-owning domestic patriot militias.

Only a few minutes work were required for *Las Vegas Review-Journal* columnist John L. Smith to download from the Internet and hand me the opening section of Larry Wayne Harris' book, *Bacteriological Warfare: A Major Threat to North America, What You and Your Family Can Do Defensively, Before and After*. <<http://norden1.com/~hawkins/CIVIL.HTM>>

In it, Harris describes taking courses in advanced microbiology at Ohio State University in 1991, and meeting there fellow student Miriam Arif, daughter of a former Iraqi president. After the two became friends, Arif reportedly told Harris in 1993 of the plans of Libya, Iran, Iraq, Syria and North Korea to respond to any future American interventions in their regions by loosing germ warfare weapons in this country.

It is agents of those nations that plan to release plague and anthrax cultures in the subway systems of large American cities, according to Harris' writings.

Harris reports calling the FBI, and the CDC in both Atlanta and Fort Collins. "I told them what Miriam had told me, and they responded that they thought I had been watching too many science-fiction movies."

After repeatedly promising to send Harris a copy of the government contingency plan to deal with such an attack, Harris writes that he was finally told by exasperated spokesmen at the CDC that all such biological civil defense planning had been scrapped in 1972. "They said if I were a microbiologist and so concerned, why don't I go and write my own Civil Defense manual and leave the CDC alone?"

Would a man planning to kill thousands via a germ warfare attack, write books and appear on the radio, drawing attention to himself by warning Americans of how unprepared we are to deal with such an attack? What would Harris' motive be, anyway? Might it not be possible that the FBI's sources had misunderstood Harris' intense warnings about Miriam Arif, as threats he himself was making?

TIME MACHINES AND UFOS

The possibility grew even stronger when it turned out FBI agent Hawken had admitted in his initial criminal complaint that the FBI's main source "has two felony convictions for conspiracy to commit extortion in 1981 and 1982".

I have been unable to independently confirm that Ronald G. Rockwell—since identified by numerous sources as the FBI's main informant—was indeed twice convicted on extortion counts. But Leavitt's attorney, Kirby Wells, paints quite a picture of Rockwell, reporting that at a Feb. 14 meeting Rockwell offered to sell Leavitt more than just the machine Leavitt wanted to conduct anthrax research.

"He offered as part of the deal to turn over work on other projects, including a time machine project and a UFO project," attorney Wells told *Review-Journal* reporter Steve Friess on Feb. 23. "I questioned Bill about whether it made sense to be negotiating with someone who professed to have

these kinds of projects.”

Eventually, Leavitt did reject Rockwell’s demand that he buy a so-called Rife Regenerator for \$2 million, including a non-refundable \$100,000 deposit. (The discredited Rife machine, which is supposed to cure illness through radio waves, usually sells for \$20,000.) Within days, the disappointed Rockwell apparently telephoned the FBI to turn Leavitt and Harris in.

Paul Pantone, chief executive officer for research at Global Environmental Energy Technology LLC, spent 15 minutes chatting with Rockwell at a conference in Las Vegas last April, and pronounces the fellow “a total fruitcake”.

“He said he can build flying saucers any size you want,” said Pantone, whose Utah company specializes in far more mundane mileage-boosting automotive fuel processors. “He said he has a plane the size of a 747 that extracts energy out of the air as it moves and flies for hours on one gallon of gasoline. He has it hidden somewhere in Nevada.... For the FBI to even listen to this man, I’m appalled,” Pantone told reporter Friess.

FALSE ALARM

Businessman Leavitt was released from jail on Saturday, Feb. 21. Scientists at the Army’s Medical Research Institute for Infectious Diseases in Fort Detrick, Md. (where the Army stores precisely the kinds of toxic agents which President Clinton brands other nations “terrorist” for developing) had worked through the night analyzing the contents of the Harris-Leavitt vials, and found them to contain exactly what the defendants said they contained—veterinary anthrax vaccine, incapable of forming spores to spread the disease to either humans or animals.

Informant Rockwell “wanted money up front, sight unseen, and when he didn’t get it...he went to the FBI and made some outlandish statements,” says Leavitt’s other attorney, Lamond Mills. “That’s their witness. And on that, we’ve had worldwide news.”

After a final weekend FBI search of both defendants’ homes to see if they could find anything else to hold them on, all criminal charges against both defendants were dropped on Monday, Feb. 23.

Mind you, Ohioan Harris would appear to be several stops down the local line toward Bonkersville, himself. He signed a consent decree in 1995, under which he is still on probation for fraudulently obtaining bubonic plague toxins for his research. His book contains such unattributed nuggets as his rambling report that “The HIV (AIDS) virus...was designed to kill only Negro’s (sic). The HIV virus was...inoculated into Negro’s for their genocidal removal from Africa. The HIV virus was designed to use an intermediate bacterial carrier, that was present in the Negro’s body but not present in Caucasians. This strategy has been extremely effective and by some estimates the Negro population of Africa will soon be reduced to below 5 million.”

Yeah, right.

So: should police agents have had some serious questions for Messrs. Harris and Leavitt? Of

course. Should they have acquired warrants to seize and test the material in question? OK; fine.

But the broadest public response to this whole tempest in a teapot seems to be that the FBI was justified in acting swiftly—even if the tip turned out to be wrong—given the virulence of the agents the two men supposedly possessed.

I feel obliged to offer a somewhat different perspective. Infiltrate the churches, shoot the dogs. The FBI, as currently composed, is an illegal and unconstitutional agency. The *Constitution* grants the Congress no power to swear in any federal political police force, the familiar German word for which is “Gestapo”.

In recent years—leaving aside its treatment of the presumably innocent security guard Richard Jewel while the real perpetrators of Atlanta’s Olympic Park bombing walked away at their leisure—this proud and courageous agency stood in charge of the final, deadly, tank, machine gun, and poison gas assault on the parishioners of the Mount Carmel Seventh Day Adventist Church in Waco, Texas, which directly caused the deaths by fire and poison gas of dozens of innocent women and children. (See it for yourself, as filmed by federal government cameras: Order the video *Waco: The Terms of Engagement* now nominated for an Academy Award as best documentary, at \$25 by dialing 1-800-771-2147, ext. 19.)

Only a few months before, FBI sniper Lon Horiuchi, acting under FBI orders, shot and killed the unarmed Vicky Weaver in the kitchen doorway of her Idaho home as she held her baby in her arms. (The federal government is still involved in procedural shenanigans to shield Horiuchi from facing the criminal charges he so richly deserves for that little feat of marksmanship.)

The Weaver standoff started when federal agents approached and entrapped Vicky Weaver’s husband, Randy, in order to convince him to infiltrate and snitch on parishioners of a nearby church. The Waco standoff started when ATF agents turned down the Rev. David Koresh’s invitation to come out and inspect his parishioners’ fully legal firearms “any time”, preferring to stage a big military-style raid for the TV cameras, shortly before their next set of funding hearings in Washington.

In both cases, the federals targeted churches. In both cases, the first shots were fired by federal agents, killing their victims’ dogs.

But under our American system, it is *not* acceptable to trash the *First, Second, and Fourth Amendment* rights of the politically unpopular few, in order to “protect the many” even from real threats—let alone trumped-up ones. If it were, the FBI would be justified in sending SWAT teams into the homes of the 100 patriot militiamen and foreign nationals which it judges most likely to cause trouble, with orders to simply shoot them all through the head.

Nevada has a State Police force. Las Vegas has its own, sizeable, Metropolitan Police. Those agencies had sole jurisdiction in this case. All law enforcement, under our constitutional system of government, is left to local authority. *No* federal police force is authorized.

The safest thing for the liberties of the citizens of this republic would be to disband the deadly, incompetent FBI, immediately. Failing that, this homicidal gang should at least be restricted to what the “I” in its name stands for, “Investigation” (keeping up to date with what’s readily available on talk radio and the Internet, for starters—and perhaps keeping running tabs on the credibility of such local snitches as Ronald G. Rockwell, while they’re at it.) When they feel an arrest is justified, they should do just what everyone else does: Call the police.

As goofy as Larry Wayne Harris may be, he has a point. If we keep meddling in the affairs of nations that can’t afford to build aircraft carriers and nuclear bombers, they will eventually strike back in any way they can—quite possibly with such cheap, easy-to-employ weapons as germ warfare and poison gas.

(Calling them “terrorists” proves nothing. Generals Howe and Cornwallis doubtless would have called the brigand Washington—unwilling to line up all his men across an open field and fight it out in a sporting manner—a “terrorist”.)

But instead of heeding such a sensible warning, the federals just arrest the messenger.

Anyone interested in disarming America’s criminal element would do well to start with the incompetent and counterproductive ATF and the FBI—auctioning off their Tommy guns and MP-5s to law-abiding private citizens, who would then be in a much better position to drop by their offices occasionally, “just to check”.

Then, if we’re finally tired of making enemies overseas, comes the CIA. [End quoting]

Vin Suprynowicz is the assistant editorial page editor of the *Las Vegas Review-Journal*. Readers may contact him via e-mail at <vin@lvvj.com>. The web site for the Suprynowicz column is at <<http://www.nguworld.com/vindex/>>. The column is syndicated in the United States and Canada via Mountain Media Syndications, P.O. Box 4422, Las Vegas, Nev. 89127.

Vin Suprynowicz, vin@lvvj.com

“If ye love wealth greater than liberty, the tranquility of servitude greater than the animating contest for freedom, go home from us in peace.

“We seek not your counsel, nor your arms. Crouch down and lick the hand that feeds you. May your chains set lightly upon you; and may posterity forget that ye were our countrymen.”

—Samuel Adams

3/2/98 DR. AL OVERHOLT

THE SILENCE BEFORE THE AMBUSH
OF LARRY WAYNE HARRIS

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, 3/1/98: [quoting]

Larry Wayne Harris, the Registered Microbiologist that the Federal Government finally admitted was working on a treatment for anthrax and other biological weapons is not out of the woods yet and is **STILL BEING HELD IN ISOLATION IN FEDERAL PRISON.**

Larry Wayne Harris and William Job Leavitt made world news on Feb. 18th when they were arrested as biological terrorists.

The original information stated that Harris and Leavitt were making biologicals for use in an attack on the New York Subway. As a result of indisputable facts submitted to the press by Harris' supporters, that changed to the story that they were just making and stockpiling biological weapons for future use.

Then that story changed to one where they might actually be seeking a cure for biologicals but testing with weapons-grade biologicals.

Then it was learned that they were definitely seeking a cure and had only anthrax vaccine.

All of the major facts which were eventually confirmed in this case were first released to the media by Harris supporters through press releases from Terry W. Stough.

The "informant", Ron Rockwell, as was first reported by Terry W. Stough (from a source close to Harris), turned out to be the very person who was trying to sell the equipment used in the cure.

This had been a setup all along, intended to neutralize Harris.

The supporters of Harris see him as the preeminent expert in the area of defense against biological weapons and a vital asset to the people.

The United States Government is totally opposed to the release of this information by Harris.

Ask yourself why.

Harris has told people how extremely simple it is to make and distribute anthrax. Some see this as reckless. But why? Who is this information to be kept secret from? Anthrax can not be used to rob a bank or to murder a person. It can only be used for widespread extermination of groups over a wide area. That's why it's called the "poor man's atom bomb". By teaching people how simple it is to create weapons using biologicals, Harris has attempted to get the American people to wake up and prepare.

Last year, when Iraqi students were caught smuggling anthrax into this country, that should have been a major wake-up call. But the concern for our borders was misplaced. With only minimal knowledge, anyone could manufacture anthrax in **ANY NORMAL KITCHEN IN THE WORLD.** And makeshift distribution devices could be easily manufactured. Larry Harris has tried to make

all of this known to the American people so that they can prepare. The main focus of Larry Harris has been on finding cures for biological weapons.

Once a person shows symptoms of anthrax, for example, no medical treatment known today is effective. THE VICTIM WILL DIE.

Dr. Len Horowitz, internationally known author of *Emerging Viruses: AIDS & Ebola—Nature, Accident or Intentional*, has documented that vaccines are not the answer. In fact, as has been shown, vaccines have been responsible for the spread of more disease than any other transmission mechanism yet known. Did you ever wonder why there is a push to give an UNTESTED, EXPERIMENTAL VACCINE for anthrax to ALL MILITARY PERSONNEL regardless of where they are stationed?

Eliminating vaccines as a viable option, the only way to deal with these biological warfare agents is to build up the immune system and to have antibiotics available. Nutritional products cover the former.

What about antibiotics? First, remember that once you get symptoms it's too late.

Next, there simply are not enough antibiotics to cover even ONE SINGLE OUTBREAK in a single medium-sized city. To prove this, go to any pharmacy. Ask them about how many people they serve. Then ask them how many prescriptions they could fill for tetracycline or its equivalent. An average pharmacy covering 5,000 people might have 2-4 such prescriptions on hand. THAT'S ALL. LESS THAN ENOUGH FOR A SINGLE EXPOSURE OF A SINGLE FAMILY. And remember that those prescriptions would be of NO VALUE unless taken near the time of exposure. Effectively, by the time that anyone had symptoms, the medicines would be useless. That leaves three other alternatives:

1. Animal-grade antibiotics (still not enough to go around);
2. Extremely highly concentrated colloidal silver (requires special processing techniques); and
3. Equipment like that being tested by Harris and Leavitt at the time of arrest.

Harris is the expert in all three areas. This is exactly why the government is attempting to neutralize him.

As of this writing, the supporters of Larry Harris have not been able to contact him despite the fact that all federal charges against him have been dropped. Here is the information critically needed and which the government is suppressing in an attempt to railroad Larry Harris and keep him from his work:

1. Where is Larry Wayne Harris? NO ONE has been able to determine where he has been for days or when he will be moved to Ohio.

2. Why is Larry Wayne Harris being held in isolation? What is it that the government does not want the public or his supporters to know? What is being done TO HIM while he is kept in isolation?
3. Why has Larry Harris been only able to call his wife and then only on selected days for TEN minutes.
4. Why can no one get information as to the nature of the hearing, if any, scheduled for Tuesday in Ohio?
5. Why does the probation office say that they do not even know when a hearing is scheduled?
6. Why have specifics of the charges against him been withheld? Since when did alleged bragging become a victim?
7. Why does Larry Harris believe that Michael Kennedy, a federal public defender in Las Vegas, will be representing him in a STATE case in Ohio?

The supporters of Larry Harris plan a massive support drive to raise funds for his defense and will converge on any hearings held in Ohio or elsewhere.

A Legal Defense Fund has been set up and proceeds from book and tape sales are being used to help free Harris so that he can continue his vital work. Contact Terry Stough (770) 641-9042 or by e-mail at TWStough@AOL.Com for further details on the Defense Fund and getting books and tapes on surviving a biological attack.

“Resistance to tyrants is obedience to God.”

—Thomas Jefferson

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Take Back Tennessee

<http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/Lobby/4218>

Project to Restore the Republic

<http://www.sbacenter.com/proj-1.htm>

Dot Bibee (SafanNews@aol.com) Ph/FAX (423) 577-7011

Index of Newsletters are available upon Request

James M. Seabourn

STOP ALL FEDERAL ABUSES NOW!!!

SAFAN Internet Newsletter, NO. 1092, Mar. 1, 1998

by Terry Stough (TWStough@aol.com)

The American Resistance Movement

Contact Terry Stough (770) 641-9042

CHAPTER 2

THE NEWS DESK

Dr. Al Overholt 2/28/98

GENE-ENGINEERED ANTHRAX: IS IT A WEAPON?

Excerpted from the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, <wrldline@texas.net>, 2/23/98: [quoting]

In an apparent first, Russian scientists have genetically engineered a new form of anthrax that may be able to defeat the vaccine that American troops will soon get to protect them against such biological agents, American scientists said Friday in interviews.

Since the advent of genetic engineering in the late 1970s and early 1980s, biological warfare experts have worried about the technique's possible use in making deadlier germs that could turn warfare into a more pernicious art.

But until now, no one has admitted taking the step of engineering a new pathogen that could be a potential military weapon.

Col. Gerald Parker, commander of the Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases in Fort Detrick, Md., said in an interview that experts "need to evaluate it" to learn whether the advance is theoretical or practical, and whether it could sidestep the American anthrax vaccine.

"It's one thing to do this in the lab," he said. "But it's a whole different thing to produce it in large quantities to be used as a weapon. That would be very difficult."

Officials at the institute said the Defense Department was working through diplomatic and other channels to get the Russians to share the new organism with American experts.

"This is the first indication we're aware of in which genes are being put into a fully virulent strain," said Col. Arthur Friedlander, chief of the bacteriology division at the institute.

If you believe the U.S. hasn't accomplished this I have a big bridge I'll sell you.

DEMJANJUK GETS U.S. CITIZENSHIP BACK

From the INTERNET, 2/22/98: [quoting]

Last week, a District Court in Ohio, USA reinstated the US citizenship of John Demjanjuk, who faced war crime charges in Israel as "Ivan the Terrible".

Thee 77-year-old Demjanjuk had his citizenship revoked in 1981, following the investigation against

him for war crimes during World War II. He was extradited to Israel in 1986, where he stood trial for his alleged war crimes.

The Jerusalem District Court found him guilty of war crimes and sentenced him to death but the decision was reversed by the High Court of Justice in 1993. [End quoting]

The Jews lost another round and it's time they quit torturing this elderly, defenseless man.

SATMAR HASID ACKNOWLEDGES ZIONIST
SABOTAGE OF HIMMLER'S PLAN
FOR WWII RESCUE OF JEWS

Excerpted from the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, <wrldline@texas.net>, 2/22/98: [quoting]

Here is a recent statement from a Satmar scholar, translated from Yiddish:

Before the war, the Zionist leaders proclaimed a massive international boycott against the German government. This aggressive policy poured fuel upon an already inflammatory situation, and consequently it helped start the Holocaust.

While the mass genocide of European Jewry took place, several plans were arranged to rescue as many Jews as possible [*Probably only "hand-picked" ones.*]. Rabbi Michael Ber Wisemandel and Joel Brand, among numerous others, arranged with silent approval from Hitler and German SS leaders, plans for the evacuation of hundreds of thousands of Jews, especially Hungarian, from Nazi persecution.

Every single plan was undermined and destroyed by the Zionist leaders and the Jewish Agency.

Rak B'Dam Thieye Lanuh Ha'Aretz' was the policy of the Zionist movement. In English: **"Only With Jewish Blood Can We Claim For, And Be Given A Land."** [*emphasis mine*]

[End quote from the Satmar Jewish scholar.]

Hoffman: Do we ever hear about the preceding in the establishment media? This is why I probe and this is why I doubt the official line. I feel sorry for people who do not use their minds to question.

The Campaign for Radical Truth in History, Box 849, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83816 <http://www.hoffman-info.com> [End quoting]

The Zionists care little about even their own people when they want something that calls for sacrificing some of them.

THOSE CLINTON POLLS—SCIENCE
OR SOOTHSAYING?

Excepted from the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, 2/22/98: [quoting]

“...thou shalt have no more soothsayers” —*Micah*

Cal Thomas, a kosher conservative, so-called religious right columnist, wrung his hands recently about the state of morality in America.

It seems he was shocked to discover that the polls say Clinton enjoys a 73% approval rating in spite of his White House hijinks with Miz Lewinsky.

The pollsters are the modern equivalent of soothsayers and they advance their buncombe not with the incense and mirrors of a Baal priest, but the modern equivalent, the mantle of science.

From the beginning of the rescue effort—which portions of the establishment media conducted on Clinton’s behalf—the first shot they fired for Pres. Billygoat, was telling us what the polls say.

Lo and behold, the *CBS Evening News* with Dan Rather announced that we were not as upset about Clinton’s alleged behavior as some commentators had first thought.

Telling us what we think and then using those supposed mass opinions to shape reality **has been at the root of the System’s mind control for a long time.** [*emphasis mine*]

Jim Condit, Jr. is America’s foremost investigator of computerized ballot fraud.

He has traveled the continent monitoring elections the way Jimmy Carter does in Third World countries, only Condit happens to be honest and the elections he monitors are not in Botswana, but right here in the good ol’ U.S.A, where Jim has discovered widespread evidence of the manipulation of electronic balloting.

Jim recently shared with your editor his views on the Clinton polls and their function as self-fulfilling prophecy.

The bogus polls—*Nightline* ran one the evening after Clinton’s State of the Union address, claiming that 74% of the American people thought that there was a right wing conspiracy to get Clinton!

One friend tells me that at the end of the show they corrected the figure to 42%—they probably got too much flak via e-mail from citizens calling them liars.

Then we have the bogus polls claiming Clinton is now at his highest approval rating ever. While anything is possible, I don’t believe it.

As long as the scoundrels running the media have control of the poll results—the computerized voting system, and the media—they can make anything come true.

I am constantly amazed that almost every conservative commentator takes these polls at face value.

Even the networks claim to interview only about 600 people—and the margin of error is only plus or minus 3%? PREPOSTEROUS!

But none of the conservative commentators ever ask who these pollsters interviewed, exactly what question was asked??

And it is a rare thing to even see a posting of how many people they claim to have interviewed. After watching VNS in operation, I believe most of the polls are a hoax.

Even if we assume that a regular sampling or samplings of any cross section(s) of 600 to 1200 people can actively reflect the opinion of 250 million people on issue after issue after issue (and I definitely do not accept this), not one of the people being polled can see the overview of the whole picture of those polled.

The caller cannot see the overall picture and certainly the viewers and listeners cannot see the overview of the real picture. Only the super-crooked owners of the Big Media can see the overview. I'm sure these accomplices to abortion baby-murders wouldn't think of falsifying any poll results.

In fact, the people taking the polls are probably often only window dressing—totally unrelated to the final results trumpeted to the nation.

Unfortunately, some Americans are so weak-minded in their current state, that the repetition of such bogus poll results may eventually help to cause them to become actually true. —Jim Condit, Jr. [End quoting]

And how could you get intelligent answers to unintelligible, slanted questions from uninformed people who get their news from uninformed news readers of propaganda media news?

MRS. CLINTON A MODEL
FOR YOUNG COMMUNISTS

From *THE GAZETTE*, Montreal, 2/18/98: [quoting]

Hillary Rodham Clinton's ability to win round public opinion with "little or no substance and no reasoning" has been recommended as a model for Chinese Communist Party cadres.

Yu Quanyu, the director of the press and media institute at Beijing's Academy of Social Sciences, picked U.S. President Bill Clinton's wife as a shining example of a successful manipulative orator, for apparatchiks to follow.

The accolade, to an article in *Ideological and Political Work Studies*, comes at a time when the first lady is credited with repairing her husbands scandal-damaged fortunes with a blistering attack on America's "vast right-wing conspiracy" during a nationwide television appearance two weeks ago.

That performance is seen as a triumph, particularly her denunciation of Clinton's detractors and her

suggestion that Kenneth Starr, the lawyer investigating presidential obstruction of justice, is a political partisan in league with Republican extremists.

Ever since, news media have focused as much on Starr's investigative techniques as on Clinton's alleged attempts to cover up a sexual affair with a young White House staff member. [End quoting]

The rule is to always attack the accuser's ethics, purposes and actions—stay on the offensive and to hell with truth.

FEDS ARGUE SHARPSHOOTER
SHOULD NOT FACE CHARGES

From *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, courtesy Norman McLaughlin, 2/10/98: [quoting]

The federal government on Monday sought the dismissal of a state involuntary manslaughter charge against the FBI sharpshooter who killed Randy Weaver's wife at Ruby Ridge six years ago. The Justice Department petition argued that Lon Horiuchi was protected by the Supremacy Clause of the *U.S. Constitution*, so he cannot be subject to state prosecution for actions in the line of duty. [End quoting]

I've read that a judge has transferred his case to a federal court. I guess you know what will probably happen now.

Horiuchi should be tried for being on Weaver's property for unconstitutional purposes—**also**.

MORE INFORMATION ON
THE 1918 "SPANISH" FLU

From a *CONTACT* READER, S.R., 2/9/98: [quoting]

Dear Sirs:

PBS just had a special program on the "Spanish Flu" of 1918-19. Remembering what *CONTACT* said earlier about this epidemic I found one part of the program very interesting. The program says that the flu first broke out, not in Europe, but at Ft. Riley in Kansas. This happened in March of 1918. Some 100 people came down with it after a big manure pile was burned. Then 500 more the next day—48 died. According to the program, infected soldiers then took the disease to Europe causing the outbreak there. If this were true, why did the disease vanish for 6 months and why didn't it start spreading from Kansas instead of Belleu Wood? The program did ask the question: "Since it first broke out in Kansas, why was it called the Spanish Flu instead of the American Flu?"

Actually, it is clear what happened. The bioweapon was tested on unwitting soldiers at Ft. Riley. When it worked, it was mass produced, loaded into shells and shipped to Europe. This is very similar to the testing of bioweapons on American troops in the Gulf War. Can it be that a rerun is planned? It would fit the Plan 2,000 nicely. And why was this film aired now after ignoring the flu

for 80 years? Yours truly, S.R. [End quoting]

I think we know the answer to that question.

THE REAL ORIGINAL AMERICAN

Excerpted from a BOOK REVIEW of *America B.C.*, by Nelson Waller, review by Barry Fell: [quoting]

Move over, Columbus. Hold it, Leif Erickson. You've suddenly got a lot of company.

I've mentioned several times the very extensive settlement of the Americas by visitors from 5500 B.C. on. Now the definitive book on the subject has fallen into my hands: the 1989 edition of *America B. C.*

I cannot recommend the book too highly, even though it is spiritually neutral about a major aspect of history. Reading it, you may feel like it's your first Christmas all over again if you enjoy getting your hands on a whole lot of TRUTH in one place.

"Druids in Vermont. Phoenicians in Iowa before the time of Julius Caesar!" brags the front cover of the book. It's all inside, and speaking of Caesar, the Romans had American ports of call from at least 225 A.D. on!

New England is an apt name for the American pilgrims' northern settlement. Little did they know that the last Norse-Celtic Atlantic expedition of prehistory had landed in New England in 1396, led by Prince Henry Sinclair. Or did they know it? In 1398 a member of the expedition, Sir John Gunn, died at modern Westford, Mass., where his rock-cut memorial and coat of arms may still be seen.

Maybe the name "*Bible Belt*" is more apt than anybody knew, too. A.D. 225-625: "Hebrew-inscribed stone at Bat Creek, Tennessee (is) now radio-carbon-dated to this era, having previously been considered to be Cherokee and modern by Smithsonian excavators. Ancient Hebrew coins also found at other sites in southeastern states."

"The author of all this political-correctness-busting info is Barry Fell, a genial-looking Harvard professor. His scholarship is as profound as it is exciting.

Vast trade and industry went on in "America B.C." There were visits from Carthaginian traders in North America and the Caribbean from 300 BC on, bringing coinage of Punic Sicily, North Africa and other Mediterranean states. Get this: from 1500 B.C. on, there were "Iberian, Celtic, and Egyptian contacts with Indian nations of the northeast. Much of the extant Algonquian vocabulary related to law, medicine, and navigation is derived from these contacts, and overseas scripts, notably the Basque syllabary and Egyptian hieroglyphs, acquired from this time on."

These ventures stretched from the Atlantic to the Pacific. From 2,000 B.C. on there's evidence of "The Old Copper Culture of north Michigan and Lake Superior region... with some 5,000 copper

mines in operation on and near the Copper Peninsula. Millions of pounds of copper extracted and apparently exported abroad, as inferred by researches of mining engineers.” In 800-1200 A.D., Moslem Arab voyages crossed both Atlantic and Pacific, as evidenced by Moslem rock-cut text in western desert states of North America. Arab nautical, legal, and religious vocabulary were acquired by American Indian languages.

We haven’t scratched the surface. Read this book! [End quoting]

Sounds like it has more truth than most history books you can buy.

MORE ON JOSEPH McCARTHY

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 2/23/98: [quoting]

Response to a letter to the editor:

We have sent you as a free gift Mrs. Larry Lent’s book about Joe McCarthy. Read it and we are sure you’ll be surprised. So McCarthy was an alcoholic. Too bad. Many other men are. This has nothing to do with his work. The Gestapo and KGB files show that he was right. The Roosevelt administration was literally packed with Soviet spies and this is why the *New York Times* and the pro-Soviet liberals of the day hated McCarthy’s name and why they smeared him so heavily. He may have “played politics” with his so-called “Red hunt”, but he was absolutely right when he said that “80 known communists work[ed] in the Department of State.”

We always correct any significant error of fact. Our statements about McCarthy are not in error. We hope you’re able to accept the truth, no matter how disturbing, and stay with your best source of information ignored or distorted by the mainstream media —Editor [End quoting]

If my memory serves me correctly, I believe it was Calvin Burgin who wrote—in his recent article in *CONTACT*—that Franklin Roosevelt was a card-carrying communist and was very proud to flash his card around.

CLINTON AND HIS BUDDY ABE FOXMAN

*Want to know what the internationalists
have in store for us?
Check what just happened in Canada.*

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 2/23/98: [quoting]

Ignorance is not bliss when it can lead to the destruction of freedom of speech and freedom of the press in the United States. So allow me to enlighten one and all on an impending assault on our *First Amendment* rights.

During one of Bill Clinton's "Feel Good Frolics" staged for the news media and entitled the "White House Conference On Hate Crimes", Clinton was joined by Abraham Foxman of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith (ADL) in calling for legislation to curb "hate" like that of Canada. This proposal was given silent affirmation by the whole of the American news media since no one in the press took the time or trouble to even examine this wonderful "hate" legislation in Canada.

For starters, we have Bill 33, the amended Human Rights Act. It prohibits the publication of material that "indicates discrimination" or is "likely to expose a person or a group or class of persons to hatred or contempt".

Think about it. It means that no one is allowed to say anything less than flattering about any person, or any group, or any class of people, or condemn any activity of same whatsoever.

Have we forgotten that there are some pretty repulsive people on this planet engaged in some pretty loathsome practices who richly deserve to be condemned, held in contempt, shunned and discriminated against by decent people? Under Bill 33, all of this loathsome lot must be welcomed with open arms into everyone's society and it becomes an offense to even suggest otherwise or adversely comment in print.

One must, under this crazy law, roll out the welcome wagon for every degenerate, every wacko on the lunatic fringe, and everyone involved in every crazy cult. Remember, the truth is no excuse for "discrimination".

