THE LIBERTY AMENDMENT

As Viewed In The Context
(and against the background)
Of What Faces Us Today

By GEORGE B. FOWLER, Treas.,
Valley Paper Company
Holyoke, Mass.

Forward By MYRON C. FAGAN

No. 100

FOREWORD

With this issue we interrupt our planned series of "BRAIN-WASHING" expositions. We have a very vital reason for this interruption.

Perhaps the most dangerous weapon in the entire arsenal of the Masterminds of the plot to destroy our nation is their absolute control of our money systems. With the passage of the evil Federal Reserve Act, which transferred our entire money system into the control of those "Masterminds," plus the 16th Amendment (*the confiscatory Income Tax),* the *"Masterminds"* have been draining us of all our earnings and of all of our wealth . . . *that* is an integral — *and unconstitutional* — feature of the entire Internationalist-Communist plot.

There have been many books written to expose this feature of the plot, but I have never seen or heard it so sharply or clearly expressed until Mr. George B. Fowler, who needs no introduction to our readers, accomplished it in a speech he delivered on Monday, August 26, 1963, at the Women's City Club Auditorium, in Boston, Massachusetts.

Myron C. Fagan

PREAMBLE

Jack Lotto, in his news column of June 15th, 1963, refers to the latest adaptation of the Communist party for attainment of American conquest. This involves reconciliation with religion by use of the "Peace On Earth" encyclical of the late Pope John XXIII which Gus Hall, American boss of the atheistic conspiracy, views as "an instrument to overcome Catholic repugnance of Communism containing many important positive features Communists can adapt for their own purposes." The Hall declaration is, in effect, a battle plan to achieve successful leadership of the American people who are "by and large religious." To do this, religion is welded to atheism on the principle that, on the one hand, its moral, ethical, and humanitarian concepts are not evil; and, on the other hand, what Marx said about religion as a belief and a code of conformity still holds true. We have no time to analyze the double-talk in this threat other than to cite it as an example of Communistic use of a means to reach an established goal — in this case by co-existence of Christianity with atheism — by infiltration of religion with the crisis tactics of dialectical materialism — by taking advantage of the disinclination of our people for controversy; their affinity for appeasement, self-punishment, and compromise. That the Catholic church has been selected now as a prime target is significant, the elimination of Christianity as an influence and a faith being a most important part of the attack against all religions. "Hall warns the comrades that if the Communist party is to become a vanguard party in the United States it must lead, by and large religious people." The Catholics represent the largest segment of these "religious people". Mr. Lotto warns "be on your guard". Now is the time to stand up for principle and truth — don't compromise. Now is the time to be firm. Now is the time to defend your freedom under God, lest you live in slavery under despotism. Now is the time — perhaps the last time or chance — for you.

The Citizens Foreign Aid Committee — Washington, D. C. — has done an excellent job of supplying factual information and penetrating analysis on the subject of foreign aid. Its bulletin of May 27th, 1963, ends with a very significant paragraph. **"Regardless of stratagems being taken to postpone the day of reckoning and not to reveal data, only one measure can save our economy. Foreign aid and expenditures by and for our overseas military forces must be cut to insure a favorable balance of payments. Our White House leadership, at all costs, is determined to continue its huge overseas spending. It's up to Congress to save our economy.**

"Our White House leadership, *at all costs,* is determined to continue its huge overseas spending". Why? What are the implications — and consequences of this situation? What is the objective? What does this mean for the United States and our survival as a free people?