THAT'S NOT ALL

It gets a lot worse. The unfortunate Canadians are also saddled with Bill 32 that establishes the appointment of a "Council on Human Rights" (CHR) that is empowered by the government to seek out alleged human rights violations—even when there hasn't been a complaint. In short, Canada has now replicated George Orwell's "Thought Police", replete with two-bit tyrants out to justify their existence at the expense of freedom of speech and freedom of the press.

Get this: The CHR has the power to award the person discriminated against "an amount that the board of inquiry considers appropriate to compensate that person for injury to dignity, feelings and self respect". And there is no limit on the amount of the award.

A newspaper might easily hurt someone's "feelings" with a completely factual article to the extent that a very large award could easily put a small publication into receivership. Remember, the truth is no excuse for all the horrors of "hurt feelings" or "injury to the dignity" of someone engaged in some revolting habits. Why, one might easily rob the charming fellow of "self respect" by pointing out his disgusting habits.

This legislation is clearly designed to intimidate the press. It is censorship under the threat of huge fines. Or, in the U.S., a protracted and very expensive battle in the courts against a government bureaucracy.

The ADL has been lobbying for a right to censor ever since it came into existence, and Abraham Foxman *et al.* are quite willing to accept any form of censorship—as long as the ADL can take an active part in it. The Clinton administration and the ADL are a match made in George Orwell’s Oceania.

This “council” on “hate” makes a complete shambles of Articles V, VI and VIII of our *Bill of Rights*. Under this Canadian delight, one would be judged by bureaucrats who have been appointed by a politician without recourse to our constitutional protections.

TEST EFFECTIVENESS

It’s little wonder that Bill Clinton is so enamored of this “hate legislation”. He’s constantly whining about “hate radio” and this “hate legislation” would certainly solve his problem. One person’s opinion is another’s “hurt feelings”. Or, in Bill’s case, another’s “hate radio”. Question: Under a Clinton-appointed “council” wouldn’t all those parody songs about Bill and Hill be considered an injury to their “dignity” and “self respect”? [End quoting]

TREASURES IN PRISON CELLS

From LETTERS TO THE IMPRISONED, #18, 2/16/98, Ray E. Burrup, HC 65 Box 554, 520-875-2036, Cane Beds, AZ 86022: [quoting]

It was late and I was tired, wanting to go to sleep, but God wanted to talk; it was about midnight, but it dawned on me that God does not sleep. His question made me restless.

“Bill, where on Earth does man keep his most priceless treasures and valuables?” I said “Lord, usually these treasures like gold, silver, diamonds and precious jewels are kept locked up somewhere out of sight, usually with guards and security to keep them under lock and key.” God spoke: “Like man, My most valuable treasures on Earth are also locked up.” I saw Jesus standing in front of seemingly thousands of prisons and jails. The Lord said, “These have almost been destroyed by the enemy, but these souls have the greatest potential to be used, and to bring forth glory to My name. Tell My people, I am going this hour to the prisons to activate the gifts and callings that lie dormant in these lives that were given before the foundation of the Earth. Out from these walls will come forth a Spiritual army that will have power to literally kick down the gates of Hell and overcome satanic powers that are holding many of My people bound in My own House.

“Tell My people that great treasure is behind these walls, in these forgotten vessels. My people must come forth and touch these lives, for a mighty anointing will be unleashed upon them for future victory in My kingdom. **They must be restored.**”

GOLD AND SILVER IN OUR PRISONS

Then I saw the Lord step up to the prison doors with a key. One key fit every lock and the gates began to open. I then heard and saw great explosions which sounded like dynamite going off behind the walls. It sounded like all-out spiritual warfare. Jesus turned and said, “Tell My people

to go in now and pick up the spoil and rescue them”. Jesus then began walking in and touching inmates who were thronging him. Many, being touched, instantly began to have a golden glow come over them. God spoke to me, **“There’s the gold!”** Others, had a silver glow around them; God said, **“There’s the silver!”**

Like slow motion they began to grow into what appeared to be giant knights in armor—like warriors. They had on the entire armor of God and every piece was solid and pure gold! Even golden shields! When I saw the golden shields, I heard God say to these warriors, “Now go and take what Satan has taught you and use it against him. Go and pull down the strongholds coming against My Church.” The spiritual giants then started stepping over the prison walls with no one to resist them and they went immediately to the very front line of the battle with the enemy. I saw them walk right past the church, and big-name ministers, known for their power with God, were surpassed by the giant warriors like David going after Goliath! They crossed the enemy’s line and started delivering many of God’s people from the clutches of Satan while demons trembled and fled out of sight at their presence.

No one, not even the Church seemed to know who these spiritual giants were or where they came from. All you could see was the armor, the golden armor of God, from head to foot, and the shields of gold were there. The shields were restored to God’s House and there was great victory and rejoicing. (From Brian Mahony, Federal Prisoner #34649-004, Jan. 29, 1998, Box PMB, Atlanta, GA 30315 [End quoting])

This reminds me of the statement that Hatonn made a few years ago, pertaining to the large prison we have here in the Tehachapi area. Paraphrasing: He said that in the future we would be very thankful that this prison—with all of its inmates—is here.

Much thanks to you, Ray, for all your efforts to enlighten God’s people who are behind those steel bars.

YOU’RE NOT GETTING OLD,
YOU’RE GETTING POISONED!

Imagine being sent to a nursing home because your brain has been attacked by toxic chemicals!

From UNKNOWN, magazine clipping: [quoting]

Kate’s mother, Florence, had recently moved to a brand new retirement community, with spanking new buildings. But within a couple of months Florence had gotten so horribly depressed and senile that she couldn’t live there anymore. So Kate sadly brought her home to live with her until they could get her into a skilled nursing home.

The neat thing was that in the month wait between checking out of the condo and entering the nursing home, Florence’s senility *disappeared* and her alert, bouncy personality returned! She wasn’t senile, she had suffered a reaction to the hydrocarbons that had outgassed from the new carpet and construction materials in her condo. Getting her out of the condo was the cure she needed. Together, Kate and I got Florence’s detox system working better and we found Florence a

less toxic place to live. Now she's fine. Do you experience lack of concentration, mood swings, irritability, dizzy spells, loss of memory? The cause could very well be a common chemical like toluene, which out-gasses from paints, furniture and carpets. And, the solution could be as simple as installing an air purifier to cleanse the air in your home of toluene and other airborne toxins. [End quoting]

This is an excellent suggestion, especially if you have changed residences, made renovations or refurbished your dwelling and start having bodily symptoms you can't explain.

CHURCH INVESTING IN ARMS TRADE

From UNKNOWN, magazine clipping: [quoting]

The Church of England (called Episcopalian in the United States) is the established church in England having originated in the councils between church and state throughout the Middle Ages, culminating in the Act of Supremacy issued by King Henry VIII in 1534. This repudiated papal supremacy and declared the King to be supreme head of the Church of England. Britain's *Mirror* newspaper reports that dozens of churches, including a branch of the Red Cross, own shares of major arms companies. The newspaper says that the Church of England is the largest investor of them all in the international arms trade with 5.5 million shares worth more than \$30 million (U.S. dollars) in GEC, the United Kingdom's biggest arms supplier. [End quoting]

This is not at all surprising since the elite rulers set up the church and also the Red Cross which takes it's name from the **Red Shield=Rothschild**.

UNITED RELIGIONS INITIATIVE

United Religions works toward global charter

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Gail Irwin, 2/20/98: [quoting]

San Francisco, Bishop William Swing, CA, Episcopal News Service, James Solheim, Director

The United Religions Initiative (URI), a San Francisco-based movement "to bring religions and spiritual traditions to a common table", recently gained support and is developing specific strategies, according to Bishop William Swing of California.

The Rev. William Rankin, former dean of the Episcopal Divinity School in Cambridge, Massachusetts, has become vice president of development for the URI. The goal is to raise \$10 million by 2001. Joining Rankin as a key adviser is Dee Hock, creator of the Visa card.

The initiative—founded by Swing during the 50th anniversary for the United Nations in 1995—will create "an oasis of hospitality, and arena of diplomacy" for world religions. The intent is to form a "permanent, daily, global assembly" where "they will seek to make peace among religions so they might work together for the good of all life and the healing of the Earth," Swing said in January.

URI currently is working toward the signing of a global charter in June 2000, which would lead to creation of United Religions, “a new global organization with the potential to change the course of human history”, Swing said. Last June, 200 advocates assembled at Stanford University to begin writing the charter, and leaders are planning regional conferences in Cairo, Nairobi, Brussels, New Delhi, Venezuela, and New Zealand.

The URI also intends to call the world’s religious and spiritual communities to commit to 24 hours of non-violence on December 31, 1999, as a “time of reflection, repentance, and resolution to offer the deepest values of their faith as a gift for a better world”, Swing said.

(Information about the URI can be found at the website <www.united-religions.org> —Based on a report by Bob Williams, communications director in the Diocese of Los Angeles. [End quoting] This is the NWO’s one-world religion that we will be forced to join—if we don’t **WAKE UP SOON!!**

OPEN LETTER TO US ALL

From LETTERS TO THE IMPRISONED, #18,, 2/16/98, Ray E. Burrup, HC 65, Box 554 520-875-2036, Cane Beds, AZ 86022: [quoting]

Dear fellow citizen of EARTH,

If you are one of the very, very few who have accepted and fully applied to your life the full truth that has been plainly revealed to the world in these Fullness of Times days, never before openly and plainly stated to the world at large—then you “REJOICE EVERMORE” (*1 Thess.5:16*). You are joyful with the delightful precious Truth and the work you are doing to share it.

The purpose of these pages and the other letters is to make more available information that has been most helpful to me. Truth on both sides of the circle—that makes it complete—understandable and fully appreciated. The two opposites that are necessary to make the whole truth. Anyone who follows the worldly way that we were trained to follow, flinching and turning away from the “unpleasant facts” instead of learning just what the situation is and the action it calls for, cannot be a wise and harmless Truth seeker and supporter and do his part well in the restoration of law and order, the building of high-integrity society.

So we must know about the most satanic as well as the most godly and true. We must face squarely the fact that each and every one of us is offered great opportunities as Truthbearers and each and every one of us is held responsible for world conditions. We must each clear ourselves of the blood and sins of our generation or we will, when we review our lives in the light of all Truth, judge ourselves as having been lacking—and need it be said that that is hell? The awful burning disappointment in seeing that we woke up too late—we put off doing what we knew that we should do, our conscience, God’s voice, speaking quietly and plainly to us at all times; we ignored the plain truth, lying to ourselves that we were doing well enough and would do better later. Then later comes, the time all run out, and in that situation, we’re too late with our good intentions. The awful burning of our self-recrimination—is there any worse hell than the despair we would feel if we

made ourselves too late to clear ourselves by putting all we have on the line, taking Jesus' (Emmanuel's) side in the war which is being won with Truth, not with any silly action depending on the use of guns against laser-beam weapons?

Especially, we citizens of this once powerful country have a solemn responsibility for the continuing murder and sacking of nations by the criminal Elite, because we slept while our billions and our country's power was used and is being used to murder Iraq; Rwanda; Bosnia; Africa, not just Rwanda; other Arabian nations, not just Iraq; and, and, and. The plans to completely own and rule the planet, depopulating it from what is more likely around six thousand five hundred of millions than the 5½ we usually hear, down to only the 300 elite families and the slaves that they choose to keep alive—these plans are alive, but not well. Mainly because the devil's kingdom is divided against itself, not because mankind has shown any common sense to stop his violations of God's—Nature's and Spirit's—laws and act responsibly. The three criminal cliques, Chinese, Russian, and American-English are the wicked destroying the wicked. The English-American clique has split, so we have two plus two ½s that are destroying each other. But "...awake to a sense of your awful situations because of this secret combination which shall be among **you...**" (*Esther 8:24*). We must, each of us, (repeat and repeat until it sinks in) clear our skirts, the skirts of the wedding garment that must be spotless or we won't be allowed in to the feast that we most desire above all others to enjoy.

We see more and more valiant fighters each day, people awake to the awful situation and fighting their frontline fight well, seeing at last that the frontline is wherever you are, and using facts well-proven with which to win. Abortion pictures are unpleasant. Sincerely. [End quoting]

Time is short!!

WHAT PRAYER CAN DO

One Friday afternoon I trimmed the brown leaves on the rosebush next to the window in my office, doubting it would ever make a comeback. It was in sad shape and so was I: I had just broken up with my boyfriend, Jim. For almost a year I had prayed for our friendship to grow and given most of my free time to work on just that. My plant, on the other hand, I had neglected even to water. Now it looked dead—just as I felt.

The weekend was sure to be a long, lonely one. That night I tossed and turned, emotionally exhausted but too miserable to sleep. I wanted to pick up the phone and try to work things out with Jim. Instead I prayed, *God, you've promised not to leave your children comfortless. Please share your peace with me so I can get some rest.* For the next few minutes I had the sensation of being rocked to sleep.

My heartbreak didn't disappear over the weekend, and it wouldn't be easy to let my hopes die. But on Monday morning I walked into my office and there on my rosebush was a single bud, waiting to bloom. That's when I knew: If my plant could pull through with so little attention, how much more would I thrive under God's care? Allison Sample, Guideposts Assistant Editor [End quoting]

How often do we fail to see or feel the signs of God's love?

CHAPTER 3

DEALING WITH THE I.R.S. LAWFULLY AND LEGALLY

by Ray Bilger 2/25/98

For most people there is no federal government agency that strikes more fear into the hearts of Americans than the I.R.S. (Internal Revenue Service). Anyone who has received a NOTICE OF LEVY, or who has had their house seized to pay back-due taxes, knows firsthand the heartbreak and sheer terror that an I.R.S. agent can deliver.

As an example of those who have gone as far as committing suicide as a result of unbelievable I.R.S. oppression, Bruce Barron had been harassed by the I.R.S. for nine years when he did himself in, in 1996, with his car running in the garage, himself sitting inside, at the family's Cape Cod, Massachusetts vacation home. His note to his wife said the I.R.S. "sits, does nothing and watches you die...I'm sorry for hurting everyone by doing this...I hope there is enough insurance to pay them [I.R.S.]."

It was this sort of abuse that sparked an extensive congressional investigation in 1997 which heard story after story of brutal I.R.S. tactics. Part of the outcome of those hearings was to have the I.R.S. assume "taxpayers" are innocent until proven guilty. It appears, however, that nothing will come to pass from the congressional effort, which seemed to be designed simply to appease citizens.

But does the I.R.S. have the lawful and legal authority to do these things to the average American citizen? And, does the I.R.S. actually have the lawful and legal authority to assess and collect taxes on the income of the average American? Let's examine all available information and then you determine for yourself if this agency is operating lawfully and legally in all of its actions, or if the taxing laws are perhaps being misapplied. Then we'll see just what you as an individual can do to stop the abuse, if indeed you perceive there is any.

Before we proceed any further, however, let it be very clearly known that this article is in no way intended to be an endorsement or encouragement for anyone to stop paying income taxes. This article is provided for information purposes only. This investigator and *CONTACT* and the publisher hereby disclaim any and all responsibility for any liability or loss incurred as a result of applying, either directly or indirectly, any information presented herein. This is simply a legal disclaimer we have to make. That having been said, let's look objectively at the income tax laws. Intelligent readers should be able to make intelligent decisions when provided with all of the information available.

As well, nothing presented herein should be construed as giving legal advice. This investigator does not give legal advice, but does give his opinion about matters when asked. It is important that citizens always stay well within the parameters of applicable laws.

Under current United States laws, only attorneys licensed to practice law can give legal advice. If one who is not a licensed attorney gives legal advice, they are subject to being charged with practic-

ing law without a license. Very serious. There is absolutely no mention of this whatsoever in the *Constitution*, yet this is current U.S. law!

Also, those licensed attorneys have a title of nobility after their name, that title being Esquire. That is mentioned in the *Constitution*, and is absolutely forbidden. In fact, the original *Thirteenth Amendment*, still technically in force as it has never been repealed, ratified by three-fourths of the States in 1819, states that anyone who retains such a title of nobility ceases being a citizen of the United States of America! No wonder the lawyers secretly removed that one in the chaos and confusion of the Civil War, replacing it with a new *Thirteenth Amendment* about slavery.

Since today these attorneys are the only ones who may give legal advice, they are the only ones permitted to represent someone else in a court of law. Again, the authority for this cannot be found anywhere in the *Constitution*. On the contrary, the *Sixth Amendment* explicitly states that a citizen is to be accorded the right “to have the assistance of counsel for his defense”.

The *Sixth Amendment* is not qualified. It makes no mention of lawyers or attorneys. And for good reason. Anyone familiar with the time period in which the *Constitution* was drafted knows that there was a very strong anti-lawyer sentiment, widespread throughout the colonies, so the term “counsel” referred to anyone conversant in the law, even if it be your “next best friend”.

For an excellent and thorough overview of the history of this matter, read the Supreme Court’s decision in the case of Faretta v. California (1975), 422 U.S. 806, beginning about page 812, of the opinion of the court. Unfortunately, the domain of the courtroom is now only accessible to those who have a vested interest (attorneys and judges) in keeping the average citizen ignorant about the law.

Right here we should stop and clarify something for the layman. The numbering system accompanying legal cases, as in Faretta, is really a simple and convenient way to locate the case in any law library. The letters, U.S., in the middle of 422 U.S. 806, tell us this case will be found in a series of volumes of books called United States Reports, which contains all cases adjudicated by the U.S. Supreme Court. The number 422 which precedes the letters U.S. is the number of the volume of the U.S. Reports, while the number 806 which follows U.S. is the page number in that volume.

So, if we want to find this case, simply ask the law librarian where the U.S. Reports are located, find volume 422 and turn to page 806 in that volume and there you will find Faretta begins. The opinion of the court continues through page 836, and it is an informative case on the counsel issue.

That same numbering system is consistent throughout the law library. There are, however, many other volumes designated by different letters between the numbers. For example, in the case of Morse v. U.S., 494 F.2d 876, the F.2d refers to the Federal Reporter Second Series, which you can ask the law librarian to locate. That was a U.S. Court of Appeals case.

If the case only has the letter F., this indicates the initial or first series, simply called the Federal Reporter. After 999 volumes were printed, the decision was made to start over with a Second Series and to call it F.2d. The law library is really quite simple once you understand it.

Now, if you ever receive any kind of letter from the I.R.S. stating that you owe them money, or that they plan to take some sort of legal action against you, no matter what it is, you should realize that the I.R.S. has now begun to build a case against you. If you are not organizing this correspondence and conversely building your case against them, they may well prevail against you. This is not legal advice, but simply a matter of fact.

It is, therefore, necessary that you organize all correspondence from the I.R.S. along with your responses. A three-ring notebook can be very convenient for this purpose as everything is now on 8 1/2 x 11 paper.

Ready for a shocking yet wonderful realization? The I.R.S. is only lawfully and legally authorized to assess and collect income taxes from individuals who are involved in some way with the manufacture, sale or distribution of Alcohol, Tobacco Products or Firearms. Maybe you should read that again. That doesn't mean if you drink a glass of wine or smoke a cigarette. You must, for example, be one who manufactures or imports or distributes wine or cigarettes. You don't believe it? Read on.

The I.R.S. operates for the average citizen through fear, threat and intimidation. If you ever filled out a 1040 Form, you probably did so because you felt it your obligation, or you may have felt threatened that if you didn't do so the I.R.S. would come after you. Most citizens would be in fear of this happening so they fill out the form.

We need to also understand something else right here. Legalese, or the language of law, although it uses what sound like everyday words, has its own dictionaries with legal definitions. If you try to use *Webster's Dictionary* to define legal terms, you will be shooting yourself in the foot. It didn't have to be this way, but it is now yet another reason for only the lawyers to understand what's going on.

The power that the I.R.S. has to collect income taxes comes from their "authority" to act and conduct business as an agency of the federal government. The term "authority" is defined as "Permission; Control over, jurisdiction". This is from *Black's Law Dictionary*, 4th Revised Edition, 1968. This is a fairly good dictionary. Don't use anything newer if you can help it. The older the better, as it is closer to the original intent of America's Founders. Language and history are changed over time by changing the definitions of words.

There are 50 Titles of the United States Code (U.S.C.) dealing with every aspect of the federal government. The taxing statutes of the I.R.S. are found in Title 26 U.S.C. Title 26 is also called the Internal Revenue Code (I.R.C.). But this I.R.C. is only a codification of taxing statutes, and those statutes are not the "authority" to assess and collect taxes, but are only evidence that such "authority" exists elsewhere. And where is that "authority" to be found? Treasury Department Rules and Regulations provide the "authority" to implement and enforce the I.R.C.

Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, 1991, at pages 1286 and 1287, tells us that "Treasury Dept. Regulations represent the position of the I.R.S. as to how the I.R.C. is to be interpreted." Likewise, Title 26 U.S.C. (the I.R.C.) at Section 7805, states that "The Secretary [of the Treasury] shall pre-

scribe all needful rules and regulations for the enforcement of this title [26].”

So, we see that the I.R.C. is not the law, but is only prima facie evidence that taxing laws exist [see Title 1 U.S.C. Section 204(a)]. And those tax laws are the Treasury Regulations, which provide the “authority” to assess and collect all income taxes. If we were to only look at the I.R.C., we might get a wrong impression of exactly what the statute means. When we look at the Regulations, they may give those statutes totally different meanings.

If this seems confusing so far, please realize that it has been intentionally designed that way to confuse the average American. This is so that you don’t ever figure out the taxing laws. But we are getting somewhere with this. Let’s continue.

We must now find out where these Treasury Regulations can be found in order to see the exact “authority” to assess and collect income taxes, as well as the “authority” for the I.R.S. to issue Liens, Levys, Summonses, and to charge Interest, Penalties, etc. *Black’s Law Dictionary*, 6th Ed., at page 1286, states that “United States Government regulations... are arranged by subject in the Code of Federal Regulations.” The Code of Federal Regulations, or C.F.R., is another series of volumes located at the law library which contain all U.S. Government regulations, including Treasury Dept. Regulations.

Now we need some kind of map, or a key, or a table which tells us exactly where the particular regulation is located (in the C.F.R.) which implements and enforces a specific section of the I.R.C. Without such a table we would have to read every word of every volume to figure this out on our own. Quite a maze, isn’t it?

Such a table does exist to help us locate a particular regulation, and that table is called the “Parallel Table of Authorities”. This is the table the I.R.S. absolutely does not want the average American to know about. The very last volume of the C.F.R. is called the Index. In the Table of Contents for the Index you will find a listing for the Parallel Table of Authorities.

The Parallel Table of Authorities goes on for hundreds of pages. It consists entirely of a continuous listing of two columns of numbers. The left-hand column is a listing of every Title and Section of the United States Code (U.S.C.), including Title 26 U.S.C. To the right of each of the Section numbers is the Title number and Part of the C.F.R. where the regulations can be found which implement and enforce (i.e., the “authority”) the Section of the U.S.C. in the left-hand column.

In the inset is a photocopy of just one page of the Parallel Table of Authorities, showing some of Title 26 U.S.C. in the left column [see end chapter]. Written in by hand is a listing of what some of the I.R.C. Sections actually are. Let us look, for example, at Section 6201, Assessment Authority.

If you look at Section 6201 in the I.R.C., you will find that it states, “Assessment Authority. (a) The Secretary (of the Treasury) is authorized and required to make the inquiries, determinations, and assessments of all taxes... imposed by this title [26]...” So, if the I.R.S. believes you owe income tax, they can assess you by sending you a Notice and Demand for Payment. But should you assume

it applies to you just because it is sent to you? If it does not apply to you, but it is sent to you anyway, it could be by mistake (unintentional), or it could be intentional (a misapplication of tax laws, which could possibly involve extortion if enforcement action were taken)!

If we look now at the page from the Parallel Table of Authorities, at the number in the column to the right of Section 6201, we see that it shows 27 Part 70 **[see end of chapter]**. This means Title 27 of the C.F.R., Part 70. Title 27 C.F.R. is Alcohol, Tobacco Products and Firearms.

Also inset is a photocopy of a page from Title 27 C.F.R., showing Part 70.1, which explains the General Scope of Part 70. Under Part 70.1(c) we find “assessment”. We also see here summonses, payment on notice and demand, interest, penalties, enforced collection activities (includes Liens, Levy, and Seizing Property), tax evasion, and a lot more. Key to all of this is Part 70.1(d). Read it and weep. Are you involved in your employment with importing or distributing any of those things (wine, beer, tobacco products, firearms, etc.)? If you are not, then Title 26 U.S.C. Section 6201, Assessment Authority, simply put, cannot legally be applied to you!

If there were some other Section of the I.R.C. for assessment or collection of income taxes applicable to all citizens, then you may owe an income tax. Check it out for yourself. There is no other Section for Assessment Authority other than 6201. Do you feel like you’ve been robbed? Well, you haven’t, but you may have been tricked. What’s the difference?

Did anyone hold a gun to your head and force you to fill out and then sign a 1040 Form? If they did not, then the (twisted) law says you did it voluntarily. You see, no one can force you to fill out that 1040 Form, but neither will anyone stop you from doing so. By voluntarily filling out the 1040 Form you have agreed to have yourself classified with those who are involved in the manufacture, sale or distribution of Alcohol, Tobacco Products and Firearms. How many citizens would voluntarily part with their hard-earned pay in this manner if they knew the situation was as explained here?

Go back now for a moment and look again at the page from the Parallel Table of Authorities. Note how many of the I.R.C. Sections which might be termed their “enforcement mechanisms” (those Sections which are the real teeth of the I.R.C.) derive their “authority” from regulations codified in Title 27 C.F.R. Not shown on this page but also under 27 C.F.R. is Penalty of Perjury, Sale of Property, and Applicability of Revenue Laws (Section 7851), etc., etc.

Does it appear as though the I.R.S. may be misapplying the Revenue Laws against the majority of Americans, who are not involved in the manufacture, etc., of Alcohol, Tobacco Products, etc.? Does it seem as though there is a giant scam going on which you have not been told about? Perhaps you are one of those citizens who still believe there is some aspect of the U.S. Government that functions ethically and in accordance with the *Constitution*.

Some might say, “Well, don’t you want to support the legitimate infrastructure functions of the federal government?” Interesting question. America achieved all of its growth and development, from this nation’s inception up until World War II, from funds derived by taxing corporations and businesses (which operate as a matter of privilege rather than as a matter of right).

We might ask ourselves the question: If there was no tax on income prior to World War II, was such a tax envisioned by America's Founders under the Common Law established in the United States of America? The words of Thomas Jefferson in his First Inaugural Address seem unmistakably clear on the subject: (quoting)

Still one thing more, fellow citizens—a wise and frugal government, which shall restrain men from injuring one another, shall leave them otherwise free to regulate their own pursuits of industry and improvement, and shall not take from the mouth of labor the bread it has earned. This is the sum of good government... (End quoting)

Far indeed have we come from that concept. An income tax on the average American's earnings is definitely not sanctioned under the Common Law.

Besides, all income taxes collected today go to pay the interest on the debt to the international bankers who own the Federal Reserve. Hard to believe? Here is what President Ronald Reagan said in 1984 in his Grace Commission Report on government waste: (quoting)

100% of what is collected (income tax) is absorbed solely by interest on the federal debt and by federal government contributions to transfer payments. In other words, all individual income tax revenues are gone before one nickel is spent on the services taxpayers expect from their government. (End quoting)

Things have become so corrupt in recent decades that big corporations can get away with paying no taxes at all. Prior to Ronald Reagan's election to the presidency he was doing a TV program for General Electric. Once he was in office, General Electric paid no taxes for 1982!

Sad, isn't it? So where does the money come from for federal infrastructure functions? Simple: The Congress appropriates billions of dollars for this or that and the Federal Reserve has the money printed out of thin air (with huge interest payments, of course). Is the Congress in on the scam? Silly question. You know what bears do in the woods!

The Federal Reserve is operating the biggest confidence game (con game) in the world, by far, and it is completely sanctioned by our Congress and government. The reason the Congress is now advocating a national sales tax instead of the income tax is because the information about A.T. & F. is becoming exposed and the international bankers know that that game is almost over. They now need to get our blood from some other means. It would be nice if they just went back to taxing big business, but if the government is owned by big business, it's difficult to imagine them taxing themselves!

What can the average citizen do if he discovers that the income tax laws are being misapplied against him or her? That is a matter for each individual to decide for him or herself. But there is a little story that can be told here—completely true, by the way.

This investigator was served with 17 (seventeen) Summonses by the I.R.S. in late 1993, to appear before the I.R.S. and produce certain books and records relative to an alleged income tax liability.

This investigator thoroughly did his homework and prepared a correct legal presentation, with EXHIBITS, including information revealed in this article. A Summons Hearing was set for April of 1994. The I.R.S. was informed that there would be four (4) witnesses present and that the Hearing would be tape-recorded. The I.R.S. indicated that they would have two (2) agents present and they would tape-record the Hearing as well.

On April 18, 1994, at 10:00 a.m., the Summons Hearing was held. This was an administrative hearing; that is, a hearing held before an administrative agency of the federal government. These matters can best be dealt with at this level, thereby foregoing the necessity of having to appear in federal court.

This investigator carried into the Summons Hearing a large attache case and a small suitcase filled with law books. They could have been filled with rocks because they never would be opened. The I.R.S. believed they contained the extensive books and records which had been requested in the Summonses.

During the Hearing the I.R.S. was informed about Title 5 of United States Code, Section 556(d). Section 556 deals with hearings before administrative agencies of the federal government. Subsection (d) states that: "Except as otherwise provided by statute, the proponent of a rule or order has the burden of proof". What this means is that since the I.R.S. was proposing that this investigator might owe some income tax, the burden of proof was on the I.R.S. to show some "authority" to issue the Summons and assess any tax. The lower-level agents at a hearing like this do not really understand the law or what "authority" means.

Due to the fact that there are no I.R.C. Sections for tax assessment other than those implemented and enforced by Alcohol, Tobacco Products and Firearms regulations, and due to the fact that this investigator has never been involved with such things, nothing was resolved at the Summons Hearing and the books and records were never opened. All the I.R.S. had to do was to show some "authority" (i.e., rules and regulations) other than A.T. & F., but they couldn't do it.

A copy of the transcript of that Summons Hearing may be obtained by sending \$10.00 (cash or U.S. Postal Money Order only) to Raymond Bilger, P.O. Box 1911-74, Tehachapi, California 93581. Please feel free to copy and widely distribute the transcript.

Since nothing was resolved at the Summons Hearing, two days later this investigator put the I.R.S. on Legal NOTICE that they had 10 (ten) days to show some applicable "authority" for their actions, otherwise their Summons would be considered null and void ab initio (from the beginning). It is now 1998 and the I.R.S. has still not made any response whatsoever.

The ten-day NOTICE, as well as the Summons Hearing, demanded some "authority" from the I.R.S., and that "authority" had to show three (3) things: (1) The Statute, (2) The Regulation, and (3) The Part of the Code of Federal Regulations where that regulation can be found applicable to this investigator.

The Statute is easy for the I.R.S. to produce. They can show the Section of the I.R.C. for Summons,

or Assessment Authority, or Levy, or whatever, and it says that if you haven't paid the tax then you can be Summoned, etc. That's all well and good, but it doesn't say exactly who that applies to.

Next they must show (2) The Regulation which implements and enforces (1) The Statute, and here is where they start to get into trouble. The I.R.S. can never get to (3) The Part of the Code of Federal Regulations, as they would have to go directly to Alcohol, Tobacco, etc., and most Americans are not involved in any of that. Certainly, this investigator is not. Those regulations cannot be legally misapplied. A.T. & F. applies to those involved in A.T. & F. only.

At the Summons Hearing the I.R.S. agents were also informed that they are responsible to know the limits of their "authority". The Supreme Court has said, in the case of Fed. Crop Ins. Corp. v. Merrill (1947), 332 U.S. 380, at page 384: (quoting)

Whatever the form in which the government functions, anyone entering into an arrangement with the government takes the risk of having accurately ascertained that he who purports to act for the government stays within the bounds of his authority... And this is so even though, as here, the agent himself may have been unaware of the limitations upon his authority. (End quoting)

If one does not address these matters at the administrative level, then the I.R.S. may well take you into federal court. The I.R.S. will never just up and go away. You must show them the law, and this can best be done cordially as an educational experience for I.R.S. agents and anyone else in attendance.

What do the federal judges think about all this tax stuff? Remember, the income tax matter is very serious to these judges, as those taxes provide a basis for their income, and their very existence depends on appearing very tough on so-called "tax protestors".

In the case of U.S. v. Sloan (1991), 939 F.2d 499, before the U.S. Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit, Judge Kanne delivered the opinion of the three-judge court, which begins: (quoting)

Like moths to the flame, some people find themselves irresistibly drawn to the tax protestor movement's illusory claim that there is no legal requirement to pay federal income tax. And, like the moths, these people sometimes get burned. (End quoting)

Two things are worthy of note in that statement. First, one should never allow oneself to be branded a tax protestor. I.R.S. agents have tried to classify this investigator by that term as early as 1983, and they have been told: "No. I am not a tax protestor. I would never protest the income tax, even though it does appear as though it may be misapplied. I pay all lawful taxes in jurisdictions applicable to me."

Secondly, the illusory claim that there is no legal requirement to pay federal income tax. Of course there is such a requirement. Those individuals who are involved in A.T. & F. are certainly required to pay such taxes. Mr. Sloan, like many in the so-called Tax Protestor Movement, was working with erroneous information.

In another case where a man (who chooses to be anonymous at this time) was aware of the information revealed in this article, the I.R.S. filed a case against the man in 1993 in the U.S. District Court in Los Angeles. However, the I.R.S. must have had their attorneys look at the information provided by the man concerning A.T. & F., because two days after being served with the court case, the man suddenly received another court document entitled VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF PETITION TO ENFORCE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE SUMMONS. This information is valid.

Anyone who believes that the income tax statutes may be misapplied against them certainly has the right to ask questions about it, and they definitely deserve answers from their government agencies.

April 15th (the real April Fools Day) is fast approaching. How will you be spending the time?

SEE TABLES NEXT 4 PAGES

table 1 from p-7 p2002

table 2 from p-8 p2002

CHAPTER 4

NEWS DESK SPECIAL REPORT

KORTON: WAR GOING ON RIGHT IN FRONT OF YOU!

2/24/98 KORTON

Korton present in service to God of Light and to His precious manifested reflections—you. You are in the time where man can now “imitate” actions which will be attributed to God. The anti-Christ rulers are using all the technical tricks in their magic bag to bring about their goal of global domination. You must be continually made aware of such capabilities if you are to sift through the murk and mire of a world in chaos BY DESIGN, and set to right that which is devouring your very soul.

WEATHER MODIFICATION GRIDS AFFECTING THE EARTH'S MAGNETIC FIELD

When whales and dolphins beach themselves, migratory birds lose their bearing, and aircraft crews report compass readings off by as much as fifteen degrees, you can be quite sure that these are not the effects of Mother Nature's wrath, but man's tamperings in an area of science where his spiritual growth has not yet caught up with his technical capabilities.

For more than fifty sperm whales, among the largest animals (known to you) to inhabit your Earth, to be so disoriented as to run aground on America's East Coast and elsewhere, there has to be tremendous interference with their organic navigation systems. Don't you think that whatever is causing such a disturbance might also be dangerous to humans?

Rockefeller-funded (actually, secretly diverted taxpayer and illegal drug-trade money) weather modification grids, powered by underground nuclear reactors energizing massive cables buried along your coasts, and Russian weather manipulation techniques, have all but incapacitated the United States. Your disaster system is in shambles, FEMA has done nothing to safeguard you-the people during these devastating ONE-AFTER-ANOTHER weather assaults. Do not forget that the Federal Emergency Management Agency is nothing more than a thinly disguised *LEGAL* dictatorship. Under Martial Law, emergency regulations will be the rule book for how you are governed under the Global 2000 plan.

You have no reserve food supplies to sustain yourselves and you have ZERO CIVIL DEFENSE for whatever is tossed your way from the now legions of enemies you have acquired of late. Dear ones, you have alienated yourselves from the rest of the world.

MAKE NO MISTAKE ABOUT IT, AMERICA, AT THE BEHEST OF KHAZARIA (PRESENT DAY ISRAEL) YOU ARE POISED TO BEGIN THE OPENING SALVOS OF *NUCLEAR WAR ONE*.

Does that seem logical to you who consider yourselves to be a “peace-loving”, “God-fearing” nation?

If God stands with ANY nation going into battle, does it make sense to your reasoning mind that He would side with the aggressor? If the majority of the world believes you are the aggressor and your military actions, as guided by the displaced Soviet Bolsheviks (the same ones who destroyed Christian Russia during the 1917 Revolution) controlling the Pentagon, follow suit, then where does that leave you, America?

The only allies you have in this prelude to Armageddon are COLONIES OF THE BRITISH ISRAELITES—OF WHICH THE U.S. IS THEIR MOST COVETED POSSESSION. *YOUR SONS AND DAUGHTERS ARE TO BE THE ENFORCERS OF THE PLAN.*

HOWEVER, IN ORDER TO CARRY OUT THE JEWISH VISION OF A WORLD RULED FROM JERUSALEM, AMERICA HAS BECOME THE SACRIFICIAL LAMB—AND TO THEM YOU ARE EXPENDABLE. Even your Arab partner-nations will not (cannot) side with you who are seen as **“the Great Satan” and destroyer of cultures, economies, and lives.**

YOU WERE THE LIGHT UNTO THE WORLD

At one time you—and here I mean the United States of America—were the guiding Light by which the rest of the world patterned their societies. And why not? You had prosperity for your people and a *Constitutional* government founded in GODness.

Well, what happened? You allowed and even assisted, through your greed for power and wealth over your brothers, the genocidal plans of the “Serpent People” (a label the Zionist “Jews”—not the *Torah*-following Judeans—gave themselves) to usurp your Republic.

These dark ones command great power to perform seeming “miracles” to convince Christ followers that a great war is on the horizon and that it is your duty to participate. After all, they will remind you, it is written in the *Bible*.

What they have omitted from historical records, however, is the fact that THEY—NOT GOD—added the war part to these “holy” documents.

THE MYSTERY OF THE SO-CALLED SOUTH AFRICAN DOUBLE FLASH

On February 21, 1980, the *CBS Evening News* presented a sensational report. The report claimed that Israel had carried out its first atomic bomb test the previous fall. CBS alleged that the bomb had been exploded over the South Atlantic on September 22, 1979. It was also stated that nearby South Africa had assisted the Israelis in making the atomic test. It all sounded like a neat answer to the famous mystery of the giant double flash observed in that area, now nearly two decades ago.

The mystery began October 25, 1979. On that date the United States released a statement through the State Department. The statement began by saying the United States had obtained: “An indication suggesting the possibility that a low-yield nuclear explosion occurred on September 22.”

Those were very uncertain words, but the rest of the statement was even more vague. For example, the location of the supposed explosion was narrowed down to: “an area of the Indian Ocean and South Atlantic including portions of the Antarctic Continent and the southern part of Africa.” That’s an area of several million square miles.

In addition, the United States government admitted having no corroborating evidence about whatever had happened, and the announcement ended in the doubtful words: “We are continuing to assess whether such an event took place.”

Many people were very disturbed by the fuzziness of the announcement. It was apparent that something spectacular had taken place near South Africa, possibly an atomic blast; but the United States was not sure what it was, or where it had happened, or even if it had really happened. Some people wondered, “How could this be?”

You are constantly told that the United States can watch the world with “early warning” and other spy satellites. You are led to believe that no one could fire a missile at you, even from a submarine at sea, without being caught in the act by your satellites.

To make matters worse, reporters soon found out that the satellite which picked up the mysterious double flash was not an early warning or spy satellite. It was the die-hard last survivor of a series of monitoring satellites for the Atmospheric Test Ban Treaty, and it was little more than luck that it happened to spot the mysterious double flash.

Had the double flash taken place out of range of the Vela monitoring satellite, it would have gone completely undetected by the United States, because you no longer have any spy or early warning satellites continuously orbiting the Earth. Russia had finished shooting them down nearly two years prior, in April of 1978.

Ever since the U.S. announcement about the double flash on October 25, the mystery about what happened has refused to go away. A panel of scientific consultants was convened by the White House in November, but they ended up with no conclusion. Then came the CBS report a few nights later describing the alleged atom bomb test by Israel in the South Pacific.

Chelas, Israel does have nuclear capability, but the CBS story about a test was a lie. By the very next evening, February 22, CBS was already backing away from its Israeli A-bomb story.

The truth is that there WAS a giant double flash in the South Atlantic, but beyond that point you have heard nothing but lies and inaccurate guesses about the double-flash mystery. The lies began with the government announcement of October 25, which gave a falsified date and time for the incident, and since then the confusion has just kept on growing.

RUSSIAN WEATHER MODIFICATION TECHNIQUES

What happened there is something which you need to know about as a matter of survival. The brilliant flashes detected by the Vela satellite were produced by an experimental test, but what was tested was not an atomic bomb.

The test was carried out not by Israel or South Africa, but by Russia, and what Russia learned from that test was (and still is) put to use against the United States. The incredible series of storms which devastated Southern California and Arizona during that time were not natural, but MAN-MADE!

The original State Department announcement of October 25 did not describe what the Vela satellite had seen. It simply said that it appeared to be an atomic test, but within a day or two word leaked out that it was A PAIR of blinding flashes, one right after the other. The first one was powerful, but the second was many times more powerful. Debate had been raging over whether this was the result of a nuclear explosion.

The light produced by a nuclear blast behaves in a way that is similar to what the satellite detected—that is, there is a bright flash, followed moments later by a second, much brighter flash. So why the big mystery? Just this: Visible light is not the only radiation from an atomic blast. A nuclear explosion sends out floods of deadly gamma rays, X-rays, and neutrons.

The Vela satellite is equipped to pick up all those things if they are present—but they were not present. There was a giant double flash of light only—no X-rays, no gamma rays, no neutrons; and afterward, no radioactive fallout could be found anywhere in the world.

Acoustic sensors on Earth confirmed that some kind of giant explosion had taken place, but the question remained: What kind of explosion?

COSMOSPHERE USE IN WEATHER MODIFICATION

In December of 1977, giant air booms were heard off America's East Coast. For many weeks afterwards, thousands of people from South Carolina to Connecticut and elsewhere were being frightened out of their wits by the booms.

Public officials dreamed up all kinds of ridiculous cover stories to try to explain them away. Since that time the official cover stories about the booms have been proven to be nonsense by Cornell University scientists and others, but to your dark elite rulers, the only thing that mattered was that people forgot about the booms after awhile.

The real reason for those air booms was that they were being produced by several newly deployed Russian hovering (levitating) space-weapons platforms. These weapons platforms, called Cosmospheres, constitute the third leg of Russia's secret Space Triad, and they were creating those giant air booms by defocused firing of their charged-particle-beam weapons into the atmosphere.

All by themselves, the Cosmospheres have never been as effective at weather modification as desired by Russia. Russian scientists have been hard at work devising more powerful techniques of weather warfare as a contingency weapon; and they have succeeded in developing a newer and more powerful system for weather modification. Russia's operational weather-war system involves two legs of their Space Triad working together. One leg consists of the Cosmospheres; the other leg is the Moon with its network of giant particle-beam weapons.

FIRST OPERATIONAL TEST OF RUSSIAN MOON BASES

The particle-beam weapons on the Moon are at least 100 times more powerful than those aboard the hovering Cosmospheres. When fired at the Earth, they can produce instant destruction over a wide area, so for their first test firing they chose a target area where they thought the effects would go unnoticed by everyone else; but their choice turned out to be a tragic one.

There was a huge cyclone in the Bay of Bengal, southeast of India. It was a giant storm, many hundreds of miles across—the perfect cover for a test firing. Or so the Russians thought.

But they made a small miscalculation as they chose their aiming point at the Earth. They knew that the particle beam would be deflected by the earth's electromagnetic field, and tried to correct for it. But there was a small error in that correction—and as a result, the particle beam blasted the sea too close to the Indian coastline.

An eyewitness who saw the results, a British relief worker, told the BBC: “There were TWO enormous BLINDING FLASHES, and the whole sky lighted up as though on fire. Then this vast tidal wave—about 30 miles in length along the coast and 18 feet high—just bore down upon them.”

The giant double flash in connection with the Indian cyclone disaster had the same source as the giant double flash in the South Atlantic. Both were caused by Russian particle-beam weapons, fired from the Moon.

When a target on Earth is to be fired upon from the Moon, a pair of beam weapons are fired in sequence—first one, then the other. The first blast is largely dissipated in the atmosphere. In the process, it creates an ionized condition called a “confinement channel” through the air.

Moments later, the second beam is fired at the same spot, and it follows on through the pathway opened up by the confinement channel and continues down to the Earth's surface. The target on the Earth's surface—even if it is the sea itself—explodes violently.

And so a lunar particle-beam attack creates a double flash. The first flash is somewhat diffused, since it takes place (that is, its energy is mainly absorbed) in the air; but the second flash is more concentrated and intense, not being diffused by the atmosphere, but proceeding on through the “hole” created by the first blast.

This, then, is what your tired old Vela satellite accidentally picked up in the South Atlantic. And

you can see why this type of blast would be absent the radiation-emission signatures that would result from a nuclear blast.

When the U.S. Government made the first announcement about this event on October 25, 1979, they lied about both its date and time. The official story is that the double flash took place at 3:00 AM, in the early morning hours of September 22, 1979. But it actually took place on October 22—just three days before the October 25 announcement about it.

October 22 was the same day that the former Shah of Iran arrived in New York City. On one hand, the Russians were maneuvering the Shah in hopes of undoing the Bolshevik Iran Crisis strategy. But at the same time, the Russians were also gearing up for hostilities in case their maneuvers with the Shah should fall apart.

As a matter of interest, the double flash took place around 3:00 PM in the afternoon—not 3:00 AM as claimed officially. The United States government falsified the time and date as a trap for the unwary—and many have fallen into that trap. The CBS fabrication of February 21 about an Israeli A-bomb test is only one example.

At the time of the initial public reports about the double flash in October, the Russian experiment in the South Atlantic was still in progress. It had begun on October 22, when two lunar particle beams were aimed at a location in the South Atlantic. The desired bull's-eye was at the navigational coordinates 35 degrees south, 26 degrees west. This point is on a parallel with the lower tip of South Africa, but is slightly closer to South America than to Africa.

Two of the Russian particle-beam weapons on the Moon zeroed-in and prepared to fire. Meanwhile, a quadrangle of Cosmospheres were arranged around the target area.

The four Cosmospheres were deployed at the corners of a square 800 miles on one side, centered on the bull's-eye at sea. They were hovering at an altitude of 100 miles. It was expected that the Moon bases would achieve much better accuracy this time than they had two years prior. But just to be safe, the Cosmospheres were deployed well away from the target.

Then the two Moon weapons fired at the Earth. Firing from a-quarter-million miles away in space, the beams missed the center of the 800-mile target square by only a little over ten miles. The resulting double flash was centered at roughly 34 degrees, 54 minutes south, and 26 degrees, 10 minutes west.

Tremendous quantities of sea water in the target zone flashed instantly into superheated steam. The hot water vapor and surrounding hot air started rising fast toward the stratosphere. Cooler air started racing into the target zone to fill the vacuum. The inward-rushing winds began to swirl due to the Earth's rotation, and the barometric pressure began dropping in the target zone.

Within minutes, the atmosphere above the target zone was a spiraling chimney of rising air and water vapor. The world's first totally man-made storm cell was being born over the South Atlantic.

The powerful beams fired from the Moon had started the process. Then it was up to the quadrangle of Cosmospheres to keep it going. The vast quantities of water vapor sent skyward by the blasts began condensing rapidly in the cool upper air.

Normally, this would have led quickly to localized rain showers. As a result, most of the water would have rained right back into the sea, not far from the target area. But the four Cosmospheres were there to prevent that.

Right after the Moon shots that produced the giant double flash, the Cosmospheres went to work. Each began firing a powerful electron beam into the target zone, in a defocused mode.

The electrons mix into the rising torrents of water vapor, giving a negative charge to the water droplets as they formed. This caused the tiny droplets to repel each other, so that they could not condense into larger raindrops. So the water vapor was thus assisted in staying aloft instead of quickly raining back down into the sea.

[Editor's note: Let me add in here, for the further expansion of this thought for you more scientific readers, that the Earth is about 300,000 volts negative with respect to the ionosphere. Thus this negative charging of the water droplets by the spray from the electron beams of the Cosmospheres would assist in causing the small water droplets to float upward. This is due to the effect of a negative charge (the water droplet) being repelled by a strong negative charge (the Earth) and attracted toward a positive charge (the upper ionosphere).]

The Cosmospheres remained on station for several more days in order to complete the experiment. They were able to confirm that the artificial storm clouds remained stable, drifting eastward with the winds. They also monitored the target zone itself, to learn how fast conditions would return to normal.

Then they finally dispersed, in early November 1979. The artificial storm cell was left to dissipate gradually, of its own accord, and as a result it attracted no attention.

THE KREMLIN UNLEASHES ITS LATEST WEAPON

On January 4, 1980, the United States announced what amounted to a new Cold War. A whole series of embargos and restrictions against Russia were initiated. The most important of these was the embargo of 17 million metric tons of grain ordered by Russia.

The grain embargo was an effort by the Bolsheviks in the U.S. to hit the Russians right where they live. Four days after it was announced, the grain embargo was described by a White House spokesman as the most punitive of all steps against Russia; and ever since then, the Bolsheviks have been twisting arms world-wide to force other countries to do the same.

On February 6, the Russians summed up their view of all this. Throughout the Soviet press that day, there were stories that the Carter Administration wanted to starve the Russian people; and

since the Bolsheviks always use starvation as a tool of power, this was no idle comment by Russia.

The very next day, there began to be reports of strange developments in the weather of the southwestern United States. For example, northern New Mexico experienced a heavy snowstorm—combined with thunder and lightning! But it was the following week, on February 13, that the real weather news began. Unprecedented rainstorms began pounding Southern California and Arizona.

Day after day they came, one incredible storm right after another. Dams filled, and then began overflowing. Levees broke. Houses washed into canyons. Caskets floated away from cemeteries. Mudslides caught several victims and buried them alive. Bridges washed out. Entire communities became flooded and marooned. Phoenix endured a flood so vast that another like it would not be expected for 500 years. And, in the vital agricultural areas of Southern California, damage was mounting fast.

On February 19, the seventh day of the rains, a *CBS Evening News* report said: “It’s like an organized assault—one storm after another.”

And an organized assault is exactly what it was!

Centered at a point 576 miles west of San Diego, a quadrangle of Russian Cosmospheres were in operation. Based on the results of their “double flash” experiment the previous Fall, the Russians had refined their technique; and each time the Moon bases fired at the Earth, they became more accurate. So the quadrangle west of San Diego was only about 100 miles on a side, and the Cosmospheres were at the decreased altitude of 40 miles.

Using the technique tested in October in the South Atlantic, the Russians were creating the storm cells, one after another; and this time, they did not just let the cells drift away to dissipate. Instead, they were drawn precisely to the areas to be attacked in Southern California and Arizona. Then the storms were triggered by additional Cosmospheres hovering over those areas.

Eighty-five miles above Yuma, Arizona, there was a pair of Cosmospheres. Nearly 100 miles to the north, another Cosmosphere duo was hovering over the vicinity of Blythe, California. Both locations are on the Colorado River, bordering California’s crucial Imperial Valley agricultural area.

These Cosmosphere duos used their beam weapons to load the atmosphere with protons, which are positively charged. This attracted the negatively-charged artificial storm-cell clouds.

That is why the storms pounded exactly the same areas—time after time after time. And it was also the proton clouds which triggered the actual storms. They neutralized the electrons in the storm clouds, the water condensed into rain, and the devastating storms were the result.

Finally, after nine days and over half-a-billion dollars in damage, the Russians called a halt to the storms—for the moment. But this was only a sample of what lies ahead for food-producing areas throughout the United States.

While the Western weather disaster was filling the headlines, the Russians were also testing out their weather-control system in less dramatic ways all over the United States. A total of five more Cosmosphere quadrangles at sea, and fifteen more Cosmosphere duos over land, were involved.

The Russians were determined to retaliate in kind for the Bolshevik starvation campaign against Russia. As long as the American Bolsheviks maintain food boycotts against Russia, American food shortages will escalate and eventually be making headlines.

Does “Mother Nature’s” preemptive strike on so many weather-related fronts, while war plans against Iraq were being made, make a bit more sense to you now?

You’re at war; it is as simple as that.

When this war escalates, it is going to be a soul-testing time on your little emerald orb. In the meantime, you still have an opportunity to stock up on storable foods and other necessities—and I would waste no time in doing just that.

Thank you for your attention. May God’s Light and Guidance see you through, for the time long predicted is at hand.

Korton to clear, please. Salu.

CHAPTER 5

THE WORLDLINE HEPTAMERON AN UNCENSORED HISTORY OF OUR ORIGINS AND OVERLORDS PART IV IN A SERIES by Calvin Burgin 2/5/98

*Editor's note: The following continues the new series from Calvin Burgin; as he explains in a footnote, Heptameron means: **Heptameron** (hep-tam'-e-rön): "a book or treatise containing the actions of seven days, in the style of Boccaccio's Decameron or the Heptameron of Queen Margaret of Navarre (1492-1549)."*

SHEM'S CHRONOLOGY

Now let's begin to assign dates to the generations of Shem, in order to compare with other information. Note that this list is basically the same as the above except that the above has more generations. The Biblical list begins in *Genesis* 5:3: "And Adam lived an hundred and thirty years, and begat...Seth...and Seth lived an hundred and five years, and begat Enos...and Enos lived ninety years, and begat Cainan...and Cainan lived seventy years, and begat Mahalaleel...and Mahalaleel lived sixty and five years, and begat Jared...and Jared lived an hundred sixty and two years, and he begat Enoch...and Enoch lived sixty and five years, and begat Methuselah...and Methuselah lived an hundred eighty and seven years, and begat Lamech...and Lamech lived an hundred eighty and two years, and begat...Noah...and Noah was five hundred years old; and Noah begat Shem, Ham, and Japheth."

By adding 130, 105, 90, 70, 65, 162, 65, 187, and 182, we get a total of 1056. This means that Noah was born 1056 years after the creation of Adam. Then in *Gen.* 5:32 it says, "Noah was five hundred years old; and Noah begat Shem, Ham, and Japheth." Next, in *Gen.* 7:6 it says, "And Noah was six hundred years old when the flood of waters was upon the Earth." So the Flood occurred 1056 plus 600 = 1656 years after Adam. This is conventionally written as 1656 AM, that is Anno Mundi (the year of the world) or sometimes as AH, Anno Hominis (the year of the Era of Man).

Let's determine the birth of Shem. Noah was 600 at the time of the Flood (*Gen.* 7:6). *Gen.* 11:10: "Shem was an hundred years old, and begat Arphaxad two years after the Flood." The Flood was 1656 AM, plus 2 years would be 1658, minus 100 would give 1558 AM as the year of Shem's birth. If you study the chapter on "The Bible's 7,000-Year Week" you will see I show that the year 1558 AM equals the year 2416 BC.

If you are advanced enough in your studies to have a different BC date for the Flood, go ahead and use your date for now. Some authorities disagree considerably with this date. Others, however, agree—Frank Klassen in *The Chronology of the Bible* uses 2417 BC. Others are very close: Bullinger's *Companion Bible* uses a date of 2446 BC for the birth of Shem. Without going into details, Japheth was two years older than Shem, and Ham was one year younger, according to most

authorities. Some have even suggested the possibility that they were all three born the same year (to different mothers, to account for the races).

WHO WERE CAIN AND TUBALCAIN?

The generations of Adam through Cain, who was cursed, end with Lamech and his three sons who perished in the Flood. The generations of Adam through his son Seth, a righteous man, end with Noah and his three sons, who survived the Flood.

Josephus says that Seth and his descendants were righteous and happy, and developed the science of astronomy. Seth also developed the art of writing and the first alphabet.

Although the *Bible* lists only three sons for the Lamech in the Cain line (there was another Lamech in the Seth line), Josephus says that Lamech had 77 sons by his two wives Sila (Zilah) and Ada (Adah). Josephus says that Lamech's son Tubal was the originator of the martial arts. You might say that Tubal was the first "Karate Kid". He also discovered the art of making brass, perhaps to give added strength to his weapons. Josephus said that Cain's descendants were "exceedingly wicked". Cain helped build the first fortified city, called Enoch.

William Whiston was a classroom mate and later deputy of Sir Isaac Newton and was a researcher and translator of ancient documents. He translated the *Complete Works of Josephus* into English, and also wrote five volumes on *Primitive Christianity Revived* in which he stated that the Apostle Paul was wrong. Whiston was a supporter of Arianism.

Whiston said that the Egyptian "Vulcan seems to be no other than Tubal-Cain in Scripture." He pointed out that their names were originally the same written characters. He said: "The Scripture says, that 'Tubal-Cain was the instructor of every artificer in brass and iron;' and Vulcan is famous in profane authors, not only for his artificial working in all sorts of metals, but also for his instructing mankind therein." Manetho says that Vulcan ruled for 9,000 years. VULCAN is a variation of tuBALCAN. The *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 11th Edition, 28:194 says that Tubal gave his name to the Volga River, which shows in one of its branches called the Akhutba. Descendants of Tubal settled in Bulgaria in a town called Bulghar on the east bank of the Volga, per p. 9 of Arthur Koestler's *The Thirteenth Tribe*. The Balkans and the Baltic probably take their name from Tubal. According to Josephus (*Ant.* 1:6:1) Tubal lived in Iberia and the Spanish Centuvals are the people of Tuval. There is a Bay of Setubal and town in Portugal. The Volcae lived between the Garonne and Rhone (Livy, 21:26). The city of Tobolsk near the river of Tobol in Siberia was settled by descendants of Tubal, who came from Subartu or Subaria in eastern Anatolia, where Tubal originally dwelt. There are the Vulcan mountains in Transylvania, the Vulkan pass in Hungary and Rumania, Volchansk Russia, the Vol and the Volga rivers, the Volchya river, and a tribe of Voguls inhabited Tobolsk. One group of Tubals migrated to Canadia and became Ottawa Indians and some went to Tuvalu and Tablas in the Pacific. When people "discovered" or founded an area, they tended to name it after their god, who was usually their ancestor, and once named, the names continue more or less down through history. This is supported by their written documents, when available.

Most Christians think that the line of Cain was destroyed by the Flood, but I show later that there are records that say that Noah's wife was of this line.

Chapter 17 of Jasher tells of the children of Tubal fighting the children of Chittim (became Romans) and migrating to the River Tibreu (Tiber), to Tuscanah (Tuscany) and built a city called Sabinah (became Sabines) in Tuscanan. THIS TUBAL was son of Japheth, after the Flood. The above Tubal-Cain was before the Flood, but this Tubal was probably descended from and named after him.

In the *Bible*, Cain is the first son of Adam and he slew the second son, Abel. As punishment, he is sent away and he then builds a city (called Hanoch or Enoch) fortified against enemies! The Hebrew for Cain is Qin. The hard G and Q are interchangeable in Babylonian and other ancient languages. The Sumerian Gin sign is equal to the Semitic value of Qanu (*Classified List of Sumerian Ideographa*, R. Brunnnow, Leyden, 1889) and from this came the later Hebrew Qain which is translated as Cain in English. The oldest name is Qin or Gin, and this exact same name and spelling is in the Chinese lists of original rulers.

Cain is also called Aish or Aysh in *Genesis 4:1* (translated "a man") and this name shows in Sumerian as the royal title of Gin as Azag, it is the Ayus of the Indian epics, and is the Aegis of the Eddas. This reminds me, the Americans "accidentally" shot down an Iranian passenger plane with an Aegis missile, and the head of the Navy Aegis missile program was on Flight 800, which some say was also shot down by an Aegis missile. It is amazing how these names keep being used down through the aeges, whoops, I mean ages.

Genesis 4:17: "Cain...builded a city and called the name of the city after the name of his son Enoch" which is Hanuk in Hebrew. Nearly all Biblical authorities agree that this was the Mesopotamian city known as Unuk, which was later called Erek and is now called Warka.

According to one Old Sumerian king list in the *Isin Chronicle*, this city was built by Gan, Gun or Kan, the second Sumerian king and son of King Odo, Dur or Adar. Later Babylonian stories say the city was built by Mar-Duk (which meant Son of the Sun), who was the second Sumerian king Gina, and son of Dar or In-Dara or Bil. The text says, "Lord Mar-Duk...he built the city, [he built the city of Nippur], he built the temple, He built the city of Unuk (Enoch), he built the temple of Anna" (*Encyclopedia Biblica*, T. K. Cheyne, p. 624).

In some stories Cain is a great hero, in others he is an outcast, accursed, and a fugitive. Our saying, "son of a gun!" comes from "son of Gunn" which meant "son of Cain, the accursed." Calling someone "you son of a Gunn" meant you were cursing him.