Something has got to be said about these things, because they are vitally connected with our destiny — and with the subject of this address, namely, the Proposed 24th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, the Liberty Amendment, the Income Tax Amendment, call it what you will. I am convinced we must now view this in the context *(and against the background)* of what faces us today — that it is not enough to talk about the Personal Income Tax and human freedom, the Income Tax and human rights — the Income Tax and private property — the Income Tax and moral laws — the Income Tax, a Marxist principle — the Income Tax forbidden by the American Constitution — the Income Tax, capable of over throwing our government and establishing a socialist state — The Income Tax, intended to bring the United States, and not Russia, into the world scene as the first Communist nation — the Income Tax and inflation — the Income Tax and unconstitutional government competition with private enterprise — the Income Tax and government usurpation of power as a national policy — the Personal Income Tax, as such, which is unnecessary and ought to be eliminated, thereby granting to every income receiving citizen a 20% increase of take-home pay — the Income Tax, key to full employment and economic prosperity.

All this is not enough. We must know a lot more in terms of what's facing us today — with the realization that we're dealing with a single wrapped-up bundle — that one thing has a definite relationship to everything else — that there is an over-all plan at work — that money and spending are at the root of the problem — and probably something else (to be seen more clearly as we proceed with this discussion).

First, however, we've got to say just a few words about the matter of foreign aid because of its great importance in causing the deficits year after year which are wrecking our currency, and causing a creeping and endless inflation, and which have all but depleted our gold reserve. Furthermore, we must do this to understand better the determination of our leadership to continue the vast foreign spending program *"at all costs"*. You may applaud the idea of eliminating the Personal Income Tax completely — and taxes on estates, gifts, and inheritances — you are more likely to insist upon the necessary legislation to accomplish this if aware of some even greater and overwhelming issues that are involved.

This program, which the Kennedy Administration is determined to continue *at all costs*, got its start from Joseph Stalin after World War II. He saw the power of American money and production in terms of $11.2 billion in direct aid during that war, and at least $1 billion that went through UNRRA thereafter to bolster-up Bolshevism. His orders respecting "foreign aid" were taken by Earl Browder (head of the U.S. Communist Party). Then Henry Wallace, the pro-Communist who was for a time Vice-President of the United States, took up the Browder-Stalin theme, and pushed "foreign aid" for all he was worth. The planners *"realized that the term Stalin Plan or Browder Plan would not go over too well with the American taxpayers. So they called 'foreign aid' after a general — General Marshall"*.*

The whole foreign aid program, which has now reached the incredible total of some $120 billion, is designed to channel American funds into the hands of foreign governments for the primary purpose of creating or taking over national economies controlled by socialist states, having total economic, political, and social control.

Hilary Grey, in *"Canadian Intelligence Digest"*, April 1963, expressed it this way:

"Today we are actually making state planning or socialism a 'condition' for receipt of foreign aid. . . . We are helping to establish the same system of State slavery we are pretending to combat. Now aid is increasingly being given to Communist bloc countries, pro-Soviet States, and to the so-called 'nationalist' States of the Afro-Asian bloc which support the Soviet line."

Department of State Publication 7432 — General Foreign Policy

---

* **See Foreign Aid — by Hilary Grey — published by The Canadian Intelligence Service Flesherton, Ontario — April 1963.**

Series 183 of October 1962 expressed it as part of our foreign policy:

"To help the less developed areas of the world carry through their revolution of Modernization; — that is a 'Revolution of Freedom'."

(To Communists, "Liberation" and "freedom" refer to the *disciplined order of the Soviet State).*

Stalin foresaw and planned for all of this — the use of American power, American prestige, and American money to conquer the world. This is the way he expressed it. **"It is essential that the advanced countries should render aid, real and prolonged aid — to the backward nationalities in their cultural and economic development. Otherwise it will be impossible to bring about the peaceful co-existence of the various nations and peoples that is so essential for the final triumph of socialism."**

In a few words, here is what has been taking place: For 30 years we have been engaged in a program of debauching our currency, with an acceleration and scope (supported by the communist-inspired foreign spending program) that is without parallel in human history. With the drive to confiscate our money has been an aggressive drive for political and economic power that can lead eventually to only one result — absolute power in the hands of government, no power in the hands of the people — totalitarianism on the one hand, slavery on the other. Such absolutism has long been a prime objective of our government. It is strictly in accordance with the Marxist formula for impoverishment and enslavement of a free people. The communist-inspired American program of deliberate world socialism cannot be effected without loss of our freedom by confiscating our money. This picking of our pockets constitutes extralegal and unprecedented thievery, whereby we have been paying for our own destruction in order to create a monster in the form of a world tyranny under alien control with authority to maintain its power and enforce its will irrevocably by a military dictatorship.