The Isin King List on a clay prism called Weld Blundell #444 in Oxford, column 3, lines 1-10 says:

1-2 [SAG-GI]-AG-GU-[SHE, the swift, son] of Udu as lord,

3-4 became king. He reigned 325 years. Saggi Aggushe, the swift,

5-6 penetrated to the sea and went up into the mountains.

7-8 King-priest GAN (GUN or KAN), son of Sagki Aggushe, the king of Unug (Enoch) City, who built Unug City:

9-10 Mu-UKU, the begetter of Plants, became king. He reigned 420 years. (See p. 535, *The Makers of Civilization in Race & History* by L. A. Waddell.)

So here we have a clay tablet that says GUN or KAN or Cain was king of Enoch, a city which he built and which was named after his son. *Genesis 4:17*: “Cain...builded a city and called the name of the city after the name of his son Enoch.” He was followed by Mu-uku, the “begetter of plants”. Enoch is equated by some scholars with the third name in some Sumerian king lists, Enu, Enuzu, and Unnusha.

The fourth name in one king list is Udu son of Enu or Enuza, who was a “priest-king” which comes from Pa-ti-si or “patesi” which became IPT or YPT in Hebrew and thus Iapat or Japheth. For considerable evidence and proof, see *The Makers of Civilization In Race and History* by L. A. Waddell; for instance, on page 154 he states PA-TI-SI is Sumerian and IAPAT is Hebrew for Priest-King, which became translated Japhet or Japheth.

During the time of Enos, *Gen. 4:26*: “then began men to call upon the name of the Lord.” Most commentaries are unanimous in saying that during the time of Enos men began to turn to God and call upon His name. But this is “out of whack” with the overall picture of what is happening at that time—men became so corrupt that God destroyed the world with a flood. The probable truth is that this is saying that at this time men began to apostatize and profane God’s name. The *Targum of Onkelos*: “...desisted from praying in the name”; *Targum of Jonathan*: “surnamed their idols in the name...”; Kimchi, Rashi, and other ancient Jewish commentators agree. Jerome said this was the opinion of many Jews of his day. Maimonides, *Commentary on the Mishna*, AD 1168, said the origin of idolatry began in the days of Enos. Bullinger’s *Companion Bible* says “began profanely to call upon the name of the Lord” or “began profanely to call upon [their gods] by the name of Jehovah.” He points out that Abel and doubtless others worshipped God long before Enos, therefore this could not be the beginning of calling upon the name of God. Perhaps this was when humans and false gods began to be deified.

CLAY TABLET KING LISTS

Early man left records, the earliest of which are in the form of clay tablets found in Mesopotamia. The list coincides in number with the list in *Genesis 4*, but they or may not be the same persons. This record lists the rulers before the Flood:

2 king lists

Is it merely coincidence that the first name (Alulim) in the king lists in the clay tablets is phonetically similar to Elohim? The last name on the tablet is broken, but can be reconstructed from other tablets. James B. Pritchard, *The Ancient Near East*, p. 62, shows that the hero of the Flood in the ancient tablets was called Ut(a)napishtim in Akkadian (Ziusudra in Sumerian and Xisouthros in Greek), and his father was Ubaratutu. Thus number 8 in the list should be Ubaratutu or Ubaradudu. One ancient tablet says the city of Shurippak was situated on the Euphrates. In Accadian it was known as “Ma-uru” which meant “the city of the ship”, later known as Mt. Meru, the place where Noah built the great ship. Another name used for Noah was “Hasisatra,” known as the “Man of Shurippak, son of Ubaratutu”.

One of the Deluge tablets, as quoted by Pritchard, says that “after the...of kingship had been lowered from heaven,” he “Founded the [five] ci[ties] in...p[ure places], Cal[led] their names, [appor]tioned them as [cu]lt-centers. The first of these cities, Eridu, he gave to Nudimmud, the leader, the second, Badtibira, he gave to..., The third, Larak, he gave to Endurbilhursag, The fourth, Sippar, he gave to the hero Utu, The fifth, Shuruppak, he gave to Sud. When he had called the names of these cities, apportioned them as cult-centers, he brought...”. There follows a break of about 37 lines, then the Flood is mentioned.

It is important to realize that these were real people, and that there are records other than the *Bible* that in a general way corroborate or parallel the Biblical story and add details that are not listed in the *Bible*.

“At the mound of Al-Ubaid, near Ur, Sir Charles Woolley found a temple dedicated to the Sumerian goddess Nin-Khursag. One of the oldest buildings ever discovered, it had a wealth of artifacts, including a golden bead on which an inscription clearly identified the builder of the temple—a man named A-anni-paddi. The name meant little until a few weeks later, when Woolley uncovered a limestone tablet in the temple foundation. On it was a cuneiform inscription, a dedication of the temple by a ‘A-anni-padda, King of Ur, son of Mes-anni-paddi, King of Ur.’ Mes-anni-paddi is inscribed in the king-lists as the founder of the third dynasty after the Flood, which begins with the movement of the kingship to the city of Ur. Thus, the golden bead and the temple inscription provided tangible archaeological evidence that at least one of the Sumerian kings whose existence was thought to be pure myth was in fact a real, living person” (p. 114, 115, *The Outer Space Connection* by Alan and Sally Landsburg, Bantam).

Adam’s son Seth had descendants down through Noah and his three sons. There are ten names in the list from Adam to Noah. The ancient Chaldean historian Berossus also lists ten generations to the Flood. Let’s compare these lists:

The name “Jared” is variously translated to mean “descent”, “descending” or “shall come down” (see *Unger’s Dictionary* or *Young’s Concordance*, for instance). The *Book of Enoch* says that 200

sinning angels made a pact to marry human women and descended upon Mount Hermon during the time of Jared (see R. H. Charles version, Chap. 6 v. 6, or p.15 of *The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha* Vol. One by James H. Charlesworth). Earlier in this document I show that Jared was born in 3514 BC. *Genesis 5:18* says that Jared was 162 years old when he begot Enoch, and the next verse says he lived 800 more years. Thus the time of Jared was from 3514 to 2552 BC. Then 234 years later, the Flood occurred in 2318. I suspect the Anunnaki arrived 600 years before the Flood, in 2918. It makes a great story anyway.

Francois Lenormant, *The Beginnings of History*, copyright 1882, p. 226, shows that the name “Ubaratutu” is Accadian, and in Assyrian it would be “Arad-tutu”, which means the descendant of Tutu, and is the same as the 4th name (Iradd) in the Cain list and the 6th name (Jared) in the Seth list.

Lenormant, in his chapter called “The Ten Antediluvian Patriarchs,” shows that the Armenians, the Iranians, the Hindus, the Chinese, the Germans, the Scandinavians, the Arabs, the Egyptians (Turin papyrus), and others all have records of ten generations of heroes prior to the Flood.

The *Bible* shows ten generations from Adam to Noah (who had three sons—Shem, Ham, and Japheth), and ten generations from Noah to Terah (who had three sons—Abram, Nahor, and Haran). In the chapter on “The *Bible*’s 7,000-Year Week” I prove that Abraham was exactly 2,000 years after Adam, and exactly 2,000 years before the Messiah (many writers put Abram at 2008 years after Adam, but my chapter explains their 8-year error).

shem to abram chart 6

Here is the chronology to Abram (Abraham) (AM means years after Adam’s creation, or specifically Anno Mundi, “in the year of the world” or “in the year of mankind”):

I have given the proof that shows that 2,000 AM was 1974 BC, using the figures given. We have seen that Shem died at age 600 in 2158 AM or 1816 BC, when Abram was 160 years old. Think of some of the implications of all this. For instance, the father of Jacob/Israel knew Shem personally. The *Chronology of the Old Testament* by Martin Anstey says that Shem died only ten years before Jacob/Israel was born. Shem overlapped about 100 years with Methuselah, and Methuselah knew Adam for over 200 years. Shem also knew personally many of the fallen angels who were intermarrying with women, and knew many of their “Nephilim” children. I just take the stories at face value to become familiar with them. I doubt that all these stories are always literally true. IF YOU ARE THINKING, doesn’t it seem peculiar to you that there are exactly 10 generations from Adam to Noah, and exactly 10 generations from Noah to Abraham? And exactly 2000 years from Adam to Abraham, and exactly 2000 years from Abraham to Christ? Christian preachers will say, “Praise the Lord, look at the beautiful plan of God!” But this would mean predestination and God controlling exactly who got pregnant and when. Isn’t it more reasonable to an open mind to consider that

the *Bible* version is a stylized, smoothed, DOCTORED version, and that the Semjasa list for instance is likely to be the more correct one?

sumerian king list by thor

Shem's brother Japheth had a son named "Javan" (*Gen. 10:2*), and "Javan" is simply Hebrew for "Greece" (see *Unger's Bible Dictionary*, *Young's Concordance*, etc.). When the Greeks wrote of the so-called "Greek gods" (generally called Titans) they were writing of real beings and real events, although in an often corrupted form.

This is a bit "off the subject," but let me point that all the descendants of Eber (Heber) were known as Hebrews (*Gen. 46:17*: "...and the sons of Beriah; Heber, and Malchiel"). Heber was great-great-great-great grandfather of Abraham. Thus Abraham was a Hebrew, and all his sons were Hebrews. The Arabs are descended from Abraham's son Ishmael, thus all Arabs are Hebrews. Modern historians will try to brainwash you into believing otherwise (they themselves having spent hundreds of hours and thousands of dollars being, themselves, brainwashed). "In Hebrew both Eber and Ibri take the guttural *ayia* for their initial letter, and there is consequently an inconsistency in our spelling Hebrew with, and Eber without, an *h*. The word, which in the later Scriptures is spelt Heber, takes a different initial, though even Heber may have been originally the same name as Eber" (Vol. I, p. 129, *Origines Celticae*, Edwin Guest, Kennikat Press).

Eber, the father of Peleg, was an important individual. "The important place occupied by Eber in the history of the Shemitic races is strongly marked in Scripture. 'Unto Shem also, the father of all the children of Eber, the brother of Japheth the elder, even to him were children born' (*Gen. 10:21*)....and if the name of Ibri, which was given to Abraham (*Gen. 14:13*), was derived from Eber, then the descendants of Abraham were no doubt one of the tribes that recognized in Eber the patriarch of their race. In *Gen. 41:12* Joseph is called an Ibri, and he tells the chief butler (*40:15*) that he was 'stolen away from the land of the Ibrim'" (p. 134, 135, *Origines Celticae*, Edwin Guest).

Some have pointed out that Enoch was "translated" (*Heb. 11:5*, *Gen. 5:24*) halfway between Adam and Abraham, and Elijah was "translated" halfway between Abraham and Christ (*2 Kings 2:11*). One of the problems of researching the old records is recognizing to whom the various names refer. In the Babylonian Creation Epic, referring to the one we now know as Nimrod, it says: "As for us, by however many names we call him, he is our god! Let us then proclaim his fifty names...." This epic tells of the beginning of the building of the city of Babylon, and by comparing this with the *Bible* (*Gen. 10:8, 10*) we know that Nimrod was the builder of Babylon, and when the tablets mention his father, then they are referring to Nimrod's father, Cush. Nimrod's kingdom included

all of Mesopotamia and included for a time what we now know as Egypt. The Egyptian records say that Egypt's first king was Menes. Menes, then, had to be either the father or grandfather of Cush.

THE POST-FLOOD WORLD

The world, before the Flood, became so evil that God destroyed it. Only Noah and the seven people with him survived according to the story. When the Flood subsided, the Ark landed in the Ararat mountain range, traditionally in modern Turkey (the *Bible* does NOT say that the Ark landed on Mt. Ararat). We have seen that other information says the Ark landed at Thebes in Egypt. *Jubilees 10:16* says that the Tower of Babel in Shinar was EAST of Ararat (modern Ararat is NORTH of Shinar). Noah and his sons and their wives settled near the foot of a mountain, first migrating to an area known for its Cedars (according to ancient legends). Noah was a "preacher of righteousness" (*II Peter 2:4-5*). A careful study shows that this means that Noah was the High Priest at that time. Everyone knew of God and why He had drowned the world. Noah's descendants migrated from Ararat to the plain of Shinar, later called Sumer, and became known as Sumerians (Dorothy Miller, *Handbook of Ancient History in Bible Light*, p. 51). We are told Sumer was named after Noah's son Shem. Shinar is now known as ancient Babylonia (Breasted's *Ancient Times*, p. 107). "And the whole Earth was of one language, and of one speech. And it came to pass, they found a plain in the land of Shinar, and they dwelt there" (*Genesis 11:1-2*). The ancient writer Hyginus (*Fab. 143*, p. 114) said, "For many ages men lived under the government of Jove [God, from IEVE] without cities and without laws, and all speaking one language... Then discord began."

Flavius Josephus was a Jewish historian and military commander who lived 37-95 A.D. He was a general aiding the defense of Jerusalem when it rebelled in 66 A.D. Later he became a Roman citizen and wrote some books about the war and about the history of the Jews. Thus, he is a valuable eye-witness of the times of the early Christians, and he saved many Israelite historical records that would otherwise have been lost. Josephus, *Book I*, Chap. III, said that the remains of Noah's ark could be seen "by the inhabitants to this day" (v. 5) and in v. 6, "Now all the writers of barbarian histories make mention of this flood and of this ark; among whom is Berosus the Chaldean; for when he is describing the circumstances of the flood, he goes on thus;—'It is said there is still some part of this ship in Armenia, at the mountain of the Cordyaeans; and that some people carry off pieces of the bitumen, which they take away, and use chiefly as amulets for the averting of mischiefs.' Hieronymus the Egyptian, also, who wrote the Phoenician Antiquities, and Mnaseas, and a great many more, make mention of the same. Nay, Nicolaus of Damascus, in his ninety-sixth book, hath a particular relation about them, where he speaks thus:—'There is a great mountain in Armenia, over Minyas, called Baris, upon which it is reported that many who fled at the time of the Deluge were saved; and that one who was carried in an ark came on shore upon the top of it; and that the remains of the timber were a great while preserved. This might be the man about whom Moses, the legislator of the Jews wrote.'"

David Fasold, *The Ark of Noah*, p. 6, shows that the "Cordyaeans" mentioned by Josephus, quoting the Chaldean historian Berosus, were also known as "Korduaians", or what we now know as "Kurds". "Kurdistan" means "land of the Kurds", and includes northern Iraq, Azerbaijan, northwest Iran, eastern Turkey, etc. The most famous Kurd in history (outside the *Bible*) was Salah al-din (Saladin).

The Biblical Salah was father of the Hebrews and son of Arphaxad, grandson of Shem (Saladin was NOT the Biblical Salah, but perhaps could have been descended from or named after him). It is interesting that civilization of this era (post-Flood) began in that part of the world, and now at the end time look what is happening in that same area—events that could bring about the destruction of civilization.

THE CURSING OF CANAAN

A question comes to mind. If Noah lived 950 years (*Gen. 9:29*), why did he have only three sons? My personal feeling (there is some evidence from traditions) is that Noah had many sons and daughters before the Flood, but only the three sons and their wives (who were sisters, according to some ancient records) believed Noah and entered the Ark. Noah, as a “preacher of righteousness”, was a failure as viewed from the human viewpoint. He was able to convince only three of his sons, and their wives, to prepare for the Flood. Nobody else believed him and they were destroyed.

Yet Noah lived 350 years after the Flood (*Gen. 9:28*). Why did he not have any more children after the Flood? I have answered that question in previous writings, but for the purposes of this article I shall repeat it here.

There is a story in the *Bible* about Canaan being severely cursed because his father had seen Noah naked. At first this story does not make sense, until we learn more of the details. *Genesis 9:20*—”And Noah began to be an husbandman; and he planted a vineyard. And he drank of the wine, and became drunk; and he was uncovered within his tent. And Ham, the father of Canaan, saw the nakedness of his father, and told his two brethren without. And Shem and Japheth took a garment, and laid it upon both their shoulders, and went backward, and covered the nakedness of their father: and their faces were backward, and they saw not their father’s nakedness. And Noah awoke from his wine, and knew what his younger son had DONE unto him. And he said Cursed be Canaan; a servant of servants shall he be unto his brethren. And he said, Blessed be the Lord God of Shem; and Canaan shall be his servant.” As a result of Ham seeing his drunk father naked, Ham’s son Canaan was to be cursed with perpetual slavery! What an unusually harsh punishment just for accidentally seeing the father in the nude! But verse 24 shows that some ACT was committed. Hebrew commentaries give more of the details of what actually happened.

The ancient commentaries of *Tanhuma Buber*, *Genesis Rabbah*, and *Pirke Rabbi Eliezer*, say that Canaan tied a stout cord around Noah’s genitals and “unmanned” him. Five other ancient commentaries (see p. 121, *Hebrew Myths—The Book of Genesis* by Graves & Patai) say it was Ham himself who unmanned Noah. “Occasionally the Talmudic Agada preserves an older, more complete version of a legend of which merely an abridged or even expurgated version is found in the *Bible*. Thus, to mention only one example, the *Bible* tells that the sin of Ham was that he gazed disrespectfully at the nakedness of his drunken father Noah...; the *Talmud*, in the name of Rab, refers to what must have been the original full form of the story: Ham unmanned Noah, and this is why he drew upon his head the severe curse of his father (B. Sanh. 70a)” (p. 160, *Gates to the Old City*, by Raphael Patai). After the Flood, God told Noah to “be fruitful” (*Gen. 9:1*), but although he lived another 350 years (*Gen. 9:28*), Noah never had any more children.

Noah divided up the world among his three sons, and perhaps Ham wanted to be sure that Noah did not have any more sons. This is my speculation as to why Ham did what he did. But why did Noah curse Canaan instead of Ham?

If Noah had conceived another son, it would have been the fourth son. Canaan was Ham's fourth son. Perhaps Noah cursed Canaan because he was the fourth son, instead of cursing Ham and therefore all of Ham's sons.

Versions of this story have been told many times. Ra, the Egyptian Sun god castrated himself to give birth to the Ammu out of his blood. The Greek Uranus had his genitals cut off by his son Cronus. The genitals were thrown into the sea and gave birth to Aphrodite (another name for Inanna, Astarte, Ishtar, Easter). The Norse Odin, the Hindu Mahadeva, the Mexican Quetzalcoatl, the Phoenician Shamin, the Greek Adonis, the Canaanite Mot, are all examples of gods who lost their genitals and thereby gave birth to new gods.

We saw above that Zecharia Sitchin wrote of Anu being castrated by Kumarbi. Kumarbi, by the way, is no doubt from Khum, Chem, Cham, all variations of Ham. Less likely, Arbi was perhaps Baris, or Minyas, mentioned above as the mountain of Noah's ark. Thus Kum of Arbi?

This may have been part of the origin of phallic worship, one of the most important aspects of ancient (and modern, in a disguised form) religions, but an aspect that has been censored out of most modern histories. It does not take much research to learn that the so-called "Christian" cross is a phallic symbol that was worshipped in all religions long before Christianity, and was adopted by "Christians" around 300 or 400 years after Christ.

NOAH LOST PRIESTHOOD

Under Mosaic Law, a priest could not serve if he had a blemish. Specifically, a man without testicles could not serve as a priest (see *Lev. 21:20*). Because of what happened to Noah, he lost his position as High Priest and the Priesthood was passed on to Shem. In Shem's capacity as High Priest, he tried to eliminate Nimrod and Nimrod's religion.

NOAH'S WIFE

Genesis 5:32: "And Noah was 500 years old: and Noah begat Shem, Ham, and Japheth." This seems to say that when Noah was 500, he had three sons—all during the same year. Evidence proves that three different races came from these three different sons. Did three different races spring from the same mother and father? In the case of Noah, the *Bible* says he was "perfect in his generations". Since multiple marriages were very common during *Old Testament* times (Lamech had two wives named Adah and Zillah and perhaps others, Abraham had several wives, and probably others had multiple wives), it is reasonable to consider that Noah had three sons by three different wives, and the old records verify that this is a possibility. The Arabic tradition of the Flood tells that one of Noah's wives was named Waliya. It was said that she considered Noah to be "mad" (crazy) and would have nothing to do with the Ark. For Abram to marry his half sister, his father Terah would have to have had more than one wife, another example of multiple wives in those

times.

Gray's book, under "Nammu," says: "Nammu was a goddess who was considered, in some traditions, to have given birth to An (heaven) and Ki (Earth) and to many more of the more ancient gods. Especially she was regarded as the mother of Enki. She came to be thought of as one of the mother goddesses."

In *Genesis 4:22* we read of Lamech's daughter by Adah, named Naamah. It is very unusual for the *Bible* to name daughters, especially at this very early date, so I suspect there is wisdom here for those with eyes to see. There is no female in the line of Seth as named in the *Bible* between Adam's wife Eve and Abraham's wife Sarai (Also, as a matter of curiosity, Sarai/Sarah is the only woman in the *Bible* who's age is given. For the significance of this, see *Galatians 4* and Bullinger's Appendix 50.VIII). In the line of Cain, Lamech's wives Adah and Zillah are named, and daughter Naamah. Then this line apparently ceases with the coming of the Flood. Why bother to mention it? This is rather odd. Others have noticed this also, and have suggested that Naamah became Noah's wife. She would then have been the mother of Shem. Is there a connection between Naamah and Nammu? Yes, they are the same name, in different languages!

We find others named after Naamah (*I Kings 14:21*, *2 Chron. 12:13*) and a city named after her (*Josh. 15:41*). The name "Naomi" is a variant of this name ("Naamah" and "Naomi" both mean "pleasant"). Fasold asks, "Why did Ham name his son Canaan if this isn't the line [of Cain] continuing through the Deluge?" There must have been some reason for listing the line of Cain, and no others (except of course the line of Jesus through Noah and Seth). One of the names of the original "mother-goddess" of Mesopotamia was Nammu. One or more of the cities after the Flood were named Nammu. Naamah and Naomi appeared as descendants of Abraham's nephew Lot (*Gen. 19:38*, *Deut. 2:19*, *I Kings 14:21*, *Ruth 1:1-2*). Rehoboam's mother was Naamah (*2 Chron.; 12:13*). This was an honored name.

Gray's book, p. 29, has a picture of the "Stele of Ur-nammu of Ur (2222-2004 BC), watering the Tree of Life before Shamash the Sun-god, distinguished by the measuring-line and the rod of justice." I do not know where Gray got his dates, but if he is correct, Ur-nammu died 188 years before Shem died, assuming that 2004 was the year he died, which is certainly reasonable. Gray says that Urnammu was a king who reigned 2222-2004 BC. Ur means great in Sumerian, per some authorities.

Dov Noy, *Iraqi Jewish Folktales*, pp. 100-2, says, quoting an ancient Jewish folktale: "Noah had an only daughter named Naamah" (See *Gates to the Old City*, Raphael Patai, p. 652). Patai has 20 pages with references to Naamah. There are several references in the *Bible* to Naaman, the male version of the name. The Akan of Ghana knew her as Nyame, who is shown to be the same as Tanit in *Gods of the Cataclysm*, p. 146, by Hugh Fox. The Greeks said that she was "Asty-nyame" or "Asty-nome", which is "Ashtar-No'ema" or "Ishtar-Naamah". In *Inanna, Queen of Heaven and Earth* by Diane Wolkstein and Samuel Noah Kramer it shows Naamah as the grandmother of Gilgamesh. She is known as the Goddess of the Watery Deep (another cetacean?). L. A. Waddell in *The Indo-Sumerian Seals Deciphered* has a chapter on the Goddess of the Watery Deep in the name of "Nanna". There is much more information available if you care to research it. Friends,

Noah's wife's name was Naamah, or in modern English, Naomi! Noah, Naamah, and Naomi are words with a common base.

After working so hard to figure out the name of Noah's wife, I checked my library of reference books to see if they had anything, and none of them mentioned that Noah's wife was named Naamah. Imagine my chagrin when I got a catalog in the mail from the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, that had a toy in it called Noah's Ark. The advertising copy says: "Noah himself would be proud of this gorgeous wooden ark. And, unlike his, this one can also be pulled along on wheels! 9 pairs of wild animals follow Noah and Na'amah, two by two, up the plank...."

Then I found a reference I had overlooked in the book of *Jasher* (5:15): "And Noah went and took a wife, and he chose Naamah the daughter of Enoch, and she was five hundred and eighty years old. And Noah was four hundred and ninety-eight years old, when he took Naamah for a wife. And Naamah conceived and bare a son, and he called his name Japheth...and Noah was five hundred and two years old when Naamah bare Shem." It does not mention Ham. Then in verse 35: "Then Noah took the three daughters of Eliakim, son of Methuselah, for wives for his sons, as the Lord had commanded Noah." In chapter 4 verse 25 it tells that Naamah was daughter of Zillah (wife of Lamech, son of Methusel) and Naamah was sister to Tubal Cain.

If you check any book that has the Egyptian alphabet, or the letter "N" in encyclopedias, they will show that our letter "N" traces back to the Egyptian letter "N" which is shown as a wavy line. "N" was simply a short wavy line. Augustus LePlongeon, *Sacred Mysteries Among the Mayas and the Quiches*, p. xii, shows that the original Maya Hieratic alphabet represented the sound we write as "N" with the same wavy line as Egypt used. The wavy line, in any language, represents water. If you care to pursue the research, you will find that our letter "N" traces directly back to Noah. I asked in the opening of this document, "Did Noah really exist?" and said some people will reply, "No." Can you see that when they say "No," they are in fact saying that he did exist?

NEW WORLD ORDER

GOVERNMENTS AFTER THE FLOOD

The confusion of the languages slowed down the building of Nimrod's empire, but did not stop it. Bryant's *Mythology*, Vol. ii, p. 377, says that Nimrod ruled from Babylon to Libya, including Egypt, which would be the major portion of the populated Earth at that time. He established political, social, economic, and religious systems based upon his religion, and built armies. Noah, the "preacher of righteousness", and his son Shem (Alexander Hislop's *The Two Babylons*, p. 316, 317) were opposed to Nimrod. Hislop says, p. 232, "Ancient traditions relate that the apostates who joined in the rebellion of Nimrod made war upon the faithful among the sons of Noah. Power and numbers were on the side of the fire-worshippers. But on the side of the faithful was the power of God's Spirit. Therefore many were convicted in their sin, and arrested in their evil career; and victory declared for the saints. The power of Nimrod came to an end, and with it, for a time, the worship of the Sun, and the fiery serpent associated with it." Hislop explained exactly how the power of Nimrod came to its end. Shem, a powerful speaker, convinced a large group of Egyptians to help him, then chased Nimrod to Italy and captured him. Nimrod was slain (probably where Rome now

is) and his body cut up into pieces. The pieces (except for the phallus, according to many stories) were sent to various areas as a warning to stop the spread of Nimrod's religion (*Hislop*, p. 58-67).

THE FIRST KINGDOMS

dynasty of surgon

There is evidence that Sar-gon was the Gon/Gin/Gun of China, but the above dates do not match. I think Hoeh's dates are wrong. I will leave this to be worked out later. Gin was Cain, later perhaps Canaan. Waddell explains that Shar or Sir or Sha (which survives in the Persian Shah) meant "Lord" in Sumerian, and Sar-gon was Lord Gunn/Gon/Gin/Guni (see pp. 198, 199 of *The Makers Of Civilization In Race & History*).

The ancient writers Eratosthenes, Africanus, Eusebius, and Eusebius (Armenian version) all give evidence of the first reigns in Egypt and Sumer. Dynasty I at Thinis in Upper Egypt was the beginning of Egyptian civilization. The first four rulers were Menes, Athothis, Kenkenes and Uenephes (spelling from the Greek historian Manetho). Arthur Weigall in *A History of the Pharaohs*, Vol. I, page 111, says that the tomb of Athothis was "the sepulchre of the god Osiris", who was Egypt's second king. The Cairo fragment of the Annals of Dynasties I-V says the mother of Athothis was Hept, "the veiled one". Hept was also called Isis, Ishtar, Inanna, or Semiramis. She was the Queen of Meni, Egypt's first king. Meni or Mena (Menes in Greek) means "The Establisher" (*History of Ancient Egypt*, Vol. II, p.26, by George Rawlinson), or "The Everlasting" (Waddell's *Manetho*, p. 215). Some say he was Mizraim, the son of Ham and uncle of Nimrod. Other records seem to make him to be Cush, the father of Nimrod. The reason for this confusion no doubt is the fact that Cush and Mizraim, father and son, reigned jointly in Egypt's first dynasty. This is confusing, so let's see if we can work it out.

The third ruler was born after Osiris, and was called Kenkenes, "The Terrible". His mother placed him on the throne and claimed he was Osiris reincarnated, thus he was sometimes called Athothis (or Itit) according to some researchers. He was also called Horus, son of Isis. For more information, see Sir Alan Gardiner's *Egypt of the Pharaohs* and Weigall's *A History of the Pharaohs*. It will become more clear in a moment as to who some of these people really were.

The fourth in this Dynasty was Uenephes or Henneit ("Neit is victorious") sometimes said to be a woman. Neit is the Egyptian for Greek Athena. She was also called Hept, "the veiled one". In other words, she was Inanna or Semiramis or Isis. Her son was Tammuz (Kenkenes, Athothis). However, this is still not clear, and these explanations given by the researchers are not all correct. We know that Menes was Noah. What about Athothis? Kenkenes? Uenephes? For now, I will tell you that I have proof later in this document that Thoth or Athothis was Shem. I refer you to the chapters on Shem for proof.

WHO WAS HAM?

Noah had sons Shem, Ham, and Japheth. All reference books will tell you Ham settled to the southwest of Palestine, and Ham, Cham, Kham, Khem, etc., were all names of Egypt. Ham had sons Cush, Mizraim, Put and Canaan. Cush went to Ethiopia. Ham became deified as AMMON which simply means Ham of Noah. Tribes came from Ham and were known as the Hamran, Bahima, Ammonium, Khami (South Africa), Khamtas (Ethiopia), Khambi and Khamtix (India), Kham Muon and Kham Kheut of Laos, etc.

WHO WAS MIZRAIM?

Mizraim settled Egypt and Egypt became known as Mizraim (per Josephus, etc.). Noah became known as the original ray from god, the son of god, and the *Bible* shows he had a grandson named Miz-Ra-im (im is the Hebrew plural ending). Rameses is a variation of Ra-Miz, and Egypt became known as the land of Ra and Mizraim (the second son of Ham). Ra-meses means “Ra Moses” or “Ra Savior,” as “Moses” means “Saviour” and is the root from which comes “Messiah”. Ra ultimately was a ray of the Sun, or the Sun, the Saviour Sun. Mizraim and his descendants were alchemists and chemists (they invented embalming, for instance, and papyrus, and painted lips), and from them came the words CHEMistry and alCHEMy. One CHEMical was AMMONium. For proof of this, see the section on Khnemu.