There is no question in my mind but that the American people are waking up. Also it seems clear this is recognized at the highest level of our government, with the result that forces controlling our national action are acting at unusual speed to accomplish certain results *(best described by the term "take-over)* before public opinion has reached the point of balance to prevent it. Do not underestimate the power of public opinion. It can save us when nothing else will. But even public opinion becomes powerless if we shall have passed the point of no return — when, by the implementation of legislation (already existing or to be enacted), our national independence will have become subservient to and our entire military establishment will have been placed under the control of an alien-dominated organization. This possibility lies ahead, which is at the back of a

recent comment by the well-known commentator, Paul Harvey, in speaking of tyranny coming to the United States. He says — **"We're moving toward that end at a hellish rate! I will not let myself believe we have already passed the point of no return. I have a son. I will not let myself believe it. But I know it can happen here, and I dedicate whatever is left of my life to seeing that it does not."** How many of us are aware that we are facing this kind of decision — and are we prepared to follow in the steps of Mr. Harvey? If not, life will not be worth anything to us — much less will it be worth anything to our children. It is not worth anything to any of us if we shall have lost our country.

My talk will attempt some clarification of these remarks, and will be correlated to the money question, which is essential to any consideration of the general subject.

At the beginning, let us say just a few words about Communism which, as a deadly phenomenon, has dominated world affairs for almost 2 generations, and is involved today in every aspect of the difficulties which threaten human life on this planet. *There is a reason* why (every time we turn around — figuratively speaking) we are face to face with a crisis (related directly or indirectly to Communism — internationally or at the domestic level) — face to face with a new Communist conquest — a new conference for the purpose of impossible negotiations — new concessions — new surrenders — until now we find ourselves in a position rapidly approaching that total encirclement by a dangerous, implacable, atheistic enemy, which is exactly in accordance with objective laid down by Lenin at the time of the Revolution — encirclement, demoralization, surrender without a fight.

To make our discussion of Communism and Communists brief, I shall offer a few quotations which ought to be considered against the background of reiterated basic Soviet principles of foreign policy that (if complied with and allowed to operate) spell victory for the other side — namely:—

a) **Peaceful co-existence (an Aesopian language to promote world conquest — why in the world should Khrushchev apply the Communist (and Chinese advocated) principle of force, while believing that we are going to give him everything he wants without it?) Using peaceful co-existence as a basic principle of foreign policy, Khrushchev will always be victorious.***

b) **Cultural exchange (for espionage and propaganda).**

***Anti-Communist Liaison Committee—Washington, D. C.—April 27th, 1963.**

c) **Trade (to strengthen the Soviet economy).**

d) **Disarmament (a proposal originating with the Bolsheviks within 60 days of seizing power — similar proposals have been coming up ever since for the purpose of destroying free-enterprise Capitalism and the Free World.)***

***(Note: There are different forms of Capitalism.**
Free-enterprise Capitalism includes you — personally.
Inherent in this free-enterprise Capitalism is the right to own property.

Remember — our American Charter gives us all the right to life, liberty, and property. (Money — any property)
Communism proposes to deprive you of all right to property — as well as liberty, and, if you don't like it, your life.

(For reference see Supplement — The Canadian Intelligence Service— July 1963 — Communism and Christianity, by Professor John H. Hollowell.)

Here are quotations that seem worthwhile — showing Communist dedication to the principle of permanent war with free-enterprise Capitalism — an unending war to be resolved only by the victory of one side or the other.