Jasher 9:21: “And all the princes of Nimrod and his great men took counsel together; Phut, Mitzraim, Cush and Canaan with their families, and they said to each other, Come let us build ourselves a city and in it a strong tower, and its top reaching heaven....” These four sons of Ham are said to be the princes of Nimrod, thus this indicates that Nimrod was their father Ham. Keeping in mind that the language back then read backwards to now, we see that Nim is Min, that is King, thus King Rod, but I have not found an authority yet that satisfactorily explains the etymology of Nimrod. I suspect Nimrod was a King Ra. It is believed that Nimrod and Ham were both Black (Ham, Cham, etc., means black). “All these dwell by the river Sihor, that is the brook of Egypt, and they built themselves cities and called them after their own names” (*10:22*). And we see that the Nile was called Sihor. “Sihor” means “Pool of Horus” and means “Black Pool” (Unger’s). Josephus wrote “The memory also of the Mesraites is preserved in their name, for we who inhabit this country [of Judea] call Egypt Mestre, and the Egyptians, Mestrians.”

The ancient historian Sanchoniathon call Osiris “Isiris”, and it is obvious that Mizraim could be Osiris or Isiris without the M. In other words, Min (king) Isiris with a plural im ending. Osiris is a Greek corruption of Ysiris or Isiris, which is equal to the Iswara of India. “Iswara” is Sanscrit for “Lord”. Osiris was said to be Black, and is shown thus in statues. The Egyptian trinity was Osiris, Neith, and Typhon. I will show elsewhere that Typhon was Shem, so Neith could have been Japheth, except other records say Neith was female and became the Greek Athene. Bryant, Higgins, etc., show that Ham was the source of the sacred AUM or OM that is the secret name of god of India. And Osiris is from the root SR or SUR which meant Sun, and gave us Asshur, Syria, Assyria, etc., meaning “Sun” or “lord”. We still call a gentleman “Sir”. The *English-Sumerian Dictionary* by Adapa says that snake is SIR.

It is odd that SUR meant black, but also meant “light”. Satan the Serpent is also called Asshur (black, see Strong’s #806) and Lucifer (light). The word Nile means black, and the Nile was called Sichor in Hebrew, Nuchul by the Ethiopians, Melo by the Latins, Melas by the Greeks (from which we get our word melanin, the black pigment in skin), and Nilo of Sanscrit. All these words mean black in their respective language.

The ancient word SR originally meant Sun, and later meant lord, leader, ruler. It is used in the modern words Sir, Monsieur, Monseigneur. The word Syria is Sur-ia and means holy Sur and the Sun god of India is Surya (Sur-yah).

The Neith above is the Deo-Nath-Ji of India, which translates as Lord God Yah. Nath was a name of Christna, and Nath was the Neith of Egypt (see Higgins, p. 429), which means wisdom, and in Chinese is the god Tien, which Hebraizes as Neit (per Higgins, Tod, etc.). Neitha is the feminine of Neit. The ancient writer Proclus says that Neitha gave birth to the Sun. The Temple of Isis says Isis gave birth to the Sun.

One group of sons of Mizraim went to Capthor (Crete) (*Deut. 2:23; Jer. 47:4; Americana 9:7; Britannica 11th Ed. 9:85d*). From there they migrated to Palestine where they were known as Philistines and Pelethites. They later went to Pellus (*17:152a*) and Palatia in Asia Minor (*18:443a*), Palati Greece (*19:318b*), Palatitza Macedonia (*17:220a*), and Palatina Rome (*23:589c*). Some stayed in Palestine (*Zech. 9:5-7*) and are now known as Palestinians and PLO. They have been in their homeland for thousands of years, and now the Jews are killing them and driving them out. Sort of like what our forefathers did to the Indians. One group of the sons of Mizraim were the Capthorim, who went to Crete and Cappodocia, and gave the name Copts to Egypt.

The Egyptian paintings depict the descendants of Mizraim as reddish brown. So we have Noah, a White man, who had a son Ham, a Black man, who had a son Mizraim, a Red man. Obviously just myths, right? Or is there more here? Ham/Cham/Khem, etc., is the source of our words alchemy and chemistry. It’s in the reference books, look it up. What if Mizraim were something along the line of a “test-tube baby”? The clay tablets say the Overlords CREATED humans.

For instance, Christian O’Brien discovered the Tchoga Zambil ziggurat in Iran. He wrote a book called *The Genius of the Few—The Story of Those Who Founded the Garden in Eden*. He tells about some tablets concerning Atra-hasis, the Flood hero, tablets that were copied by the scribe Kuyaya in the reign of Ammi-saduqa about 1635 BC. They were translated by Lambert and Millard. Recall that above I quoted Sitchin who said that the words could be read backwards or forwards, and used his example of AB-ZU and ZU-AB. O’Brien says that the ABZU (or ZUAB if you prefer) was a laboratory, and here is some of the translation:

“On the first, seventh and fifteenth day of the month, I [Enki] will prepare purifying draughts; let all the lordlings be purified, and then choose one from whom to take a blood sample. From his flesh and blood we will take what is required for the Lady of Creation to mix the life cultures, so that lordling and man may be thoroughly hybridized in the culture. Let the hybrid receive ‘spirit’ from the lordling’s flesh, and then, let us not forget that living man will have a ‘spirit’.

“In the Assembly, the Great Anannage [Anunnaki], who administer the affairs of Earth, agreed. On the first, seventh, and fifteenth day of the month, Enki made the purifying draughts. We-ila, who had the right characteristics, was chosen by the Assembly to give blood. And from his flesh and blood, the Lady of Creation mixed the cultures.”

There is a break in the text, which then continues: “After she had mixed the cultures, she summoned the Anannage, the great lords. The lordlings [the great lords] gave their sperm for the life cultures. Mami addressed the great lords: ‘You gave me a task; I have now completed it. You took blood from a lordling with the right characteristics. I have relieved you of your heavy work and produced men to take over your toil. You raised a cry for men; I have given you freedom.’ When the lordlings heard this speech they all ran and kissed her feet, saying: ‘We used to call you Ma-mi; now let your name be Belet-kala-ili, Mistress of All the Lords.’

“Enki, and the wise Ma-mi, entered the House of Destiny [AB-ZU]. With the foster-mothers assembled together, he brought the culture to her. As she recited the instructions, she separated fourteen sections of the culture. She put seven sections on the right, and seven on the left, separating them by a partition. Fourteen experienced foster-mothers had been assembled. Seven were impregnated with male cultures, and seven with female cultures. The Birth-Lady, creatress of destiny, had them impregnated in pairs in her presence. So Ma-mi laid the foundations of the human race” (p. 157, 158).

We are told in church that mankind was created in seven days. I see now that this was a mistranslation. Mankind was actually created in seven trays.

O’Brien also said that EN-GE-LI was the source of the Hebrew NGL which is translated “angel” (p. 36). Remember that EN-ME-EN-GA-L-ANNA at Badtabira was the fourth king in the Larsa tablets pre-Flood king list. He also said (p. 162) that UBARRU meant “embryo”. We saw above that UBARA-TUTU was the last king in that list, and was the name of the father of Noah.

WHO WAS PHUT?

Ptolemy 4:1 mentions the Phuth River in Morocco. The Phut River is in western North Africa. The Putus were in Liberia, and the ancient name of Libya was Phaiit (see *Septuagint* and *Antiquities* 1:6:2). Some went to Somaliland and Yemen. A Babylonian tablet mentions Putu-yaman (Put Yemen—Yemen was King Yah) and a Persian inscription of Naash-i-Rustem names Putu (now Somaliland). Some went to India (RajPuts and BrahmaPUTra River). Some of Phut settled in Central Africa (*Jubilees* 9:1, from Libya). This information and much more comes from *Ency. Brit.* 11th Ed., *Septuagint*, Josephus, and *Jubilees*. The Zuni Indians of the U. S. are descended from Libyans (Barry Fell, *America BC*, pp. 174-191, chapter entitled “The Libyans of Zuni”). *Reader’s Digest Almanac* 1981, p. 500, tells of BoPHUTHatswana in South Africa.

WHO WAS CANAAN?

Now we get to the son of Ham called Canaan. The Canaanites scattered all around the Mediterranean, see any article on Moors, Phoenicians, etc. *Jub. 9:1* says Canaan’s portion was “to the west”

of Phut or the West Coast of Africa. Their assigned territories were Southern Italy, Southern Spain, South Portugal, Algeria, Tunisia, Mauretania, Morocco, Malta, Libya, etc. They were mainly known as Phoenicians. They issued Phoenician coins with the god KNAAN on them. Philo of Byblos and Stephanus of Byzantium called them KNA'AN. In the Amarna tablets, they called themselves KINAHHI and KINAHNI which translates as Canaanites. Hecataeus (Fr. hist. gr. 1:17) says that "CHNA...was afterwards called Phoinix" (*Ency. Brit. 11th 21:450*) (cp. *Isa. 23:11; Obad. 20; Zeph. 1:11*). Canaanite clan names included ACHAN, AKAN, JAAKAN, ANAK, KAIN, KENAN (*5:140d*). The sons of ANAK were the strong giants that occupied Canaan until Joshua and Caleb drove them out. Greek mythology says Kumarbi, or Cronus (Canaan) castrated Uranus or Anu (Uranus is Greek for Anu of Ur) (Noah). Noah gave each son one third of the world. If he had had more sons, their portion would have been smaller. God told Noah to be fruitful, so he WOULD have had more sons except for what Ham did to him, so Noah cursed Ham's fourth son Canaan. The early Jewish commentator Rashi paraphrased Noah's words to Ham: "You have brought it about that I cannot bear a fourth son to serve me; cursed, therefore, be your fourth son to serve under the descendants of these elder ones upon whom the duty of serving me will devolve from now on."

Jubilees 9:12: "And thus the sons of Noah divided out to their children, in the presence of Noah their father, and he caused them to swear an oath cursing him who endeavored to seize a portion which had not been allotted him. And they all said: 'Thus be it! Thus be it!' for themselves and for their descendants to eternity in their generations, until the day of judgement...."

I was told in church that when we say "Amen" we are saying "So be it." I suppose this traces all the way back to Noah, the Amen! So be it! Thus be it! Amen!

WHO WAS KENKENES?

By now you should be able to immediately see who was Kenkenes. He was KINAH in the Amarna tablets, Kenkenes is simply a translation of the Egyptian for Canaan.

[*To be continued.*]

CHAPTER 6

IS AGGRESSION TOWARD IRAQ A WAR ABOUT POWERS OF U.S. CONSTITUTION?

AT WAR WITH THE U.S. CONSTITUTION PART I

by Dr. John Coleman 3/3/98

The swift build up of hysteria against Iraq shows that the American people are firmly in the grip of mind control-brainwashing techniques first perfected by Major John Rawlins Reese of the British Psychological Warfare Bureau back in 1921, and which in the 1940s and 1950s was directed toward the American people in a process known as “long-range penetration and inner directional conditioning”, a process which began to be concentrated on the American people in 1946 and from which the modern perfidy known as “polling” evolved.

Today, polling or opinion sampling, has become the method whereby major issues which confront the Nation are manipulated to suit the ends and purposes of the One World Government-New World Order.

How does this scam work? When the secret upper-level parallel government of the United States wants to achieve another of its revolutionary goals, the matter or particular matters will be raised either by some Trojan Horse Communist in the legislature, or else by the President. After the subject is picked up by the jackals of the news media, it is bandied about so that the public quickly becomes aware of the issue or issues, slanted of course in favor of those who wish to manipulate the particular matter at hand to suit their purposes.

Then comes “public opinion” which is manufactured in the most blatant fashion. The particular political party pushing the issue, or the President’s men, or one of the major Socialist newspapers, will engage the services of a polling company, or several companies. Let us say the issue is one being pushed by the Democrat (Communist) Party—although it works in the same way for either party:

The Democrat Party will employ brainwashing “directional conditioning” specialists of the polling companies who then draw up a questionnaire, which is so loaded and slanted as to solicit the desired approval of the particular subject from those persons to whom it is put. These polling specialists are so highly trained in such techniques that they would succeed with their loaded questions to get “a majority” of the American people to agree that there is “no God”. This is no exaggeration. I have one of the training manuals of John Rawlins Reese of the Tavistock Institute—the only one I know of in the U.S.—and, stripped of its technical verbiage, it shows the methodology adopted to get the “right” response. Polling experts have the ability to elicit the “right” responses, so that they could get Americans to agree that the *Constitution* should be abolished by using “inner directional conditioning” techniques.

The next step is where they study demographics based on voter rolls. Obviously, if the Democrat Party is behind it, or their jackals of the media, then the experts select several districts where there is a heavy concentration of registered Democrats or “liberal” (read Socialist) Republicans. The areas selected for polling are then analyzed as to the class of people, where they live, what sort of incomes they enjoy.

The pollsters then set about calling the usual number which is usually 900 “samples” and put their prepared set of loaded questions to them. In this crooked and disgustingly dishonest manner is “public opinion” created. A question could take the following form: “Do you believe that given that 75% of the American people think the president is doing a good job of running the country, he should be impeached for sexual misconduct?” No proof is ever offered that “75% of the American people think the president is doing a good job”, other than perhaps citing some other opinion poll where the results and number of people sampled are obtained through the same techniques and methods.

How can the slanted, prejudiced opinions of only a part of the 900 people questioned possibly represent what a nation of millions of people actually believe? Of course it cannot, but through this crooked, devious, unreliable “test” is public opinion made. It is time that the methods used be made honest or be scrapped altogether. Today, American policy, domestic and foreign, is based upon this type of “opinion poll” which is 100 percent fraudulent.

Another way in which the press will create favorable “public opinion” is by juxtapositioning stories and photos in newspapers and magazines. An example: Instead of being on the front page of the “Sunday Chronicle” where the editor would normally place it, a photo of a smiling President Clinton and his wife locked arm in arm with a smiling British Prime Minister Tony Blair and his wife, appeared on page 19 of the paper, sandwiched in between the following headlines: “Prosecutor’s Methods On Trial”; “GOP, Split On Impeachment, Holds Its Fire Against Clinton”; and “Lewinsky Lawyer Accuses Starr Of Coercing Her”.

Is the position and placing of the photograph in the newspaper just by some happenstance? Of course not; it is meant to convey the impression that here are two statesmen carrying on the business of their respective countries, regardless of what is going on around them. It is also meant to convey the impression that it is Mr. Starr, not the White House, who is under fire.

Which brings me to the next poll and the next happenstance. In their deceitful and utterly untruthful manner, polls are showing that 65% of the people favor a military attack by the U.S. on Iraq. Of course the “65%” is made up by a majority of the 900 people polled and is in no wise representative of what the true majority of Americans think.

The kind of poll which should be taken—but never will be—is the following, or something like it: “Do you believe the *U.S. Constitution* gives the President the right to attack Iraq, and if so, can you quote the part of the *Constitution* which grants the President such powers?”

The sad part about this is that in such a poll the majority will probably answer “in favor” but without being able to cite the constitutional empowerment, accepting the misconception that the President is the commander in chief—a misconception which has been drummed into the heads of

the American people ever since George Herbert Walker Bush took this nation to war against Iraq on his own volition and without any constitutional authority to do so.

So what is the constitutional position in regard to the status of the President? Is he automatically the commander in chief when he takes office? Does he have any war-making powers? Can he order U.S. forces to engage in acts of aggressive warfare against any nation without the mandatory five-step declaration of war first having been passed by a joint session of the House and Senate and publicly declared? Does the president have any authority to decide the mission of the troops once they have been mobilized?

The answer to all of the questions is a resounding NO!

ON THE CONSTITUTIONALLY MANDATED DECLARATION OF WAR:

The delegated powers given to the Federal Government are contained in Article I, Section 8, Clauses 1-18. These are the ONLY powers that the Federal Government has. Nowhere in these delegated powers does it say the President on his own has the power to commit this nation to aggressive war against another nation, especially one which has not been declared a “BELLIGERENT NATION” by a joint session of the House and Senate. Until the word “belligerent” is so stated, no nation may be referred to as “the enemy” or “the enemy nation”, nor can there be a declaration of war.

In his great work, “The Constitution of Virginia and the United States” (title shortened), St. George Tucker, a hero of the American Revolution and a professor of law at the College of William and Mary, one of the framers of the *Constitution*, says on page 329:

“The first shall be commander in chief of the Army and the Navy and the Militia of the several States, when called into service of the United States. A power similar to that of a king of England and of the stadholder of Holland; yet qualified by more restrictions, which I believe, were not to be found in either of these governments, As, first, he cannot make rules for the regulation and government of the Army and Navy himself, but must be governed according to the regulations established by Congress...

“A third and infinitely more important check... as long as elections continue as frequent as the present, is that no appropriations for the support of the Army can be made for longer than two years, the period for which congress is chosen—this puts the power of the people at the end of the period.

“Fourthly, the Militia of the several States, though subject to him when called into service by the authority of Congress, must be governed by the law of the States.”

What this means, according to such authorities as Judge Joseph Story whose work, *Constitution of the United States*, Book III and Henry Clay, the greatest authority of the war powers of the President, is that the President only becomes the commander in chief of the armed forces when called into the service of the United States, this being a FUTURE action; not a PAST action:

Joseph Story, *Constitution of the United States*, Book III, page 412:

“A power to declare war is to make and carry out war. It is not mere power to make known an existing thing, but to give life and effect to the thing itself... The true doctrine has been expressed in the Supreme Court: If from the imperfection of human language there should be any serious doubts respecting the extent of any power given, the objects for which it was given, especially when those objects are expressed in the instrument itself, should have great influence in its construction.”

Henry Clay:

“I conclude, therefore, Mr. President and fellow citizens, with entire confidence, that Congress has the right [note Clay did not say “the President has the right”], either of the beginning or during the prosecution of any war, to decide the objects and the purposes for which it was proclaimed or for which it ought to continue. And I think it is the duty of the Congress by some deliberate and authentic act to declare [*toward*] what objects the present war shall be longer prosecuted.

“I suppose the President would not hesitate to regulate his conduct by the pronounced will of Congress to employ force and diplomatic power of the nation to execute his will. But if the President should decline or refuse to do so, and in contempt of the supreme authority should persevere in waging war for other objects than those proclaimed by the Congress, then it would be the imperative duty of that body to vindicate its authority by the most effectual and appropriate measures.

“There can be no insuperable difficulty in Congress making such an authoritative declaration [of war]. Let it resolve, simply, that the war shall or shall not be a war of conquest; and if a war of conquest, what is to be conquered. Should a resolution pass disclaiming, the President would conform to his constitutional duty...

“In their final and deliberate judgments one of the most important features of the covenant [the *U.S. Constitution*] was that our country should be distinguished from other nations in its refusal to concentrate in one man exclusive power over foreign relations of the Government, especially over the issues of peace and war.”

Pomeroy, the great constitutional scholar, Congressional Record, House, July 21, 1888:

“The organic law nowhere proscribes or limits the causes for which hostilities may be waged against a foreign nation. The causes of the war it leaves up to the discretion and the judgment of the legislature.”

Please note, the President has no powers whatsoever to decide such issues. The President **does not** automatically assume the title of commander in chief when entering office. This is conferred upon him by a joint session of the House and Senate after passing a joint declaration of war, a five-step process which follows. Before that he is NOT the commander in chief of the armed forces, otherwise he would stand where kings stood and could take this nation to war at his own every whim and drag our sons and daughters, fathers and sons, to fight in any war of his choosing. This awesome power was CATEGORICALLY DENIED to the president by the Founding Fathers.

A constitutionally mandated declaration of war is found in the House of Representatives, Report No.1, 65th Congress, 1st session, page 319, Congressional Record, House, April 5, 1917 on the

occasion of Pres. Woodrow Wilson's wish to take America into WWI:

"Whereas The Imperial German Government has committed repeated acts of war between the Government and the people of the United States of America: Therefore be it resolved by the Senate and the House of Representatives of the United States of America, in Congress assembled [in other words a joint session of Congress], that the state of war between the United States and the Imperial German Government which has thus been thrust upon the United States, is hereby formally declared: and that the President be, and is hereby authorized and directed to employ the entire naval and military forces of the United States and the resources of this government to carry on a war against the belligerent Imperial German Government; and bring the conflict to a successful termination. All of the resources of the country are pledged by the Congress of the United States."

From the foregoing it is perfectly clear that: Pres. Wilson was not the commander in chief of the armed forces of the United States BEFORE APRIL 5, 1917, nor was he EVER referred to as such prior to being called into service (a future action) on that date.

George Washington was not the commander in chief of the Army and Navy before being called into service by a special executive session of the Senate, after which the title was conferred upon him.

Congressional Record, October 6, 1917, page 7882:

"Constitutional Provisions involved [in a declaration of war] Section 8, Article 1 of the *Constitution* provides: 'The Congress shall have the power to lay and collect taxes, duties and imposts, and excise to pay the debts and provide the common defense and general welfare of the United States.'

"It is clear from the very first sentence that no war can be prosecuted WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE CONGRESS. [And this has to be constitutionally done in the form of a five-step declaration of war by a joint session of the House and Senate.] There is no power in the *Constitution* given to the Executive branch to raise money for the purposes of making war. Only the Congress can do that. From this provision [standing on its own] it must follow without qualification that the duty of determining whether a war shall be prosecuted or not, whether the people's money shall be expended or not, rests SOLELY UPON CONGRESS [emphasis added] and with that power goes, necessarily, the power to determine the cause of the war, for if the Congress does not approve the purposes of the war, it may refuse to lay the taxes upon the people to prosecute it...

"Another reason for giving this [war-making] power to the Congress was that the Congress, particularly the House of Representatives was assumed to be directly responsible to the people and would most nearly represent their views."

Article I, Section 8, Clause II: "To declare war and punish piracies." Here it is expressly implied that only the Congress can declare war, and that such a declaration of war, properly formulated, is mandatory. It does not say the President has any such powers.

President Lincoln, Congressional Record October 16, 1917, page 7800 is quoted as follows:

“The provisions of the *Constitution* giving war-making powers to Congress was dictated, as I understand it, by the following reason: Kings had always been involving and impoverishing their people in wars, pretending always, that the good of the people was the object. This our convention understood to be the most oppressive of kingly oppressions, and resolved to so frame the *Constitution* that no man should hold the power of bringing this oppression upon us. But your views destroy the whole matter and places the President where kings have always stood.”

Representative Vic Fazio, Congressional Record, House, January 12, 1991, Pages H402-H403:

“President Harry Truman introduced a large American military force into the Korean conflict without Congressional authorization [declaration of war], whatsoever. His administration advanced the unheard of theory that the President, as commander in chief of the Armed Services of the United States, has full control over their use. The framers [of the *Constitution*] sought to create an executive, not another king.”

CAN THE PRESIDENT ORDER THE MILITIA TO SERVE ACROSS THE SEAS?

(The Dick Act, HR11654 of June 1902.)

Speech by Charles Hughes of the ABA, Appendix to Congressional Record, House, Sept. 10, 1917, pages 6838-6840—on the occasion of Pres. Wilson attempting to draft the Militia for service in WWI:

“The Militia, within the meaning of these provisions of the *Constitution* is distinct from the Army of the United States.” At these pages is also found reference to a statement made by Daniel Webster: “That the great principle of the *Constitution* on that subject is that the Militia is the Militia of the States and not of the General Government, and thus being the Militia of the States, there is no part of the *Constitution* worded with more care and more scrupulous jealousy than that which grants the limits of power of Congress over it.”

From: “A Petition to The Senate and The House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress Assembled. Submitted by Hannis Taylor in behalf of himself and as next friend of a half a million and more of America’s youth now under military duress, conscripted under Sec. 8, Art 1 of the *Constitution* which provides that ‘Congress shall have power to provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the laws of the Union, suppress insurrection and repel invasions’ which provisions, as construed by the Supreme Court, FORBIDS the sending of the Militia, the so-called (meaning so delineated) beyond the territorial limits of the United States.”

“An Appeal To the Congress To Prevent The Sending Of The Conscripted National Militia To European battlefields In Open Defiance Of The *Constitution Of The United States*:”

“...American armies were never sent before across the seas...Thirdly, the transportation of the Militia of the national or States beyond our territorial limits is strictly forbidden by the *Constitution of the United States*...Your petitioner further represents that the Federal Convention of 1787 , after

long and stormy debate, so clearly and positively fixed the exemptions of the Militia, National and State from military service beyond our territorial limits that it was never questioned during the century-and-a-quarter that preceded the year 1912. In all our wars preceding that date the exemption was always frankly recognized not only by jurists but by all writers on our military system.

“...The *Constitution* distinctly enumerates the three exclusive purposes for which the Militia may be called into the service of the United States. These purposes are: First, to execute the laws of the Union; second, to suppress insurrections; and third, to repel invasions... In the history of this provision of the *United States Constitution* there is nothing indicating that it was even contemplated that such troops should be employed for the purposes of offensive warfare outside of the limits of the United States...”

Yet, although Pres. Wilson admitted in at least four major speeches that he had no authority to send the Militia fight in Europe, and in spite of having been told very forcibly that he had no such authority by Attorney General Wickersham, Wilson still went ahead and sent the Militia abroad in gross violation of the *Constitution* and the Dick Act and his oath to faithfully execute the laws of the United States, just as George Bush did in his private war against Iraq. Both Wilson and Bush ought to have been impeached and then tried for violating the oath of office they took to defend the *U.S. Constitution*.

From the foregoing we can see what is meant in the *Constitution* where it says: “Fourthly, the Militia of the several States, though subject to his command when called into the service of the United States [i.e., the President has to be called into the service of the United States as commander in chief] by the authority of the Congress and must be governed by law of the States...”. The President cannot “govern” the Militia.

The important thing to remember is that the Founding Fathers did not want a king and it is expressly implied that the President is not the commander chief on assumption of the presidency, but only gets the title when it is conferred upon him by a joint session of the House and Senate, after the five-step process for a declaration of war has been completed.

These steps are as follows:

1. The House and Senate have to pass separate resolutions declaring a state of belligerency exists between the United States and the belligerent nation. To pass such a resolution may take a few weeks or even a month. The Founding Fathers did not want some would-be king in the White House stampeding the nation into war. The Spanish-American War, WWI and WWII are examples of properly drawn up constitutional declarations of war by the Congress, and the language thereof should be studied by those who wish to obtain a better understanding of how to conform to the constitutional requirements of a declaration of war. There is no such thing as Congress giving the President “permission” to engage in any type of war unless the proper constitutional provisions of such a declaration have been complied with.

2. The House and Senate must each pass separate resolutions saying that a state of war exists between the United States and the belligerent nation which is a notice to the American people that

they are about to go to war. The word “belligerent” must be mentioned. There can be no war without a state of belligerency existing.

3. The House and Senate would then each pass separate resolutions informing the military that the nation is at war with the belligerent nation.

4. Then, the House and Senate would decide if the war against the belligerent nation was to be a perfect or imperfect war. If an imperfect (limited) war, then only ONE branch of the military could be engaged. If a perfect war, then all branches of the services could be engaged

5. Then the House and the Senate would decide if the United States was to be engaged in a public war; in a public war every man and women and child in the United States would be at war with every man, woman, and child of the belligerent nation. Properly debated, such resolutions could take weeks and even months to pass, which is what the Founding Fathers wanted, to keep hotheads like Bush and Clinton from rushing the nation into war.

Let us now carefully examine the foregoing in our search to see whether the President is automatically the commander in chief and just what sort of war powers he has:

1. It is clear from all of the foregoing that he is not automatically the commander in chief upon assuming office, but has first to be called into service by a joint session of the House and Senate after a five-step process to declare war has been completed, at which time the title of commander in chief is conferred upon him, which lasts for the duration of the war, after which period he relinquishes the title.

2. It is very clear that the President has no powers which would allow him to state the causes of the war; how it is to be fought and, against which belligerent nation. Again, without the word “belligerent” being used there can be no declaration of war. The President has no power to decide how the armed forces shall be used and takes no part in such decision-making which is always the prerogative of the Congress.

3. The President has no authority to call up the Militia of the States (including the National Guard) for service abroad—”over the seas”—as the *Constitution* puts it. George Bush grossly violated this constitutional prohibition and he should have been impeached and driven from office for his crime.

4. The President has no power to raise monies to pay for the war.

5. There is no such provision in the *Constitution* which would allow Congress to give “permission” for the President to send troops abroad save and except where a proper constitutionally mandated declaration of war has been passed by a joint session of the House and Senate.

6. The President cannot take the nation to war on the basis of a U.N. resolution, nor can such a U.N. resolution be intertwined with the language of the *U.S. Constitution* as was done in the case of the Vietnam War.

7. Engaging in a blockade of a nation without a declaration of war by the Congress violates the *U.S. Constitution* and Vattel's *Law of Nations*. A blockade is an act of war and can only be entered into upon a joint declaration of war by a joint session of the House and Senate.

8. Moreover, such a blockade of a foreign nation cannot be undertaken unless the word "belligerent" nation is embodied in the declaration.

9. As war against Iraq has never been constitutionally declared, Iraq is not a "belligerent" nation and technically, the U.S. is at peace with it.

10. The President cannot engage in a war with a foreign nation on the strength of a U.N. Resolution because the aforesaid U.N. Resolution takes the power to declare war away from the Congress and gives it to the President, thus grossly violating the *U.S. Constitution*. ONLY THE CONGRESS can authorize dispatch of U.S. military forces abroad (excluding the Militia) because only the Congress is authorized to raise money to pay for the cost of the war.

11. Only the Congress can decide the object of the war and whether it should be prosecuted. The President has no say in the matter, nor does his secretary of state, or defense, have any say. Congress has the sole authority to direct the armed forces as to what its objectives are. The President and his cabinet have NO SAY in such matters, and in the case of the President, he is given military instructions only AFTER having the title of commander in chief conferred upon him.

12. The Founding Fathers abhorred the idea that war-making powers be given to one man; they did not want a king who could bring oppression upon the people by having the power to take the nation to war and that is why they gave Congress THIS SOLE POWER and not the President.

13. Henry Clay said if the President does not obey the regulations prescribed by the Congress then the Congress must take the necessary steps to force him to do so. (Through the impeachment process.)

We issue a challenge to the American Bar Association, the Justices of the Supreme Court, and the members of the House and Senate to show us where in the delegated powers of the Central Government it is stated that the President automatically become the commander in chief when he is elected to office; and in addition, where in the *Constitution* does it say that the President has ANY WAR POWERS WHATSOEVER.

We also issue a challenge to the members of the House and Senate to stop the dangerous erosion of the war powers of the House and Senate by looking the other way when the President usurps the title of commander in chief without it being conferred upon him. George Washington was the first commander in chief to have the title conferred upon him. We ask the members of the House and Senate to do their duty and stop the flagrant violation of the *U.S. Constitution's* War Powers which is going on at present, before our *Constitution*, like the *Magna Carta*, falls into disuse and we have a king instead of a president.

Neither the President nor his unelected officials; Secretary of State Albright and Secretary of De-

fense Cohen have the slightest constitutional right to engage in rhetoric which purports to give them the right to take this nation to war. They have absolute NO WAR-MAKING POWERS, nor can they or the President have any say in the cause of war nor the prosecution thereof with regard to the manner in which U.S. troops may be used and the objectives for which they may be used.

Can a President be impeached for violating his oath of office by exceeding his authority when it comes to going to war against a foreign nation?

During the 1991 Gulf War, Representative Henry Gonzalez and Professor Francis Boyle, a professor of International Law, drew up five articles of impeachment against then Pres. George Bush and I gave quite some input to these patriots at the time. Quoting from Professor Boyle's "Presidential Conduct Requires Impeachment Proceedings. January 15, 1991":

"A major effort is being initiated to urge the United States House of Representatives to consider the impeachment of President Bush, Vice President Quayle and Secretary of State Baker.

"The basis for such impeachment includes: Exceeding the powers of their office; violations of the *Constitution* and federal statutes; planning and preparing and conspiring to commit war crimes in violation of The Hague and Geneva Conventions, the Nuremberg Charter Laws of Armed Conflict and U.S. military regulations restricting the use of force.

"President Bush has employed Presidential power for improper purposes by deliberately planning and acting to lead the U.S. into a war with Iraq. The President has committed acts of war without (Congressional) authority. These include the use of naval forces for blockade; bribing; intimidating; threatening others to support belligerent acts; interfering with efforts to peacefully resolve disputes between Iraq and Kuwait and others; false deception; misleading statements and concealment of facts to lead to war with Iraq; preventing efforts required by law to peacefully solve the dispute by legal proceedings; arbitration, and other means; threatening to employ military force against civilians with weapons of mass destruction including nuclear weapons... Congress cannot authorize the President to commit war crimes..."

There followed House Resolution 34, dated Jan 17, 1991. "Impeaching the President of the United States of high crimes and misdemeanors... Resolved that George Herbert Walker Bush, President of the United States is impeached for high crimes and misdemeanors and that the following articles of impeachment be exhibited to the Senate."

In all, five lengthy articles were presented. Space does not allow me to quote them all, so I will quote Article III, which is fairly representative of the tone and content of the other four articles:

"ARTICLE III"

"In the conduct of office of the President of the United States, George Herbert Walker Bush, in violation of his constitutional oath faithfully to execute the office of the President of the United States and to the best of his ability, preserve, protect and defend the *Constitution of the United States*, and in violation of his constitutional duty to take care that the laws are faithfully executed,

has prepared, planned, and conspired to engage in a massive war against Iraq employing methods of mass destruction which will result in the killing of tens of thousands of civilians, many of whom will be children. The planning includes the placement and potential use of nuclear weapons, and the use of such indiscriminate weapons as massive killings by aerial bombardment, or otherwise, of civilians, violates The Hague Conventions of 1907 and 1923 and the Geneva Conventions of 1948 and Protocol I thereto, the *Nuremberg Charter*, the Genocide Convention and the *United Nations Declaration of Human Rights*.

“In all of this George Herbert Walker Bush has acted in a manner contrary to his trust as President and subversive of constitutional government, to the great prejudice of the cause of the law and justice and to the manifest injury of the people of the United States. Wherefore: George Herbert Walker Bush, by such conduct, warrants impeachment and trial, and removal from office.”

The other four articles make it very clear that Bush did not receive a joint declaration of war by a joint session of Congress and that constitutionally, he was never authorized by a declaration of war to go to war against Iraq. Of course George Bush was NEVER called into service as the commander in chief of the Armed Forces and the title was NOT conferred upon him.

The foregoing, I believe, speaks for itself in the context of the climate of hysteria being generated by the Clinton administration and it is the bounden duty of the House and Senate to call a halt to such proceedings until such times a joint session of the House and Senate is convened, a proper 5-step declaration of war is declared, and the title, commander in chief, is conferred upon President Clinton.

The *Constitution* must be obeyed! It is imperative that our *Constitution* be saved from those who are destroying and trampling it underfoot. These matters on whether the United States goes to war against Iraq or not, ARE SOLELY FOR THE CONGRESS to decide and it is not a matter of taking sides for, or against, Iraq; but an urgent issue as to whether the *Constitution* is to be obeyed or not. Are there no statesmen in the legislative branch of the Central Government who will put a stop to the gross violations of the *U.S. Constitution* presently being planned by the Democratic administration, in prosecuting an attack on a nation with which the United States is not at war?

THE FIG LEAF “UNITED NATIONS” EXCUSE

When confronted by incontrovertible constitutional facts that the President has no war-making powers, as in the case of the Bush private war against Iraq for and on behalf of British Petroleum’s big financial stake in “Kuwait”, an artificial entity created by British force of arms back in 1910 when British forces occupied Baghdad to secure Iraqi oil for the coming world war (1914-1918); and again, when British troops invaded Iraq in 1941 “to save the country from Germany” (the official excuse); and again, when in 1961, British troops lopped off part of Iraq’s richest oil fields and called it “Kuwait”, the response is nearly always the same: “The President is authorized by the United Nations to unleash the dogs of war against Iraq.”

The plain truth is that the United States does not belong to the U.N., as to do so would place the

U.N. agreement/charter (it is not a treaty) above the *U.S. Constitution*, and any United States citizen who places the U.N. agreement in a superior position to that of the *United States Constitution* is violating his oath to uphold and defend the *U.S. Constitution*, a most heinous crime.

There are least two dozen constitutional reasons why the U.S. cannot belong to the U.N.; but one is sufficient: Under the U.N.'s article 43, the power to make war is taken away from the Congress of the United States and given to the President. In my discussions with Senator Ervin about the alleged U.S. membership of the U.N., he told me: "There is no way under the noonday sun that we could have joined the U.N." Senator Ervin was possibly the greatest authority on the *U.S. Constitution* in the 20th century.

But let us just for the sake of discussion take the position that in some freak way the *Constitution* did allow membership of this One World Government-New World Order entity. What is the truth about the United Nations' powers to set the U.S. attack dogs loose on Iraq? In this regard we have public officials and the jackals of the media blithely talking about "this time we must take Saddam Hussein out" meaning, presumably, that Hussein should be murdered. To those people who believe that the U.S. has the right to meddle in the affairs of other sovereign nations, let me say that The Hague Convention, to which the U.S. is a signatory, says as follows:

Article 23 annexed to The Hague Convention Document No.IV to which the U.N. and the U.S. subscribe:

"It is especially forbidden...to kill or wound treacherously individuals belonging to the hostile nation or army..."

It is also forbidden by Vattel's Law of Nations upon which our *Constitution's* foreign policy was founded, and there is nothing in the *U.S. Constitution* which allows the U.S. to intervene in the internal affairs of sovereign nations, let alone murder their leaders. Moreover, the U.S. Department of Defense in its Army Field Manual, 27-10, Paragraph 31, "The Law Of Land Warfare" states as follows: "This article is construed as prohibiting assassination proscription or outlawry of the enemy."

Two words from the constitutional declaration of war come to mind here; "BELLIGERENT" AND "ENEMY". As I have stated elsewhere there can be no declaration of war against any country unless the constitutionally mandated declaration of war includes the word "belligerent" and no nation may be called "the enemy" or "enemy" until a state of belligerency is publicly declared between the U.S. and the belligerent nation. I know I am repeating this information, but I believe it is so important as to warrant repetition.

According to the Nuremberg Tribunal, the rules of The Hague Convention No.IV became part of international law and this was accepted by the U.S; in fact there was a ruling in a U.S. District Court—I believe it was in Washington, D.C.—which upheld the prohibition of assassinations and outlawry as described in the U.S. Army Field Manual.

On the convoluted supposition that somehow, the U.S. belongs to the U.N., then our government is duty-bound to obey Article 33 (1) of the *U.N. Charter* to: "first of all, seek a solution by negotiation,

inquiry, MEDIATION, conciliation, arbitration, judicial settlement, resort to regional agencies or arrangements, or other peaceful means of their own choice.”

To say that “Well, the Security Council has authorized the U.N. to intervene in Iraq and search for weapons of mass destruction” is no excuse, because under Charter VII, the Security Council is bound by its own rules and MUST “act in accordance with the Purposes and Principles of the United Nations as required by article 24 (2) and article 2 (3) which says ‘All Members shall settle disputes by peaceful means in such a manner that international peace and security and justice are not endangered.’” The actions of the Clinton administration ever since the Bush private war against Iraq, are contrary to these U.N. articles, and as Russian President Yeltsin has declared, threaten world peace.

It is of particular interest to those who bring up the United Nations resolutions as being the reason why the U.S. continues its undeclared war against Iraq: That the Security Council Res. 678 is not primary, but secondary, to The Hague Convention, and the U.N. has an obligation to do everything it can to find a peaceful solution to this dispute with Iran under article 24 (1), 2,(3) and 33 (1) which covers special mediation obligations.

Neither former Pres. Bush nor the Clinton administration has sought mediation before going to war against Iraq. Going back to The Hague Convention of 1907 which the U.S. signed, under Article 8 the rule is specificity of what it is that is endangering the peace—because of a threat of war being made by the United States, the United States has to declare with specificity that the dispute with Iraq will DIRECTLY affect the United States. At this point a mediator is to be assigned by the U.N. None of these rules of The Hague Convention and U.N. rules were complied with by George Bush and the Clinton administration, nor did either man state SPECIFICALLY that Iraq DIRECTLY threatens the U.S.

The point here is that the United States is not yet the policeman of the world (although it may well, be under the New World Order) and does not have any right under its own *Constitution* or The Hague Convention or the *U.N. Charter* to set itself up as the self-appointed police force of the world. The Bush administration and the Clinton administration are both guilty of using cabinet members to pontificate that the U.S. is acting under “international law”. In the first instance, the U.S. is not bound by international law but by its *Constitution*, and for the second instance, the U.S. has NOT acted correctly under international law or the *U.N. Charter* in its dispute with Iraq, as I have clearly indicated.

In fact when it comes right down to it, the Bush administration committed the U.S. to war against Iraq in gross violation of the *U.S. Constitution*, the President assuming the title of commander in chief without such title having been conferred upon him and he did so contrary to The Hague Convention and the *United Nations Charter*. President Clinton is repeating these violations in a systematic manner. President Bush DID NOT receive a declaration of war from the Congress nor was the title, commander in chief, conferred upon him. President Clinton has not received a declaration of war from the Congress and the title commander in chief has not been conferred upon him.

In his unwillingness to obtain a constitutional declaration of war and the title, commander in chief,

Pres. Bush violated also the War Powers which are vested solely in the House and the Senate, and this constituted subversive actions against the *U.S. Constitution*, to the great danger of the American people and a grave danger to the rule of law and order in the United States. If Pres. Clinton goes ahead with his stated objective of making war on Iraq, ignoring the constitutional prohibitions against such unilateral action, then he will be in the same place where George Herbert Walker Bush stood; that being, open to impeachment proceedings against him.

A news report of February 10th, 1998 has it that “the U.S. plans to send 3,000 troops to the Middle East in a few days.” If this report is correct then we must say that it is not “the U.S.” which will send the troops abroad, but solely the President, without a mandated declaration of war and in violation of the War Powers which are vested in the Congress, which alone, may order our armed forces into service after complying with all of the constitutional provisions. Secretary of Defense Cohen has NO CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY to order American troops abroad in the absence of a declaration of war and indeed, at any other time, and this action is in violation of the War Powers vested solely in the Congress.

Apart from these constitutional prohibitions against any U.S. President acting unilaterally as in the Bush and Clinton examples, there is the following situation which has never been clarified by the U.N. and the Clinton administration:

1. Where did Iraq get the money to buy the components that go to make up chemical weapons, since the country is not allowed to sell its oil except in quantities insufficient even to provide basic foodstuffs and medicine for its people?
2. If some foreign power is financing Iraq, this is easily discoverable. Have any efforts been made by the U.N. or the U.S. to find the source of such funding, supposing it has taken place?
3. Iraq has been under a tight embargo unconstitutionally enforced by the U.S. Navy, without a declaration of war. How is it possible that the import of such components for making chemical weapons could have got through to Iraq?
4. Which companies outside of Iraq are supplying these components and has any action been taken against them to put an end to it?
5. Have our scores of billion-dollar satellites been “blinded”? If so, how? If not “blinded” how is it that they have been unable to see what is going on, given the boast that they can read the time off a wrist watch worn by an individual standing on the streets of Baghdad?
6. How would Iraq deliver such “weapons of mass destruction”? By its few remaining, old, creaking SCUD missiles? Could these DIRECTLY threaten the U.S.? Hardly! So then, by what delivery method would Iraq be able to “threaten its neighbors” with weapons of mass destruction? By what method does Iraq threaten the U.S, DIRECTLY?

The Clinton administration needs to take the American people into its confidence and make a clean breast of these questions. The situation is serious. China, France and Russia are utterly opposed to a military action against Iraq by the U.S. and Britain. Yeltsin has warned that such an attack might

lead to the Third World War breaking out. There is no love lost between the Russian military high command and the U.S. The Russians have not forgotten the way in which they were betrayed and double-crossed by the arrogant Secretary James Baker in the Bush war in 1991; and they are not likely to stand back and let it happen for a second time.

This time they may be disinclined to keep their fingers off the triggers of their deadly SS-18 inter-continental ballistic missiles, eight of which could destroy the better part of the U.S. The SS-18s are highly mobile and could launch a series of missiles, perhaps against the U.S., but more likely against U.S. forces in the Gulf, and then move swiftly to new locations. If we could not find the old SCUDs during the Gulf War, what chance do we have against the rapidly deployable and mobile SS-18s? Is this what the American people want? A possible nuclear war over our greed to get our hands on the Mosul oilfields of Iraq?

The Congress needs to step in and do its constitutional duty without any further delay.

CLOSING CONCLUSIONS:

The true purpose of the undeclared war against Iraq by the United States is PARTITION OF THE COUNTRY in which the U.S. and British oil companies, Turkey, Syria and Israel, will be the beneficiaries with the long-coveted multi-billion-dollar Mosul oil fields as the glittering prize. How the final Master Plan for Iraq will be carried out is the subject of my concluding article. A closing thought: Was the timing of Prime Minister Blair's visit to the White House just coincidental? The question is asked because it will be recalled that just prior to launching his attack on Iraq in 1991, George Bush received a visit from Margaret Thatcher to "put some steel into his spine" to launch the long-planned war against Iraq. Was this the purpose of Prime Minister Blair? Did he bring with him instructions on how the U.S. should go to war for the second time against Iraq for the benefit of British Petroleum?

[To be continued.]

CHAPTER 7

THE NEWS DESK

by Dr. Al Overholt 3/7/98

MEXICAN INVASION COMPARABLE TO WAR

*If turnabout is fair play,
then the Mexican War of the
18th century is about to become fair.*

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, by Andrew Arnold, 3/2/98: [quoting]

The opening volley of America's race war has been fired. It wasn't Whites and Blacks fighting in an urban center. The first shots came from radical Chicano educators and legislators in the Southwest. The Mexican government added a quick jab of its own.

Somehow the mainstream media overlooked the shot across America's bow. Consequently, many Anglos don't know we are at war.

The movement is known as *Reconquista*. It numbers thousands of followers throughout the Southwestern United States. Adherents believe in Mexico reconquering the land lost to the United States.

Adherents have used force to get their point across.

For example, Americans demonstrating in California last July 4, were attacked by Chicano radicals on Independence Day. According to some victims, the police did nothing to protect them.

The political wing of the *Reconquista* movement is called *Movimiento Estudiantil Chicano de Aztlán* (MEChA). "Their goal is to forge a Mexican army to drive all Europeans from the Southwest," says a California Coalition for Immigration Reform (CCIR) newsletter.

NEW COUNTRY

Once Black and White Americans are expelled, the plan is to establish a country named Aztlán. The Chicano Mexicano Mexico Empowerment Committee (CMMEC) is MEChA's militant wing.

"When that region is cleansed of the White heirs of murderers and thieves who now control it, Lord's followers [a gang] can rebuild the Aztec empire, bring back that glorious nation of priests and warriors and reestablish its noble institutions of learning, religion and justice," said Olin Texcatlipoca, founder of CMMEC.

LOTS OF VICTIMS

“We found it’s not just a small radical group,” CCIR lobbyist John Clark told *The SPOTLIGHT*. “Hispanic people in our group go to [radical Chicano] meetings, tape record them and bring us back all this information.”

The violence extends beyond beating Americans celebrating Independence Day. Police and border agents have been the victims of multiple shootings in the Southwest. For instance:

- * LAPD Officer Steve Gajda was murdered on New Year’s Eve 1997. A 17-year-old Mexican gang member, who was already wanted for murder, was charged.

- * Although the *Los Angeles Times* has documented that 60 percent (12,000 members) of the 18th Street Gang are illegal aliens, police regulations prohibit officers from asking suspects their legal status in Los Angeles.

- * Last April 18, a pair of U.S. Customs inspectors were wounded in a gun battle at the Calexico border station. The shooter was a Mexican who was gunned down in the fight. Later in the day, an illegal alien smuggler attempted to run down a Border Patrol agent.

- * On May 17, a Border Patrol agent was wounded by sniper fire near a border crossing station. The shots came from the Mexican side of the border.

- * On May 23, two Border Patrol agents faced sniper fire from Mexico. The Mexicans were using AK-47s.

In 1995, a month after Border Patrol Agent Louis Santiago was killed while pursuing illegal aliens on the border, a Chicano student newspaper celebrated.

“We’re glad this pig died, he deserved to die,” proclaimed *Voz Fronteriza*, a newspaper published at the University of California at San Diego. “Yet it is too bad more Migra pigs didn’t die with him.”

- * In response to Californians passing Prop. 187 in 1994, Amando Navarro, a professor at the University of California-Riverside, responded, “We are in a state of war.”

Mexican President Ernesto Zedillo has warned Washington that his country “is ready to fight” if the United States enforces immigration laws and deports illegal Mexicans. “We will not tolerate foreign forces dictating and enacting laws on Mexicans.”

Zedillo said his first action would be to appeal U.S. actions to an international forum.

Zedillo told TV host Larry King, Mexicans “have a right to free education [in America]. Illegal immigrants are not criminals. Illegal immigration is not a crime.”

“We have said for years that you won’t know you’re being invaded until you try to stop it,” said Glenn Spencer of Voice of Citizens Together. “What we are witnessing is *Reconquista*, using drugs and drug money to help drive Americans out of the Southwest.”

“These militant Mexicans have established training camps in the mountains of Northern Mexico and across the borders in California, Arizona, New Mexico and Texas,” says newsletter publisher Alyn Denham. “Recruits receive basic training, weapons introduction and political indoctrination in the camps located in Mexico where they’re also assigned to specific U.S.-based combat units.” [End quoting]

Anybody with eyes to see and ears to hear can understand why and what is happening. Is turn-about fair play?? When you think about reincarnation—what part might we have played in the stealing of Mexico’s property?

Does that justify revenge? Not according to God’s law!! His law does, however, demand **that recompense be made, somehow**. We receive what we put out—sometime, somewhere.

AMERICA AS ‘BRAINLESS LOUT’ IN MIDDLE EAST

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, <wrldline@texas.net>, 3/1/98: [quoting]

The U.S., which was perceived after the 1991 war as being an enlightened liberator and a symbol of freedom, is now seen throughout the Middle East as vengeful, cruel and indifferent. The value of Washington’s stock has never reached a lower point than its current position in the eyes of Arab public opinion. The general disappointment with the U.S. does not exclude the Arab regimes.

The loss of confidence in Washington today felt in Cairo, Riyadh, Amman or the Gulf is great. Realistic leaders such as Mubarek or King Hussein have been repeatedly surprised by the way in which Washington has failed to read correctly the inflammatory regional map, and how it has not balanced its policies. The regimes’ feeling that the United States behaves like a brainless lout will limit their reliance on it in the future. Thus, Washington is itself bringing about the return of the French and the Russians to the region. [End quoting]

We will get back what we send out—and at this closing time of this age, the time of receipt back is shortened severely.

BABIES OF PRIESTLY CASTE SOUGHT

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, 3/3/98: [quoting]

It’s a difficult sell: Place your baby son in an isolated compound for the first 13 years of his life so he can be raised in conditions of ritual purity.

Then, if the Jewish Temple is rebuilt for the first time in almost 2,000 years, he’ll be ready to serve as a high priest.

That’s the offer the Movement for Establishing the Temple, a Jerusalem-based religious group, is offering ultra-Orthodox parents in an intensive campaign to recruit boys of the Jewish priestly caste known as Cohenim.

“We need families to donate their children for the cause—it will be hard at first, but once we start more will follow,” said Rabbi Yosef Elboim, who is in charge of finding parents-to-be who are willing to allow their boy children to be raised as potential priests.

High priests would be needed to ritually purify the Jewish people if the ancient Jewish Temple, destroyed by the Romans in 70 A.D., is rebuilt.

So far, several families have expressed interest and at least one woman who is due to give birth in two months has agreed to have her son raised for the priesthood.

“We hope he will be our pioneer—we will start with him,” said Elboim. Altogether, the group hopes to bring together a group of about 20 babies to raise.

The boys would be brought up in a special compound, which has already been donated by a yeshiva. Frequent visits would be allowed by parents, relatives and friends, who would have to observe strict rules governing defilement.

The boys would remain until at least their 13th birthdays on an elevated floor of the compound, because touching the ground could result in ritual defilement due to contact with unknown graves.

Among the families who have expressed interest in raising their sons through the Movement for Establishing the Temple are former members of the outlawed right-wing Kach movement.

“The Temple is one of the central parts of our ideology—we view its rebuilding as part of Jewish experience,” said former Kach activist Noam Federman.

Fears have been expressed in Israel that some extremist groups might seek to rebuild the Temple on a site where some of Islam’s holiest shrines are located—a move that would likely lead to an explosion of violence. By tradition, a portent that the Temple is to be rebuilt would be the appearance of a pure red heifer. Last year, there was a flurry of excitement over the discovery of a red heifer named Melody, originally believed to be the first of its kind born in the Holy Land in two millennia.

But later, white hairs were spotted on the young cow’s tail and she was deemed impure. According to ancient Jewish writings, the ashes of a red heifer were mixed with spring water for high priests to purify themselves before they entered the Temple. [End quoting]

This tells you that time is short. The Jews want their temple badly and it looks as though they’ll get it at the rate things are going—**so they can have their bloodthirsty sacrifices!!**

IRAN AND IRAQ FORGE ANTI-WEST PACT

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, 2/27/98: [quoting]
The Times, England, February 12, 1998, by Michael Evans

Senior intelligence and security officials from Iraq and Iran have met secretly to forge a new alliance in the face of the growing American military presence in the Gulf region.

In an extraordinary move aimed at ending the rivalry between the two countries for the sake of cooperation against a “common enemy”, President Saddam Hussein sent his youngest son, Qusay, to represent him at the clandestine meeting last week.

Qusay Hussein, who is responsible for security in Iraq, went to the region of al-Shalamja on the Iraqi side of the Iran-Iraq border on February 5, where he met Qorbanali Dorri Najafabadi, the Iranian Intelligence Minister.

According to Western intelligence sources, Qusay was accompanied by Rafia Daham al-Takriti, the head of Iraqi general intelligence. The meeting has provided the most dramatic evidence that the two old enemies are developing new contacts and putting to one side, at least for the moment, a long list of outstanding mutual demands which have remained unresolved since the Iran-Iraq war in the 1980s and the 1991 Gulf War.

Confronted by a steadily increasing United States-led coalition against him, Saddam appears to have decided to turn to Tehran for practical support. His moves come as Britain and America firmly rejected his latest offer to open eight presidential palaces to United Nations inspection. President Clinton demanded “full and free access”.

YOU’LL LOVE IT—WE WENT THERE SEVEN YEARS AGO AND HAD A SUPER TIME

As the military build-up in the Gulf intensified, the commander of the American forces there, Marine Corps General Anthony Zinni, told reporters “I would say we’re within a week or so,” of being able to strike Iraq. In Washington, the Pentagon reported that Saddam has several dozen hidden Scud missiles with sufficient range to hit Iraq’s neighbours. There is no likelihood of any joint military response from Iraq and Iran, but both countries have huge intelligence resources and an agreement to pool them in areas of mutual interest adds a new menacing ingredient to the volatile region.

The meeting between Saddam’s son and the Iranian minister came after a visit to Tehran by Muhammad al-Sahaf, the Iraqi Foreign Minister, in the second half of last month. The result of these meetings is that there is now believed to be a direct channel of communication between the heads of the Iraqi and Iranian intelligence services.

During his visit to Tehran, the Iraqi Foreign Minister is understood to have signed a memorandum of understanding with his Iranian counterpart, Kamal Kharrazi, which was approved by Muhammad Khatami, the Iranian President, and the supreme leader, Ayatollah Khamenei.

Early last month President Khatami seemed to make modest overtures to Washington when he suggested the possibility of unofficial dialogue with America. He also appeared on American television when he called for greater cultural relations between Iran and America. However, since the

latest build-up of tension in the Gulf and the arrival of more American firepower in the region, Iraq has been pressing Tehran to forget past disputes and to form a common front against the Americans.

The intelligence sources said Iraq appeared to have offered a number of concessions to encourage Iran to join Baghdad in developing an anti-American plan of action. The concessions are believed to have included an agreement by Baghdad to reduce its support for Iranian opposition groups operating inside Iraq. In exchange, Tehran has suspended its claim for compensation from Baghdad totalling about \$100 billion (?61 billion) [*sic*] for the damage caused by the Iran-Iraq war. [End quoting]

It looks more and more like the U.S. is going to be alone in this voracious, evil world. Being the Jews' lackey for all these decades will give us what we deserve for not standing up to their evil. Pray to God for His mercy and strength—**we're certainly going to need it!!**

THE DIALOG ON RACE

From *THE SPOTLIGHT*, letter to editor, 2/16/98: [quoting]

Realizing that President Clinton's opening the dialog on race is making White Americans think about things they previously hid in the subconscious, a trial balloon has been sent up called "whiteness studies". Whiteness studies appears to be an attempt to kill a growing White realization that the guilt trip they've been on is not warranted. Noel Ignatiev of Harvard's W.E.B. Du Bois Institute, at the forefront of whiteness studies, equates White culture with White supremacy. These writings amount to a call for the genocide of Whites. [End quoting]

Are we ever going to WAKE UP to what the elite have in store for us??

MEDIA NOT REPORTING MANY DYING FROM FLESH-EATING BACTERIA

[Dr. Al:]

Art Bell reported last night—10 PM, 3/4/98—on his radio program that he's getting many faxes and much e-mail about people dying within hours of diagnosis of having flesh-eating bacteria. He stresses it is getting quite bad and someone is keeping it covered-up from the public.

Another sign of the elite's depopulation plan being carried out.

Take heed if you have **any** suspicions and seek help **quickly**.

SICK OF MANAGED CARE

From the *WASHINGTON POST*, 2/23/98: [quoting]

Doctors, once among the most dependable workers in America, are getting sick and tired of the

changes HMOs and other managed-care companies have brought to the health care system—and the accompanying changes to their personal working conditions and earnings, David S. Hilzenrath finds. As a result, insurance industry figures show, they are leaving in sharply rising numbers to collect disability benefits. [End quoting]

Can't we see that even our health care system is falling completely apart as a result of our insistence that the government help us with our medical expenses. Many people are dying and many more will before this is over as we learn the lesson to not trust government to do **ANYTHING** for us that we can do for ourselves.

CAUSE OF DEATH

From UNKNOWN, letter to editor, 2/98: [quoting]

A front page article, "Death business booming" (Jan. 15) reported on the unusual number of deaths recently compared to previous years and that most are due to natural causes. The article noted there is no obvious cause for the increase.

Perhaps there is a correlation to the care people get, to the current HMO practice of repeated denials of physician-recommended treatments, very short hospital stays after serious illness/injury and an overall downgrading of health care.

Consider the fact that the HMO groups are profit-driven. Why would they recommend extended costly treatment for a claimant who is reducing profits, when the death of such a claimant would result in a cost reduction?

This sounds heartless, but don't a lot of business leaders take pride in making harsh decisions that result in an improved bottom line? Charles R. Hayden, Sonora, CA. [End quoting]

Something to seriously consider as the government pushes more and more people into trusting these HMO groups to help them maintain their lives.

THE DAILY QUESTION AND ANSWER

[Dr. Al:]

The *Daily News* has a question each day for the readers to call in and give their answer. On Sunday they had the answer to Saturday's question: Have you benefited from the booming California economy? The answer was: Yes, 5% and No, 95%.

That doesn't surprise me, except that the numbers are worse than I would have expected. Anybody who follows what is happening with the number of layoffs, the number of foreclosures, the offering of home mortgages for nothing down (or as one TV ad says, 125% of your home's value), and the number of bankruptcies, knows the government is putting out a lot of propaganda while the country is going down the tubes.

A NEWSWEEK POLL

From *NEWSWEEK* magazine, 3/2/98: [quoting]

In the *Newsweek* Poll, 60% favor the way Bill Clinton is handling Iraq, but only 18% back a policy of limited airstrikes alone. [End quoting]

Does this suggest the brain-numb public wants more than airstrikes, hence more bloodshed? Or just that they can make the polls say anything they want.

GEM OF THE DAY

From ANN LANDERS, courtesy Norman McLaughlin, 1/21/98: [quoting]

Sign in a doctor's office: Everything I know about surgery, I learned from my lawyer. [End quoting]
You think doctors aren't scared of malpractice suits??

WOMAN AWARDED DAMAGES OVER CUSTOMS SEARCH

From *THE LOS ANGELES*, 2/15/98: [quoting]

A woman who was strip-searched, X-rayed and forced to defecate in front of airport customs agents was awarded more than \$450,000 Tuesday after a jury decided that her civil rights had been violated.