1) **Lenin — "The existence of the Soviet Republic side by side with Imperialistic states for a long time is unthinkable."**

2) **Lenin — "Concessions do not mean peace with Capitalism, but war on a new plane."**

3) **Marx — "let the ruling class tremble at the prospect of a Communist World Revolution".**

4) **Kukoff in "Pravda" said — "It is our duty to inculcate in the minds of all nations the theory of international friendship, pacifism and disarmament, at the same time, however, never for one moment relaxing our efforts in the upbuilding of our own military establishment."**

As to the nature of Communism, the following evidence is significant:

a) John Santo, former militant Communist in the United States and important in the international Red conspiracy, who served as Food Commissar in Hungary from 1949 to 1956 before fleeing that country, is now on parole in the United States. Testifying recently before the House Committee on Un-American Ac-

tivities, he said—". . . **if it is desired to put the answer in a nutshell, it is the contradiction between the shining beauty of the theory of Communism and what it is in practice as a bestial, corrupt, retrogressive way of life; as a system of government of false morality, perverted ethics, wasteful economy, and politics of horror and torture for the working people . . .**"

In other words, it's the difference between reality, and the dream world of revolutionaries and their dupes obsessed (in too many cases) with delusions of grandeur (or power) that cancel out practical considerations of human nature, human values, or even common sense.

b) Dan Smoot is a nationally known commentator and news analyst, and has summed up the situation very well in a few words:

"Communists consider anything 'good' which promotes the cause of Socialism (that is, Communism). War, mass murder, the extermination of whole races through enforced starvation, torture of human beings, rape, pillage, betrayal of friends and family, theft, vandalism — all are 'good' if they help Communism". "Anything is 'bad' which hurts Communism", — or interferes in any way with the Communist drive for world conquest.

THE DAN SMOOT REPORT — Box 9538, Lakewood Station, Dallas 14, Texas. See Report May 6, 1963 — "Disarmament I".

What makes anyone think these people are going to mellow? They intend us to do the mellowing.

Every American today should realize what he is dealing with as far as Communists are concerned. The foregoing references merely illustrate a condition which should irrevocably classify the enemy as an enemy, without regard to time, place or circumstance. It is grotesque, therefore, to note the conduct of our government in foreign *and* domestic affairs which clearly indicates a policy of friendship and cooperation with this enemy. The record is so long, consistent, and startling as to leave no room for question or doubt. It is so flagrant, open, and incredible as to confuse large numbers of Americans who are unwilling to believe or unable to evaluate the evidence, and therefore have failed to react normally in terms of self-defense. The American mind finds it difficult, if not impossible in many cases, to accept the idea of the deliberate conduct of national affairs according to the principles and objectives of a conspiracy which is intended to destroy the United States as a sovereign

nation anl make 180 million Americans permanently subservient to alien control. Again, and again, and again, treason has been committed against the United States and not only has gone unpunished, but has even gained a form of acceptance or status of respectability. The American public has been fed specious and weird excuses, but the program of selling has moved smoothly forward without interruption. Dale Peters (writing from Washington on the subject of treason) defines it (according to the Constitution) as an act of *"levying war against the United States, or adhering to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort"* — and an enemy as *"one who is hostile and inimical"*. He asks the following questions:—

"Does a foreign power which openly proposes to 'bury' the U. S. A., erects hostile forces within short range of the U. S. A., occasionally shoots down U. S. A. airplanes and kills its service men, employs its diplomatic personnel both in the capital of the U. S. and in the United Nations to spy upon the U. S. A., obtaining thereby and through subversion the very arms (nuclear) with which it threatens the extermination of the U. S. A., answer to the above-mentioned dictionary definition of ENEMY? . . . How, then, are we to interpret the following acts?