Amanda Buritica, 51 and a school-crossing guard from Port Chester, N.Y., was detained and subjected to body searches for about 22 hours Sept. 22, 1994, after she arrived at San Francisco International Airport on a flight from Hong Kong.

Buritica testified that agents of the Customs Service picked her out of the arrivals line, patted her down and then put her through a strip search and an examination by X-ray in an effort to see if she had concealed illegal drugs or swallowed them in packets.

When nothing was found, they took her to a hospital and induced her to ingest a powerful laxative that caused her to have 28 involuntary bowel movements while observed by two customs agents over an eight-hour period. No drugs were found.

Lawyers and officials at the Customs Service had no comment on the verdict. [End quoting]

We need to prepare ourselves for more and more of this type of treatment unless many more of us WAKE UP and say enough is enough!!

HATS OFF

From *THE JUBILEE*, Jan./Feb. 1998: [quoting]

The Jubilee sends a big “Hats Off” To Sheriff Lee McDougall of Lee County, Florida. When Dr. Ali Azima, who runs a string of abortion clinics throughout Florida, sent a request to Sheriff McDougall asking for more protection from local pro-life demonstrators, the good sheriff responded from the heart.

McDougall said he would protect Dr. Ali Azima if necessary but, “I let him know in no uncertain terms that the only reason he was not prosecuted or arrested for killing babies is because the Supreme Court, which is also the same court that at one time legalized slavery, has made a decision that baby-killing would be legal in the abortion arena.”

Azima responded (referring to the demonstrators), “I feel threatened and am being frequently harassed and verbally abused by these barbarians.” McDougall replied asking, “Did these tiny defenseless babies feel threatened when you dumped their lifeless bodies in the trash behind your abortion (baby-killing) clinic?”

Kim Gandy of the National Organization for Women called McDougall’s letter “chilling” and “dangerous”. [End quoting]

God have mercy on us all!

ASPIRIN-A-DAY HOAX

From *THE SEEKERS*, by Mary Snell, Jan./Feb. 1998: [quoting]

Almost everyone has heard about the study showing that an aspirin a day prevents heart attacks. In that study, men who took a daily aspirin had 47% fewer heart attacks than men who didn’t.

What you *haven’t* heard, and what I’m sure the aspirin companies don’t want you to know, is that the subjects in that study took *buffered* aspirin—aspirin mixed with *magnesium*.

Numerous studies have proven that magnesium has a powerful protective effect on your heart. It dilates blood vessels, aids potassium absorption into your cells (preventing heartbeat irregularities), acts as a natural blood thinner, and keeps your blood cells from clumping together. Indeed, autopsies of heart attack victims almost *always* find a magnesium deficiency! What’s more, patients injected with magnesium *during* a heart attack have a 25% better chance of surviving!

Magnesium is totally safe. Aspirin, on the other hand, has many negative side effects. Even small amounts of aspirin can cause bleeding of the stomach lining—and regular use can lead to peptic ulcers. Regular aspirin use also reduces the body’s production of important hormones.

Not only that, but recent studies link aspirin to macular degeneration—the #1 cause of blindness in people over the age of 55!

But the biggest strike against aspirin may come from the very study touting its heart benefits. If you read the study's fine print, you'll find that even though the group taking aspirin had 47% fewer heart attacks, there was no difference in the death rates of the two groups.

That means that death from *other* causes was 47% higher in the aspirin group! SO stop taking that daily aspirin! Stick to magnesium instead. [End quoting]

This sounds logical to me. The elite have lied to us so many times about what is and is not good for us, but in general if they tell us it's good for us, it's probably not.

Aspirin certainly can cause bleeding in the stomach and most people probably do not get enough magnesium so it sounds like something worth paying attention to. But, don't go overboard on anything.

1,000-MHZ MILESTONE

From *PC MAGAZINE*, 3/24/98: [quoting]

Engineers at IBM's Austin Research Lab recently demonstrated the world's first microprocessor that can run at a whopping 1 billion cycles per second—that's 1 GHz.

The microarchitecture, circuits, and testing techniques resulting from this project will eventually be applied to microprocessors using IBM's recently introduced "copper chip" technology. [End quoting]

PAPER-THIN COMPUTERS

From *PC MAGAZINE*, 3/24/98: [quoting]

Liquid-crystal display (LCD) technology could soon lead to much more than just flat-panel displays. Sharp and Semiconductor Energy Laboratory, both based in Japan, have developed a technology that promises to make the bulky personal computer a thing of the past.

Through a new technique called continuous-grain silicon (CGS), researchers can now build what they call a system-on-panel or a paper-thin computer. The device is essentially a piece of glass that combines a thin-film transistor (TFT) LCD and digital data processing circuits. CGS technology could lead to a variety of compact computing gadgets, such as ultrathin LCD "books", supercompact personal information devices, and giant, ultra-high-resolution LCD panels.

High-performance silicon is key to the development of such devices. In continuous-grain silicon, electrons travel 600 times as fast as they do in the silicon in TFT displays, which results in electrical conductivity that's fast enough for computing devices such as memories and CPUs.

Sharp has big plans for CGS. The company contends that CGS technology will "create a new semiconductor industry that rivals the present industry". Already, Sharp has used CGS to build two

prototypes: a high-definition, TV-compatible LCD TFT panel and a 60-inch LCD TFT rear projector. [End quoting]

Sounds like this can be used to enhance **many** products.

WEST COAST BEACH HEAD
WAR CONTINUES

PUBLIC HEARING AT LONG BEACH:

Excerpted from *THE JUBILEE*, Jan./Feb. 1998: [quoting]

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act the Navy is the lead agency for determination of the plan to close the Long Beach Naval Station and Shipyard.

“The purpose of the federal action is to dispose of the Long Beach Naval Station and the Naval Shipyard for reuse in accordance with established procedures for closing and realigning military installations. The purpose and the need for the proposed local action is to reuse Navy property in order to offset the negative socio-economic effects by the base closures.”

The Navy will make its Final Determination by April with its Notice of Determination to be issued in May of 1998. According to the pretended rules the Navy must consider the public comments which are now formally recorded by a stenographer at the hearing.

Navy Captain Toni Heinrichs presided over the hearing assisted by Navy civil service officer Jason Ashman. Based in San Diego, Captain Heinrichs is Vice Commander, Southwest Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command. Standing at the podium the Captain read a prepared, twenty-minute statement while his executive staff stood nearby. An impressive security force surrounded the attendees at intervals of about 20 paces.

Alerted by government officials that attendees were potentially dangerous, the police searched those entering the convention center. Admitting that it was legal to carry such an item, police asked one man to voluntarily remove the pepper spray unit they found in his backpack. He complied with the request.

Each of the so-called alternative plans (variations of the same theme) provide for the COSCO Marine Container Facility. The only acceptable alternative is the “No Action Alternative”. This would involve cessation of mission activities and the naval base would essentially be maintained in standby readiness. Although other plans are possible only these plans are offered.

Captain Heinrichs informed the attendees that after the prepared statement individuals could sign up to address the hearing for an allotted 3 minutes. No questions would be accepted and no response would be given. Thus, 125 patriots delivered their three-minute statements in opposition to the federal plan. The hearing ended at about midnight.

Upon hearing the true nature of this nefarious plan the option of silence was abandoned by this writer. After the hearing Captain Heinrichs personally confirmed that he would not deliver the message directed to his renegade Commander-in-Chief but that it was available in the recorded transcript.

Immediately following the government's prepared statement, a parade of politicians and city officials, Chamber of Commerce leaders and international merchants, voiced their joyful approval of the government plan. Avoiding any reference concerning the effect of imports displacing American jobs they extolled their belief that an expanding Marine Container Facility will bring in great revenues and create jobs. It is clear that they consider it a "done deal". [End quoting]

It's probably not a done deal if enough will put forth their objections to this sell-out—very, very quickly.

The elite also thought the OK for this latest bombing of Saddam was a done deal also, BUT, as of this writing, they still haven't gotten their way.

FUMIGANT PROTESTED

From *THE MODESTO BEE*, courtesy of C. Melgren, 1/31/98: [quoting]

Two years after lawmakers met in special session to extend the use of the powerful fumigant methyl bromide, environmentalists Friday accused state regulators of delaying release of health studies on the controversial compound. Residents of Castroville brought a slide show to the Capitol depicting fumigation within yards of homes and schools. [End quoting]

If you or I spilled an ounce of kerosene on our own property the EPA would try to nail us to the wall.

GLOBAL COOLING

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 3/2/98: [quoting]

Geologist Jack Saures, writing in fall and winter 1997, *21st Century Science & Technology* magazine, quotes Prof. Leona Libby and her husband, inventors of radiocarbon dating, as showing by their research that the world hit its high temperature in 1930 and is now back to the low of 1800. Even though glaciers are growing at high altitudes, the swing is only one degree Celsius. [End quoting]

With all of the instruments that science has I can't believe they can tell the change in temperature of this planet as a whole within many degrees of accuracy. But they can sure try to scare us into doing what they want us to do and that is give them more and more control over us and our properties.

PAYOFFS MAY NOT PAY OFF

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 2/16/98: [quoting]

Asian nations are worried about the scandals drowning President Clinton. One newspaper writes the scandals “could undercut U.S. leadership needed to help dig Asian nations out of their economic crisis”. In other words, Congress, many members of which are already unhappy with the “China card” funding of Clinton’s re-election, may not want to fund the IMF bailout of banks who gambled heavily on the future of Asian businesses, and now want the taxpayers to make good their losses. [End quoting]

Always the U.S. taxpayer is played as the fool—to pick up the payment for the elite’s planned follies.

TERM LIMITS WINNING

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 3/2/98: [quoting]

Four recent court decisions have state term limits on a roll. Lawsuits against them have been defeated in California, Oregon, Michigan and Arkansas. These cases, although decided in U.S. district and appeals courts, do not affect national elections. [End quoting]

WHY TERRORISM?

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 3/2/98: [quoting]

Last year 25 percent of the world’s foreign terrorist attacks were anti-U.S., says Joe Reap of the State Department’s counterterrorism office. He counted six U.S. deaths, two in Jerusalem and four in Pakistan. Perhaps if we minded our own business... [End quoting]

If the true statistics were known, the situation would probably be much worse!

CAPITALISM SI!

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 3/2/98: [quoting]

The pope’s spiritual visit to Cuba netted the communist government of Fidel Castro a cool \$2 billion from media and pilgrims. The biggest bonanza was in charging television networks fees and transmission rights running to six figures each. [End quoting]

The elite know every scam in the book—remember, that with the **help of Satan, they wrote the book.**

PERSONAL FROM THE EDITOR

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 3/2/98: [quoting]

Something very refreshing happened during the third week of February. President Bill Clinton sent his pro-war cheerleaders to Ohio State University. The venue was a “town meeting”; the subject:

why we must go to war against Saddam Hussein's Iraq. What was so refreshing was the reception the administration insiders received.

Take heart; by the reaction, Americans, including today's college students, aren't a pack of brain-washed boobs as Clinton & Co. apparently thought they were. So keep fighting. Make those phone calls and write those letters to Congress. Tell your elected officials that you don't want to start a war. You're not alone. [End quoting]

AMEN!!

WHITE HOUSE FOLLIES

From *THE MODESTO BEE*, courtesy of C. Melgren, 2/21/98: [quoting]

Network commentators have dismissed Monica Lewinsky as a credible witness because of her penchant for lying.

Lying? Her mentor, the president, whom she seems to have gratified so unselfishly in the White House, years ago was described by Democratic colleague Bob Kerrey of Nebraska as an "accomplished liar".

William Safire of the *New York Times* wrote, "Hillary (a pillar of probity compared to her husband) is a congenital liar."

If I must take the word of who is telling the truth, I must believe Gennifer, Paula, Kathleen Willey, Monica, Linda, Judge Hale, et al. [End quoting]

What a world this has become as lying is raised to an accomplished art form.

MEDIA HOAX IN BOSNIA EXPOSED

From *THE JUBILEE*, Jan./Feb. 1998: [quoting]

In August 1992 British newswoman Penny Marshall went to a Serbian refugee camp in Trnopolje. There she took a picture that became a media sensation all over the world. It shows Bosnian Muslims apparently imprisoned behind barbed wire. The picture looks eerily like the pictures of German WW2 concentration camps—and that is how it was spun in the media.

The picture was used with headlines screaming "Belsen 92", "The Proof", "Evidence Mounts of Executions and Beatings in Serb-run Camps". The picture even became evidence at the 1996 International War crimes trial of Bosnian Serb Dusko Tadic. Yet despite the picture, Tadic and other Serbs maintained that the camp was never surrounded by barbed wire; that the refugees came there freely to escape Serbian and Muslim violence; and the refugees were there of their own free will and could leave any time they wished. The charges against Tadic were eventually dropped when it was discovered that his accuser had lied on several key points and that the lies had been

prompted by Serbian government officials. That left the puzzle of how to explain the picture.

Thomas Deichmann, a German journalist, eventually became suspicious of the photographs. He went to Trnopolje with a defense attorney and discovered that the picture had been real but its interpretation had been faked. The Serbians had told the truth. There was never barbed wire around the camp. There was barbed wire around two buildings that housed an electricity generator and the food supply. Penny Marshal went into that area, called the refugees over to the fence then snapped a picture looking out from inside. That picture was used by the UN-backed Bosnian government and its willing accomplices in the media to start an international anti-Serbian hate campaign. It was later used by the American media to drum up support for the Clinton administration when it wanted to send troops to Bosnia. There have been no reports in the major media of Deichmann's later discovery that the picture was a fake. [End quoting]

The elite use the same techniques and propaganda over and over again, only this time someone took time and effort to check them out.

Thank God there are some still around who refuse to swallow the elite's lies.

AN ANGEL IN THE MIDST OF A FIRE

From *ANGELS ON EARTH*, Nov./Dec. 1997, *Guideposts*, 39 Seminary Hill Rd., Carmel, NY 10512: [quoting]

I was in the middle of grocery shopping some years back when out of the blue I got an urge to rush home. I tried to shake it off and find the last few items on my list, but the urge intensified.

Finally I abandoned my cart and drove to our apartment. I found dark, thick smoke billowing from the window. Inside I grabbed my three-month-old son, Brandon, from his crib and handed him to neighbors who had gathered outside. I dashed back in to where my wife, Renee, lay unconscious and carried her to safety.

At the hospital, Renee and I were treated for smoke inhalation while we worried about our son. But when Brandon was examined there was no evidence at all that he'd been exposed to smoke. Only then did I remember what I'd seen when I first burst into the apartment: a column of perfectly clear air stretching from floor to ceiling, surrounding Brandon's crib. —Scott Molina [End quoting]
Would any of us make it to adulthood without angels protecting us??!

CHAPTER 8

THE WORLDLINE HEPTAMERON
AN UNCENSORED HISTORY
OF OUR ORIGINS AND OVERLORDS
PART V IN A SERIES
by Calvin Burgin 2/5/98

Editor's note: The following continues the new series from Calvin Burgin; as he explains in a footnote, Heptameron means: Heptameron (hep-tam'-e-rön): "a book or treatise containing the actions of seven days, in the style of Boccaccio's Decameron or the Heptameron of Queen Margaret of Navarre (1492-1549)."

WHO WAS UENEPHES?

Remember that CHNA was afterwards called Phoinix (*Ency Brit. 11th 21:450*). Uenephes is merely a variant spelling of Phoenicia (or vice versa). According to some, Phoenicia was female. Procopius of Caesarea, *History of the Wars*, Book IV, "The Vandalic War", Chap. X, 12ff (Loeb Class, London, 1916) speaking of the time when Joshua son of Nun led the Israelites into Palestine, says: "Now at that time the whole country along the sea from Sidon as far as the boundaries of Egypt was called Phoenicia. And one king in ancient times held sway over it, as is agreed by all who have written the earliest accounts of the Phoenicians." Our alphabet and our PHONICS came from Phoenicia. UE-NE-PHES is PHE-NES-IA. The capital of Phoenicia was Sidon, who was a son of Canaan. Some records show that the Phoenicians were Red men (the name means "blood red"). "The Canaanite sailors were spoken of as the "red men" on account of their Sunburnt skin" (*Ency. Brit. 11th 21:450*).

A History of Egypt by Petrie, p. 19, says: "by the order of Manetho this king appears as Uenephes in whose time was a great famine and who built the pyramids near Kolkohm or in the Armenian, Kohe." He continues, "this has been supposed to refer to Kakhem, the name of a district of Saqqara. But, it may well be read as village of Kohe, and refer possibly to Oh, which is now Ayu, which is between Abydos and Azhur. In any case, pyramids are probably a misunderstanding of some other form of building at this age."

You may find this interesting: An old *Edinburgh Encyclopedia*, article "Philology", Sect. 132, said: "The Phoenicians, as is generally known, wrote from right to left, and the old Grecian characters inverted exactly resemble the other." In other words, the original Greek alphabet was Phoenician written backwards (flipped over).

Godfrey Higgins (Vol 1. p. 460) said: "Mr. Bosworth, in his Saxon Grammar, has proved the ancient Greek written from right to left to be nothing but the ancient Phoenician. And Dr. O'Connor in the same book has proved the Phoenician to be Samaritan and Canaanitish. This, added to what I have said above, easily accounts for Kircher's deriving his Greek from Coptic. It is evident both having drawn from the Phoenician, whence must also have come the African Ethiopian. In all my

speculations I consider the Hebrew and the Celtic to be but dialects of a common language, or of one another. General Vallancey has completely proved that the old Irish or Celtic is Phoenician—that is, in fact, Hebrew, for they cannot as languages be separated. The same learned writer in the *Encyclopedia Britannica*, on Philology, said, ‘We have seen a manuscript in the hands of a private person, where the first twelve verses of the *Iliad* are carefully analyzed; and it appears, to our satisfaction, that almost every word may be, and actually is, traced back to a Hebrew, Phoenician, Chaldean, or Egyptian original....’”

In a Hebrew grammar called *Introduction to Biblical Hebrew* by T. O. Lambdin, Lambdin says: “The most prolific branch of the alphabet was the Phoenician, attested in inscriptions from the eleventh century onward. The Hebrews borrowed their script from the Phoenicians in the tenth century BC, and this new ‘Hebrew’ script, subsequently diverging from the parent Phoenician, was used in various types of inscriptions down to the beginning of the Christian Era. With the exception, however, of the manuscript traditions of the Samaritan sect, which still employs a form of this genuinely Hebrew script, the old script was replaced, especially in manuscript uses, by a cursive form of the Old Aramaic script, itself a daughter of the parent Phoenician of nearly the same age as the Hebrew” (p. xxii).

THE REIGN OF CUSH

Cush began his reign in Sumer where he ruled for 32 years, then went to Egypt where he reigned with Noah 30 years. In Sumer his people were known as Kish, Kush, Kashshi, Kossae, Kassites. Cush was father of Nimrod (*Gen. 10:8*). Cush’s descendants became the Ethiopians (*Unger’s Bible Dictionary*, art. “Cush”, *Ency. Brit.*, etc.). Nimrod fled to Egypt 60 years after the building of the Tower of Babel, where he reigned 2 years with Cush then ruled in Egypt for 25 more years. Plutarch said that Osiris (Nimrod) fled Egypt (to Italy) after 27 years reign, and ancient tradition says Nimrod was slain by Shem on the 17th day of the month of Tammuz. Cush died at about age 170, while Isis/Semiramis fled to the delta where she hid for 30 years, then appeared with her son Horus. Four years later she installed Horus with her on the throne. Eight years later Horus/Tammuz became supreme ruler, where he reigned for another 31 years, at which time he gave the throne back to Isis/Semiramis while he went back to Babylon and began reigning in Babylon, where he became known as “Gilgamesh”. How can Osiris be Nimrod if Horus was Nimrod? Horus claimed to be Nimrod reborn. It is confusing!

Isis installed Usaphais as ruler, who ruled for 20 years. He was followed by Miebhis, who reigned 26 years. The next ruler was Semempses (Semsem), which meant Sem or Shem the Great. Shem (the son of Noah, who was also called Typhon) killed Miebhis. The hieroglyphics depict Shem in Asiatic dress, not Egyptian, and show him as an elderly priest. He was 430 years old at this time! He heard that Noah was approaching death, so he left Egypt about 2019 BC. Noah died 1 year later, 350 years after the Flood. These dates and information come from the Egyptian historian Manetho, the Palermo Stone, the Turin Papyrus, and other ancient records. The information is there, but modern historians want to ignore it! They also put one king ruling after the other, in spite of evidence that some of the kings ruled at the same time (in different areas), so they stretch out Egyptian history to fit their own evolutionary theories.

Cush means black in Hebrew. The Greek name for Cush was “Aethiops” (“Can the Ethiopian change his skin” *Jere. 13:23*). The statues and paintings in Egypt show that some of the earliest rulers of Aegypt and Aethiopia were Black. Cush’s son Seba settled Sudan per Josephus. Some went to India and were called the Sibae (later Dravidians) and settled Ceylon. Their god was Siva. I have information on the other sons, but will skip it for now.

NIMROD WHO WAS GILGAMESH?

Among the tablets found at Nineveh was a tale that came to be known as *The Epic of Gilgamesh*. Let’s determine who he was.

This is from *The Ancient Near East* by James B. Pritchard. The “[“and the”]” symbols will be copied from the translation, and means text missing or questionable that has been supplied by the translator. The Epic begins:

“He who saw everything [to the end]s of the land,
[Who all thing’s experienced, [conside]red all!
[...]together [...],
[...] of wisdom, who all things [...].
The [hi]dden he saw, [laid bare] the undisclosed.
He brought report of before the Flood,
Achieved a long journey, weary and [w]orn.
All his toil he engraved on a stone stela.
Of ramparted Uruk the wall he built,
Of hallowed Eanna, the pure sanctuary.
Behold its outer wall, whose cornice is like copper,
Peer at the inner wall, which none can equal!”

The text continues, bragging about laying the bricks for the wall. Here we have the real, true origin of masonry! Gilgamesh was the first mason! (grin).

After a broken part, the text continues: “Two-thirds of him is a god, [one-third of him is human]. The form of his body [...] like a wild ox lofty [...]. The onslaught of his weapons verily has no equal.”

The text tells of Anu and lady Aruru, who created Enkidu, “a double of Anu”. It mentions Nisaba, god of grain, and Sumuqan, god of cattle. The text becomes rather racy in its description of Enkidu’s encounter with a harlot, then says: “O Enkidu, renounce thy presumption! Gilgamesh—of him Shamash is fond: Anu, Enlil, and Ea have broadened his wisdom.” Note that here Enlil and Ea are separate beings. Gilgamesh is called “king of broad-marted Uruk”. He brags that he mates with wives first before their husbands.

It tells of Enkidu and Gilgamesh slaying the monster Humbaba, the Bull of Heaven. The story reads like an R-rated Saturday morning cartoon. “Humbaba”, how’s that for the name of a bad

dude. Shamash and Enlil discuss Gilgamesh and Enkidu, as to who should die.

The Epic mentions “Utnapistim, Ubar-Tutu’s son.”

In a column about the Flood, it says:

“Utnapistim said to him, to Gilgamesh:
‘I will reveal to thee, Gilgamesh, a hidden matter
And a secret of the gods will I tell thee:
Shurippak—a city which thou knowest,
[(And) which on Euphrates’ banks] is situate—
That city was ancient, (as were) the gods within it,
When their heart led the great gods to produce the flood.
[There] were Anu, their father,
Valiant Enlil, their counselor,
Ninurta, their assistant,
Ennuge, their inspector of canals.
Ninigku-Ea was also present with them;
Reed-hut, reed-hut! Wall, Wall!
Reed-hut, hearken! Wall, reflect!
Man of Shuruppak, son of Ubar-Tutu, Tear down (this) house, build a ship!...””

It tells of the flood, when the gods (extra-terrestrials) fled to heaven, then says: “On Mount Nisir the ship came to a halt.” It tells of sending out a dove which came back, a swallow was sent out and it came back, and a raven was sent out and did not come back.

We see from the Epic that Gilgamesh was a mighty hunter and built Uruk. *Genesis 10:8-12*: “And Cush begot Nimrod; he began to be a mighty one in the earth. He was a mighty [tyrant] hunter before [against—6:11] the Lord: wherefore it is said, Even as Nimrod the mighty hunter before the Lord. And the beginning of his kingdom was Babel [Babylon], and Erech [later Uruk, now Warka, one of the two oldest sites known], and Accad, and Calneh, in the land of Shinar. Out of that land went forth Asshur, and builded Nineveh [“Nineveh” means city of Ninus (Nimrod)] and the city Rehoboth, and Calah [Kalchu, or Nimrod, p. 10, *The Bible as History*, Werner Keller], and Resen between Nineveh and Calah; the same is a great city.” This says that Nimrod was a mighty hunter and built Uruk. Therefore Nimrod must be Gilgamesh.

NIMROD IN MESOPOTAMIA

These early people began to experience great danger from the multiplication of ferocious wild beasts (lions, tigers, elephants, hornets, etc. See *Exodus 23:28-29*), and from aboriginal peoples! Because of the destruction of civilization in the flood, they had only the most primitive of weapons. To overcome this problem, they united under the leadership of a large (10 feet tall according to some records), powerful, Black son of Cush named Nimrod (other records equate Nimrod with Ham). Nimrod was known for his hunting ability, and built the first cities and surrounded them with high walls. He originated the first human governments (after the Flood) and became the first

world leader. *Genesis 10:8-12*—”And Cush begot Nimrod; he began to be a mighty one in the earth.”

In order to strengthen his hold upon the people, Nimrod asserted himself as the representative of God on earth. Since the people worshipped the serpent, a disc with a serpent around it was the symbol chosen by Nimrod or his followers to represent his position. Nimrod used fire to represent the sun and commenced fire-worship (Johan Clericus, Book ii, p. 199). The sun, originally a symbol of the God of Light, became the symbol adopted by the priests of Satan. *Revelation 12:3, 14, 9* [*sic*] identifies the fire-breathing dragon as a serpent and as Satan. Nimrod became the Bol-Khan, Priest of Baal (“Baal” means “lord”) and Satan’s earthly representative. In this religion, women would have sex with the representative of god (the priest). The child resulting from this union was known as the son of god. This seed of the woman/son of god was sacred to god and was to be sacrificed to the god. The Khan of Baal would eat part of the sacrifice—this gave rise to our word Khan-Baal or cannibal. According to the story, from the very beginning, after Adam and Eve had sinned, God taught that as a result of sin, a seed of the woman would be born of God and would die for the sins of the world (*Gen. 3:15*). Nimrod, and later Tammuz (“Nimrod reborn”) claimed to be this saviour.

WHAT HAPPENED AT THE TOWER OF BABEL?

Notice what happened at Babylon. *Genesis 11* (*Scofield King James* version): “And the whole earth was of one language, and of one speech. And it came to pass, as they journeyed from the east, that they found a plain in the land of Shinar; and they dwelt there. And they said one to another, Come, let us make brick, and burn them thoroughly. And they had brick for stone, and slime [bitumen] had they for mortar. And they said, Come, let us build us a city and a tower, whose top may reach unto heaven; and let us make us a name, lest we be scattered abroad upon the face of the whole earth. And the Lord came down to see the city and the tower, which the children of men builded. And the Lord said, Behold, the people are one, and they have all one language; and this they begin to do: and now nothing will be withheld from them, which they have imagined to do. Come, let us go down, and there confound their language, that they may not understand one another’s speech. So the Lord scattered them abroad from there upon the face of all the earth: and they ceased building the city. Therefore is the name of it called Babel, because the Lord did there confound the language of all the earth; and from there did the Lord scatter them abroad upon the face of all the earth.”

Valleius Paterculus, an ancient Roman writer, quoting Aemilius Sura (*Roman History*, Book I, Section VI), said, “Between this time [when Rome conquered Philip, king of Macedonia] and the beginning of the reign of Ninus [Nimrod] king of the Assyrians, who was the first to hold world power, lies an interval of 1995 years.”

Philip was conquered in 197 BC. Nimrod, therefore, began his reign in 2192 BC. He jointly ruled with his father Cush for 62 years, according to Julius Africanus. So the overthrow of Babylon would have been 2254 BC, and the building of it would have been 2256-2254 BC.

THE CHINESE CONFIRMATION OF BABEL

The Chinese are the only people who have an unbroken written record of their history going all the way back to Babel. According to the “Annals of the Bamboo Books”, *The Chinese Classics*, translated by James Legge, Vol. III, part I, p. 114-115, first published in 1865, the Chinese date the beginning of their history 2254 BC. They say their first king was Black, his eyes shone with “double brightness”, and called him Shun, and his father was Chusou or Kusou [Cush]. His mother was the “Queen Mother of the West”. The son of Cush that came to power in 2254 was Nimrod.

Another book of Chinese history is *The Chinese Heritage* by K. C. Wu, copyright 1982, by Crown Publishers. It tells of 9 rulers on page 61: Louzu, the Yellow Emperor; Changyi; Gaoyang (Also called Zhuanxu, but if you count him, this makes 10); Qiongchan; Jingkang; Goumang; Qiaoniu; Gusou [Cush]; and Shun [Nimrod] who reigned 2255-2208 BC, who was the brother or cousin of Gun, father of Yu who reigned 2205-2198 BC. Yu wrote a book called *The Canon of Yu* that said: “The deluge assailed the heavens, and in its vast expanse encompassed the mountains, and overtopped the hills....” The early records (they changed their story later) said that Shun was a Black foreigner. Shun reigned for 50 years, 2254-2204 (some authors incorrectly pre-date these years into the December of the preceding year, thus the 2255 above). Then the Chinese gained their independence and began the Hsia Dynasty in 2204. It governed until 1765 until the Shang (Yin) Dynasty. Ch’en T’ang ruled for 13 years from 1765-1752 and during his reign China suffered from a seven-year famine shortly before the one in Egypt (Jackson’s *Chronology of Most Ancient Nations*, Vol. II, p. 455). I have the king lists and dynasties unbroken down to 256 BC, at which time the line can be continued by consulting *The Year Names of China and Japan* by P. M. Susuki. *The Chinese Heritage* tells (p. 66) that during the time of Yao (ruled before Shun in a branch line) who ruled 2357-2258, Yao “...had four ministers, brothers from two families, stationed in the limits of the four directions of the empire, each with a specific instruction to keep watch on a particular star at his post in order to determine the equinoxes and solstices the better.” The length of the year and the position of the stars had recently changed—in other words, the earth’s orbit had changed. Dr. W. H. Medhursts, a 19th-century sinologist, studied the Chinese astronomical records and confirmed the dating. Legge, who translated the Chinese *Book of History*, said: “Referring to Chinese records, we find that Yaou’s reign closed 2254 years before Christ....” Page 69 of *The Chinese Heritage* says: “For sometime during Yao’s reign the Great Flood occurred.” According to the *Bible* and other records, what actually occurred at that time was the Tower of Babel event. According to the Chinese records, Yao put Gun [Cush, descendant of Cain, also called Gu and Gusou] in charge of controlling the Flood. On page 70 it tells that he was called a “Son of Gun” because he was like his father who was stupid and contumelious.

The dating indicates Cush and Nimrod, but the stories sound like they are talking about Noah and Shem. Page 76 says Yao was co-emperor with Shun [possibly Shem?] who actually issued the decrees in the name of Yao. Others stories in the Mediterranean say that Shem issued decrees in the name of Noah. Yao is a name universally used in stories of many countries for the leader of the survivors of the Flood, the god of the Flood, etc. I suspect the Chinese have the dates correct but have their Flood and Tower of Babel stories mixed together.

Velikovsky gathered proof that the earth’s orbit changed. He also quotes Emperor Yaou of China,

who sent out representatives to learn anew where the four directions were. Catastrophes had occurred, so that the people no longer knew the directions or the seasons. Velikovsky said that in *The Shoo-king*, it tells: “Thereupon Yaou commanded He and Ho, in reverent accordance with the wide heavens, to calculate and delineate the movements and appearances of the sun, the moon, the stars, and the zodiacal spaces; and to deliver respectfully the seasons to the people.”

Not only did earth’s orbit change, but believe it or not, the earth actually flipped over—in other words sunrise and sunset were now opposite to where they were before. That is, if you want to believe the written eyewitness accounts.

Here is a quote from Time-Life Books, *Feats and Wisdom of the Ancients*, p. 15: “The *T’ung Shu*—or *Book of Myriad Things*—is almost certainly the world’s oldest continuously published book. Now called an almanac, it started as China’s imperial astronomical calendar more than 4,000 years ago, reputedly under the aegis of the emperor Yao....By the time it was available in printed form in the ninth century AD, the calendar had taken on the characteristics of agricultural aid and farmer’s almanac... Still influential, the almanac continues to sell more than one million copies every year.” The almanac was started because of the earth shifting in orbit!

Herodotus, Bk. ii, 142, consulted the Egyptian priests and learned that since Egypt became a kingdom, “four times in this period (so they told me) the sun rose contrary to his wont; twice he rose where he now sets, and twice he set where he now rises.”

Modern science has proven that the earth has had numerous axis shifts in the past, although modern science does not like to admit that some of those shifts have been within recorded history. In fact the last time the earth’s poles shifted positions was about 750 BC, according to Velikovsky.

Papyrus Harris tells of a time when “the south becomes north, and the Earth turns over.”

Ipuwer wrote “the land turns round [over] as does a potter’s wheel” and “Earth turned upside down.”

The *Ermitage Papyrus* (Leningrad, 1116b recto) tells of a catastrophe that turned “the land upside down; happens which never (yet) happened.”

Pyramid texts tell of a sun that “ceased to live in the Occident, and shines, a new one, in the Orient.”

The tomb of Senmut, architect of Egyptian Queen Hatshepsut, has a panel on the ceiling showing the zodiac in “a reversed orientation” of the southern sky. That sky cannot be seen from Egypt, unless Egypt were in the Southern Hemisphere at the time.

Plato wrote, in *The Statesman*, “I mean the change in the rising and setting of the sun and the other heavenly bodies, how in those times they used to set in the quarter where they now rise, and used to rise where they now set...the god at the time of the quarrel, you recall, changed all that to the present system as a testimony in favor of Atreus.” He also wrote: “At certain periods the universe has its present circular motion, and at other periods it revolves in the reverse direction.... Of all the changes

which take place in the heavens this reversal is the greatest and most complete.”

Sophocles wrote: “Zeus...changed the course of the sun, causing it to rise in the east and not in the west.” Other Greek writers telling of this include Euripides, Strabo, Seneca, Aristotle, etc.

Other writers, of Ugarit, China, Mexico, Eskimos of Greenland, Arabia, etc., all tell about these sun reversals. The *Koran* speaks of “two easts and two wests”.

Exodus 12:12: “This month shall be unto you the beginning of months: it shall be the first month of the year to you.” According to this Biblical story, God had to point out the new seasons to Moses, and as a result the Israelites ended up with two calendars, one with the first month in the spring (our March-April) and the other with the first month in the fall (our September-October). Scholars now call them the “sacred” year and the “civil” year.

Daniel 2:19: “Then was the secret revealed unto Daniel in a night vision.... 21: And He (God) changeth the times and the seasons....”

The Egyptians called Arabia “Erev”, from which the word Arabia comes. Erev means the evening land, the land of the setting Sun. But Arabia is east of Egypt! At the time Arabia was named, the sun set in the East! Some writers have said that Arabia was named from the viewpoint of Babylon. They had better look at their map; in no way is Arabia west of Babylon and could not be the land of the setting sun from Babylon’s viewpoint.

Another excellent book is Jurgen Spanuth’s *Atlantis of the North* published in Britain in 1979. In this work, Spanuth collects much more evidence of earth’s encounter with a comet and says that it was this encounter that caused the destruction of Atlantis.

When the languages were confused, Nimrod became the Baal of the Phoenicians, the Marduk of the Babylonians, the Tammuz of the Semites, the Osiris of the Egyptians, the Shun of the Chinese, etc. Later he or Tammuz became known as Zoroaster and founded Zoroastrianism. The word “Zoroaster” means “seed of the woman”, or specifically the “seed” (zoro or zero, the round egg) of the Goddess Aster or Easter. It means the egg of Easter or the Easter egg. “Christians” still blindly worship the trinity of Nimrod/Tammuz/Ishtar with their Easter ceremony, Easter eggs, etc. Aster/Ishtar/Easter was represented by the Morning and Evening Star, that is, Venus, and “astronomy”, “asteroids”, and the “asterisk” (*) all trace back to her name. The word “star” is merely a contraction of “Ishtar” or “Easter”, and that is why it is placed on top of the Christmas tree, etc.

A book called *A History of The Orient* by G. Nye Steiger, H. Otley Beyer and Conrado Benitez, Ginn And Company, 1926, says (p. 13): “Chinese myths and legends go back thousands of years before the beginning of what may be called history; but the assumption of the imperial title by Yao in 2356? BC brings us at least to the threshold of historic times.... Yao and his immediate successor, Shun, are described in the Shu King (the “Book of History”) as perfect rulers; but the length assigned to their reigns makes it impossible for us to accept the accounts as authentic history. Yao had chosen as his successor the most capable man in the country, one who was not related to him. Shun, following this wise example, chose Yu to succeed him as emperor. With Yu, however, the imperial

title became hereditary; for nearly four-and-a-half centuries after his accession, in 2205? BC, the throne was occupied by Yu and his descendants.”

ONE LANGUAGE BEFORE BABEL, OR MANY?

Genesis 10:5, 20, 31 says that each tribe had its own language, and says there were many languages. Yet LATER *Genesis 11* says the whole earth used the same language! This has confused some, but archaeology has revealed that indeed each tribe or nation had its own language, but there was also an international language in use between nations! Cyrus Gordon, director of the Center of Ebla Research at New York University, said, “Sumerian, the international written language of the Near East, appears to be the lingua franca [lingua franca—”any language serving as a medium of communication between different peoples”, *Concise English Dictionary*] in the story of Babel” (*Science Digest*, June 1982, p. 21). In other words, each nation had its own language, and in addition they had interpreters who understood Sumerian, the language that was apparently used before the Flood and by Noah. When nations with different languages wanted to communicate with each other, they used Sumerian. Somehow, at the Tower of Babel incident, God removed their ability to use Sumerian, perhaps by simply killing the interpreters. These gods were a bloody lot, according to the documents (including the *Bible*).

Josephus (*Ant.* I, IV, 3), speaking of the trouble at the Tower of Babel, quotes Hestaeius, “Such of the priests [interpreters] as were saved, took the sacred vessels of Jupiter Enyalios, and came to Shinar of Babylonia.” This means that some of the priests were NOT saved. Think about what I am saying here. GOD DID NOT CONFUSE THE LANGUAGES AT THE TOWER OF BABEL. There were already various languages in use according to *Genesis 10*. However, the different groups communicated with each other in Sumerian, through interpreters. At the Tower of Babel incident, God had most of the interpreters killed. By the way, “Babel” means “Gate of God”, not “confusion” as is generally taught. *Genesis 11:9* should read “Therefore is the name of it called Babel; because the Lord did THERE confound the language of all...” with emphasis on “there” and not on “confound”. The Jews made a pun from this with the Hebrew word “balal” which means “to confuse”, and this has confused the meaning.

While we are on the subject, I want to bring to your notice something. According to Biblical figures, building the Tower of Babel was 2256-2254 BC. The Flood was 2318 BC. The wives of old Shem, Ham, and Japheth must have had at least 3 litters of kids per year in order to produce all those nations (and languages) that came into existence in those 64 years, from the Flood when all mankind was destroyed, to Babel. How did they have time to build all those cities and towers? If you can figure it out (while maintaining that the *Bible* is literally true, of course), let me know.

THE ST. PETER’S INTERPRETERS

Bryant, in *Ancient Mythology*, vol. 1, p. 354, says: “Not only the gods, the Hierophantae [special priests], in most temples; and those priests in particular, who were occupied in the celebration of mysteries, were styled PATRES....PATRE was undoubtedly a religious term....the same as PATOR and PATORA.” The ancient gods of Nimrod’s religion, their priests, and their temples, all were

called PATRES, PATORAS, PETORS or PETERS. Each spelling is correct, as vowels were not written in Semitic. In Genesis 41:8, the word “interpret” is the Hebrew word “P-T-R”. Davidson’s *Hebrew Lexicon* says that the Hebrew “PTR” means “to interpret” or “interpretation” (p. 638; also see Brown, Driver, Briggs, p. 837; and Gesenius, p. 877 and p. 843). Bryant says “the term always related to oracle interpretation” (p. 308) and “The word pater, when used in the religious addresses of the Greeks and Romans, meant not, as supposed, a father or parent; but related to the divine influence of the Deity, called by the people of the East, Pator” (p. 353).

The English word “father” comes from “vater” or “pater” and now means parent, but originally the head of the household was also the chief priest of the household, and was called the “pater” or “peter”. He was the one who interpreted the mysteries of the religion. Lucilius (*Lucilli Fragments*) says that Neptune, Liber, Saturn, Mars, Janus and Quirinus were Paters, that is, gods of Peter-rank. Gladstone, *Homer and the Homeric Age*, vol. 1, p. 287, shows that Ju-Peter (Jupiter) was the Roman way of saying Zeus-Peter, the chief Greek god (Zeus from Deus or Dios, god). Peter came to mean a high ranking god, and applied to their priests. The priests carried a “petaurum” in their hands to represent the god (Bryant, p. 356). This “petaurum” was a carved replica of an erect phallus with testicles attached—the god was often represented in the form of a phallus, and to this day the phallus is called a “peter”. Bryant, p. 358, says that on many occasions this idol took the form of an upright pole or stake and these stone phallic pillars became the sacred peters that can be found all over the ancient world. “The term Petra came at length to signify any rock or stone.... But in the first ages it was always taken in a religious sense; and related to the shrines of Osiris, or the Sun (Baal), and to other oracles which were supposed to be exhibited” (p. 359).

Numbers 23; 22:4-5 tells that Balaam was called to prophesy against Israel, and *Deut.* 23:4 says that this false prophet Balaam had been called from “Pethor of Mesopotamia”, that is, from the Peter of Mesopotamia. A. Jones, *Proper Names of the Old Testament*, p.296, says Pethor was a sacred high place “where there was an oracular temple, and hence called Pethor, and Pethora, which meant, place of INTERPRETATION, or oracular temple. Here was, no doubt, a college of priests of whom Balaam had been appointed chief Patora.” Here was the original St. Peter’s Church! The term “Balaam” in Semitic meant “Conqueror of the People”, one of Nimrod’s names. The Greeks called Nimrod “Nicolaus,” which also meant “Conqueror of the People”. Our term “St. Nicolaus” (Santa Claus is a corrupted version of St. Nicolaus) traces back to Nimrod. *McClintock and Strong’s Encyclopedia*, vol. 1, p. 621, says, “The sect of the Nicolaitanes is described as following the doctrine or teaching of Balaam—and it appears not improbable that this name is employed symbolically, as NICOLAUS is equivalent in meaning to BALAAM.”

Josephus (*Ant.* IV, 6, 2), says, “Balaam, who lived by the Euphrates, and was the greatest of the prophets of that time”—he was the successor to Nimrod, the Pontifex Maximus of the pagan world of that time, headquartered at “Peter on the Euphrates”, the original St. Peter’s church! Virgil, writing in the first century, *The Aenid*, tells of Aeneas’ sacred task of bringing the gods of Asia to Italy. *Classical Manual*, p.592, shows Virgil said that these deities were the Patrii of Asia. We see that in Nimrod’s religion, the chief priests were called “Peters”.

DYNASTY OF CUSH (KISH)

In Mesopotamia, the first city to be built was the city of Kish (Cush or Kush). Next they started building Babylon, but failed (“they left off to build the city”—*Genesis 11:8*). Next Nimrod built Erech (Uruk), then Accad and Calneh. The *Sumerian King List* by Thorkild Jacobsen lists the first rulers of the Dynasty of Uruk.

The first ruler was Mes-kiag-gasher, son of Utu. He was high priest and king, who traveled to the sea and mountains beyond, and ruled 325 years. Mes-kiag-gasher was thus probably the Sumerian name of Shem! Utu was the God who warned Noah of the Flood. Shem traveled all around the Mediterranean trying to put down Nimrod’s government and installing/establishing new governments. He lived 502 years after the Flood. Shem had a son named Asshur, and we can see this in the name Mes-kiag-gasher.

The second ruler was En-mer-kar, perhaps the Biblical Asshur. Asshur helped Nimrod build Nineveh, Rehoboth, and Calah (*Gen. 10:11*). The land of Assyria or Asshur was also Nimrod’s land (*Micah 5:6*). They worked together, father and son.

Next was Lugal-banda, “little king”, who ruled 60 years. He was followed by Dumu-zi (Tammuz I).

Dumu-zi was followed by Gilgamesh (Tammuz II, Horus, Ninyas). He was followed by Ur-lugal (some say Amraphel, who dies in Abram’s 78th year), who ruled for 30 years. *Jasher 27:2*: “And Nimrod king of Babel, the same was Amraphel....” However, THIS NIMROD was contemporary with Abraham and was slain by Esau (verse 7). Nimrod appears to be a title more than a name. There follow 6 more kings in the list, who ruled a total of 110 years. Dynasty I ends in the year 1828 BC, the year “the Assyrian kings succeeded in the Babylonian Empire, and thenceforth Babylonia and Chaldea became a part of the Assyrian Empire”—p.237, Jackson’s *Chronological Antiquities*.

The spread of Nimrod’s religion and government was not stopped by his death. Nimrod had a wife named Inanna (Semiramis, Ishtar) who was even more cunning and ambitious than he was. “Though the death of her husband has given a rude shock to her power, yet her resolution and unbounded ambition were in nowise checked. On the contrary, her ambition took a higher flight. In life her husband had been honored as a hero; in death she will have him worshipped as a god, yea, as the woman’s promised Seed [Jesus, *Genesis 3:15*]...who was destined to bruise the serpent’s head and Who, in doing so, was to have His own heel bruised. The patriarchs, and ancient world in general, were perfectly acquainted with the grand primeval promise of Eden. They knew right well that the bruising of the heel of the promised seed implied His death and that the curse could be removed from the world only by the death of the grand Deliverer” (*Hislop*, pp. 58-60).

When news of Nimrod’s death spread, there was much weeping and lamenting, especially among the women, as Nimrod was very well liked. The occasion of weeping over Nimrod’s death became an annual event which spread all over the world, and has carried down into modern times as Ash Wednesday, the beginning of Lent.

SEX-WORSHIP RELIGION
TAMMUZ

Although Nimrod was dead, Inanna (Semiramis) was not defeated. “Semiramis gained glory from her dead and deified husband; and in course of time both of them, under the names of Rhea and Nin, or ‘Goddess-Mother and Son’, were worshipped with an enthusiasm that was incredible, and their images were everywhere set up and adored. Wherever the negro aspect of Nimrod was found an obstacle to his worship, this was very easily obviated. According to the Chaldean doctrine of the transmigration of souls, all that was needful was just to teach that Ninus [Nimrod] had reappeared in the person of a posthumous son, of a fair complexion, supernaturally borne by his widowed wife after the father had gone to glory.... In Egypt the fair Horus, the son of the Black Osiris, who was the favorite object of worship, in the arms of the goddess Isis, was said to have been miraculously born in consequence of a connection, on the part of that goddess, with Osiris after his death....” (*Hislop*, p. 69). In other words, Semiramis claimed that one of her illegitimate sons was Nimrod miraculously reborn without a physical father. The son was named Tammuz. In Egypt he was called Horus. In Hebrew, “Adonai” means Lord. Tammuz was called “Adonis”, that is, “Lord”, by the Greeks. The word ADONIS traces back to the Egyptian word ATEN or ATON or ADON, the Supreme God promoted by Akhnaton/Ikhnaton. Adonis is simply Aton with the Greek terminus. Many of the settlers of Greece came from Egypt. Sir E. A. Wallis Budge points out that there is no “e” in the Egyptian alphabet, but it is often used make it easier for English speakers (*Egyptian Language, Easy Lessons in Egyptian Hieroglyphics* by Sir E. A. Wallis Budge, p. 35, Dover Books.). It also is confusing. ATEN is actually not a proper translation.

According to the teaching of Semiramis, Tammuz was born by a miracle out of an evergreen tree (in Egypt, where there were no evergreen trees, the palm tree was substituted, and in Northern Europe, both the evergreen and the oak tree were used). This was the origin of the Christmas tree associated with the Christ-child, the sacred Yule log, the sacred oaks (Charter Oaks, Treaty Oaks, etc.) under which many of our founding fathers signed treaties, etc. When an army soldier gets an advanced award, he is awarded a medal “with oak-leaf cluster”, heralding back to when the oak was sacred. Today we even “knock on wood” to invoke the sacred spirit of the tree for our good luck.

The mother marrying the son is a common subject in the ancient stories, such as the story of Oedipus. Immanuel Velikovsky discussed this at length in his book *Oedipus And Akhnaton*, showing that the Greek Oedipus story was probably copied from Akhnaton at Thebes in Egypt. He said of Akhnaton, “He was thus a forerunner of Mosaic monotheism” and noticed that some of Akhnaton’s hymns to Aton were surprisingly similar to Biblical psalms, the obvious inference being that the later Biblical psalms may have been copied from the older Egyptian hymns.

INANNA (SEMIRAMIS, ISHTAR, EASTER)

Semiramis increased one dimension to Nimrod’s religion, which was to have a profound influence on the world’s societies for all time, by adding sex-worship to the religion! “Pagan religious rites generally ended in open sex orgies” (B. Z Goldberg, *The Sacred Fire*, p.26). She had illegitimate children which she claimed were gods reborn. She married her sons in the various stories.

[*To be continued.*]

CHAPTER 9

ATTENTION ALL VETS!

HERE'S A SLICK ONE

by Thomas Todd 3/3/98

Subject: Veterans' Benefits

First, thanks for the information, Jimmy. This message was sent to all Legionnaires from Northglenn, CO, American Legion Post 22.

ATTENTION VETERANS

If you are a veteran, have a family member who is a veteran, or know somebody who is, this news may be of some interest to you or them; please feel free to pass it along.

The President of the U.S. has recently signed into law a bill that affects all veterans. This law states in effect that if a veteran has not registered at a Veterans Affairs Hospital since Oct. 1, 1996, then on Oct. 1, 1998 he or she will lose all medical benefits for life.

To make it worse, the V.A. cannot notify veterans about it. That information must be disseminated via word of mouth or by letter. We called the local V.A. office and found out this information is true.

They recommended that everyone eligible for benefits come by their office, and sign up prior to the October 1st deadline, regardless of whether they have received treatment at a V.A. Hospital since 10/1/96. This way no one will slip through the cracks.

You will need to bring your DD-214 to your local V.A. office and it will only take about 5 minutes to complete the form. This will maintain your veterans benefits. If you cannot locate your DD-214, go to the V.A. office and they can help you obtain a copy.

Please address replies to: Tom Todd

e-mail: TDTODD@NETMAIL3

Phone: 612-288-7086

CHAPTER 10

SOLTEC: GROWING IN HARMONY WITH GREAT MOTHER EARTH

3/12/98 SOLTEC

Good afternoon and be at peace. It is I, Toniose Soltec, come in the radiant One Light of Creator Source. Be at peace.

There are reasons for all things that happen. The ones with whom you interacted on your trip were indeed influenced by your presence. Much of what was communicated to them was in your attitude and non-verbal mannerisms. These ones were indeed helped by just being around you. Let not even the smallest comment be discredited as insignificant. There was much work done as we and their Guides worked with and through you. Many Ground Crew function in a similar teaching capacity, especially toward their circle of friends, at this time of imminent transition of a planet.

There is but little time left for any sort of “hand holding”. Change will be ever increasing on your globe and we need able persons up and working. If ones choose to ignore the warnings and assistance offered, then that is their choice and it should be honored. Let us now go forth and be about our Father’s work.

There has been much taking place in the world that needs to be addressed. For example, there have been serious weather manipulations, especially in your Midwest and Southeast (of the United States) that have not gone unnoticed. Ones are, rightly so, questioning such phenomena as the blue-green lightning noticed during the Midwest’s recent paralyzing snow-storm. Meanwhile, others are questioning the “precise timing” and “pinpoint accuracy” of a flood and freeze that shall destroy much of this year’s peach and strawberry crops in your Southeast. (And yes, there is a serious connection between this activity and the glowing “stuff” observed by many to be falling out of busy night skies recently.) *[Editor’s note: See Commander Korton’s writing elsewhere in this issue of CONTACT for more eye-opening insights on the subject of antics in space.]*

There has been a new and “enhanced” series of earthquakes in two south-central regions of the California desert area. One swarm was centered about 30 - 35 miles north of Ridgecrest; the other created a very widely-felt early-morning (3/11/98) wake-up call, centered near Redlands.

The Ridgecrest-area quakes (near the China Lake Naval Weapons Center) were in the magnitude 5 range according to Cal-Tech’s earthquake web page data on this day (<http://scec.gps.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/finger?quake>).

I asked my scribe to check the readily available data. What was noted was an interesting

“discrepancy” between various information sources. One source, called *Seismic Event Bulletin* (<http://www.cdcdc.org:65120/web-bin/recentevents>), which prominently displays the United Nations symbol, listed the same Ridgecrest events with the magnitude lower by a factor of 10. This is to say that what the Cal-Tech site listed as a 5.1 magnitude, was listed on the *Seismic Event Bulletin* site as 4.1 magnitude.

Remember that the magnitude scales are logarithmic. This means that the difference between a 4.1 quake and a 5.1 quake is a factor of 10 in intensity! Perhaps this was just a mistake on the part of the one entering the data onto the web site? But, with closer inspection you will note that many of the quakes listed on this later site are entered with a lower magnitude—if they are even listed at all.

There were three quakes greater than 4.0M that were listed with Cal-Tech and yet did NOT even show up on the other list. Here again I must remind all of you to discern carefully any and all information that comes across your path—including this information! May your truth be of YOUR own reasoning and not that of another.

There are electromagnetic manipulations affecting the planet’s natural energy grid that are causing many a distortion all around your globe. Most of these distortions are causing problems that the perpetrators cannot even begin to understand, let alone monitor. Your planet’s energy centers (chakras) are beginning to compensate for these anomalies, and in doing so there are occurring some un-planned weather patterns, plus seismic and volcanic shifts that the elite cannot compensate for, control, or understand. These ones have no understanding or respect for the entity known as Mother Earth.

This is where it becomes most difficult, if not impossible, for you ones to know what is natural and what is man-made. Be assured that what you ones are experiencing is, at the very least, a reaction to man’s toying with energies and forces that he does NOT fully understand, let alone respect. From our perspective, it is a lot like giving a two-year-old in the sandbox a loaded hand gun and telling him to go play.

Be also assured that BALANCE shall be returned to your planet, for that is God’s promise to your planet. Those of you who wish to be part of the solution are welcome to join in the challenge.

There is more to discuss on this subject, but let us stop for now, for there are other priorities to attend at present. Toniose Soltec to standby.

3/13/98 SOLTEC

Toniose Soltec here in the Radiant One Light that connects us ALL! Let us continue please.

As your planet progresses forward in these times of change, there shall become more evident the true awesome power of her being. Ones will have no doubt as to the life force that she possesses. The magnitude of her being is indeed great. You ones shall stand witness to her

evolvment and transformation as she progresses forward in her cleansing and subsequent growth.

You can play your part in these times of change by learning to cleanse the garbage from within yourself and by finding your own inner balance. In doing so, you will find that you will be more fully alert to our nudges and thus more able to flow with the changes and not be “swept” away in them. This is to say that you will evolve along with Mother Earth, for you will be in harmony (agreement) with that which is the natural course of unfolding.

There is no need for any to struggle or suffer in these times, yet there shall be many, out of ignorance and stubbornness, who will choose to do so. Do not worry over another’s choices.

Many of you shall see family and friends go through massive struggle as they try to understand and cope with what they will be faced with in terms of change. Again, this will be a product of THEIR own choices. Be not upset over these things, for these are the lessons and challenges that these ones have come to experience.

The pressures that are building in the etheric realms are indeed of an awesome magnitude. Never before have the conditions been this spectacular. There are great potentials for inward and outward growth available to each of you.

CLEANSING OF YOURSELF IS THE TRUE KEY TO MAXIMIZING YOUR ABILITY TO EXPLOIT THIS GRAND OPPORTUNITY! Again, as we have tried to tell you ones over and over—LET GO OF THE PAST EMOTIONAL “GARBAGE”!!!!

Garbage here is defined as that which only serves to hold you in past emotional low-frequency patterns. For example: If someone has publicly humiliated you and embarrassed you, and every time you hear the person’s name you react in an emotional outrage, then YOU will, by your choice to hold on to the past hurt, be giving up a most grand opportunity of inner growth, because the low-frequency state generated will keep you disconnected from the Inner Guidance you will be needing in order to most effectively utilize this opportunity. In effect, you will become your own worst enemy.

No one can be blamed for causing you to feel grief. No one! Neither can anyone be blamed for causing you to feel joy! YOU create your own emotional feelings, and YOU are solely responsible for how it is that you are feeling at any given moment in time.

Time is the key!

What happened even just a moment ago need not affect you now. It is your wallowing in the past that causes you the most pain and discomfort. LET IT GO! You all do this to some degree.

When you are at your worst, stop and analyze what it is that you are so upset about. When did it happen? The answer will always be—IN THE PAST!

Let it go and find something to be thankful for regarding the situation. Even “bad” relationships have the positive value of helping you to become more clear on what exactly it is that you are wanting. Is this not of value?

Be thankful for those who have “done you wrong”. But most importantly: forgive yourselves for having been ignorant to the nature of these ones who feel the need to manipulate and control.

They are usually ignorant of what it is that they are wanting and how to create it, so they spend a lot of time taking apart the creations of others in their attempt to back-engineer the whole process. This is the backwards way of doing things. It is like taking apart a clock to see how it works. Usually the only thing that is truly learned is that there is a LOT to learn before true understanding will be evident.

You all have room to grow in terms of understanding the true potential of your being. Allow for your own mistakes and misjudgements. Forgive yourselves when you feel anger toward another, for it is almost always due to misunderstanding on YOUR part of the greater reason for being part of this grand experiment in progress.

You are here to learn to control your emotional energy flows in a balanced and responsible manner. There are indeed appropriate times and places for ALL emotional experiences.

These, my friends, are the fundamental keys to happiness and fulfillment that you ones are so deliberately seeking. Your inner (Higher Knowing) self knows what has true value.

It is the experience of inner GROWTH that you are seeking! When you are dwelling in the past, there will be little-to-no time left in the present for the opportunity to grow. By contrast, when you are in the process of growing, there is an excitement associated with what you are doing, for you are fulfilling a very fundamental desire of Creation—EXPANSION AND GROWTH!

Your planet is operating in like manner. She has agreed to serve in a capacity which would facilitate an environment wherein she would experience with and through each of you. Your inner emotional state is sensed by your planet, and she experiences these emotions. The converse is also true: you feel her emotional state. As she continues to shift upward in frequency, there will result an ever more serious mismatch between those who insist upon dwelling in the lower-frequency states and her new higher frequency radiance.

This creates a situation like an electrical potential (voltage or pressure) that is ever growing. There are many who are feeling the effects of this potential today.

Many of your elite would-be controllers are entering into states of frenzied anxiety due to this potential energy charge that is building. This anxiety will only serve to push these ones “over the edge” mentally, and thus you have the prime conditions developing that will eventually trigger massive world changes and shifts in awareness.

Man's tampering with the planet's electromagnetic grid only serves to accelerate his own downfall. These energy devices could be utilized in a healing capacity, to help the planet regain her own stability—if the proper enlightenment of those who are currently misusing the technology had been attained.

Your planet shall, in these times of desperation, be given the assistance to sluff-off those who have efforted, in their ignorance, to destroy her. Such has been the way of your world and there is no evidence of these children, in the sandbox, waking-up to see that they are about to shoot themselves in the head.

Find yourself in the moment that you are now in and seek deliberate understanding of the words offered herein. We of the Hosts of Creator God have a great desire to assist any and all of you in these times of challenge and growth.

Your growth is directly linked to our own. Our creative challenge is to help you to find the key that enables you to move beyond your own limitations. In doing so, we garner great insights into our own being, and thus we too grow. It is truly a “win-win” situation for all who choose to participate in this interchange.

May you find the inner value offered WITHIN these words. Seek within for your clarification and understanding. Be quick to call upon we of the Lighted Brotherhood for assistance, for when a deliberate call is made, we are granted a greater level of interaction with you. Likewise we will not go where we are not welcome.

I am Ceres Anthonious “Toniose” Soltec, come in service to Father-Mother Source. It is from within this ONE LIGHT that we all come forth to experience.

Salu.