"1. By recognition of this foreign government, enhancing its prestige and permitting it to flood the U.S.A. with its spies . . . 2) Planning, via a treaty of disarmament, to surrender to the United Nations, the armament of the U. S. A., when as is well established, the Under Secretary heading the Security Committee of the U. N. is now, and always has been, a citizen of the foreign government (Russia) that threatens our destruction . . . 3) Providing money, arms and free military training to adherents of the foreign governments which threaten the destruction of the U. S. A., such as Yugoslavia and Indonesia . . . 4) Providing free postal handling of the foreign nation's propaganda entering the U. S. A. (amounting to many thousands of tons and being a principle cause of the recent postal deficit, so-called . . . 5) Escorting the vessels of this foreign state with U. S. A. naval forces, toward the harbor of an island base upon which this foreign power has established its armed might as a threat to the U. S. A."

There is a lot more that he could have included, such as —

A) The training of Yugoslav generals at the U. S. Army's Command and General Staff College at Fort Leavenworth (with clearance from the White House.) — These men have sworn allegiance to a Communist flag. Yugoslavia is in close military association with the Soviet General Staff, which is therefore informed, first hand, of all the techniques of our High Command. . . . B) The training of Yugoslav pilots at one of our USAF schools . . . C) The shipment of hundreds of jet fighters to Yugoslavia and Indonesia . . . D) Official support to the Soviet Puppet, Gizenga, in the United Nations rape of Katanga . . . E) State Department assistance in handing over to Com-

munists existing governments friendly to the United States such as Yugoslavia, Venezuela, Santo Domingo, Laos, Vietnam, and Haiti. . . . F) Assistance to Castro in taking over Cuba, and support of the Castro regime since that time (directly and indirectly)*

(Dean Rusk, member of the Council on Foreign Relations, will not support a contrary policy. In State Department Press Release #213 — April 22nd, 1963 he said **"It is not my judgment that the threat in Cuba at the present time warrants the escalation of violence which would be involved in direct military action against the island."**** This man, when a member of the Institute of Pacific Relations, exhibited the same kind of thinking by preaching **"agrarian reform"** for the benefit of the Chinese Communists).

G) Finally *(and most important of all)*, the destruction of American first-strike weapons: B-70 Bombers — 600 B-52 bombers — all B-58 (supersonic) bombers — "phasing-out" of all B-47 bombers — cancellation of the Skybolt program (associated with the B-52 bombers) — phasing-out of missiles. (All this done on authorization of the President at the request of Khrushchev through Rostow).***

As expressed by Dan Smoot *(The Dan Smoot Report—Box* 9538, *Lakewood Station, Dallas* 14, *Texas)*:

"An anti-missile missile is the most desperately needed weapon in this century—a weapon which American Officialdom does not want the United States to have"—(and is determined that it shall not have). He further stated:

"Frightful events have taken the United States to the brink of national disaster. The Kennedy Administration, under the guise of promoting world peace, has already planned our surrender . . . Past behavior, developed plans, and attitude of the Administration indicate that it will be done without specific approval of the United States Senate . . . The machinery is already established for Kennedy to surrender the armed forces (and therefore the national independence) of the United States to a Communist-dominated world organization."

At this point I ask the privilege of interrupting our line of

---

* **"Task Force"—April 1963—Vol. 9, No. 12, P. O. Box 1677, Ormond Beach, Fla.**

** **"The Legacy of Cuba"—McGraw-Edison Co.—Committee for Public Affairs, — May 20th, 1963.**

*** **Anti-Communist Liaison Committee-meeting Washington, D. C., April 27, 1963.**

thought, because we have here a beautiful example of what might be called Communist code language — the employment of words ordinarily used by you and me, to convey a meaning for Communists far-removed from our understanding or intent. Edward Hunter, perhaps our best authority on psychological warfare and brainwashing, has stressed again and again the importance of understanding the Communist mind and use of double-talk to avoid traps. (*How can we deal with an enemy if we don't know what he is talking about?*) Dan Smoot understands Communist doubletalk — but, in this instance, he probably did not realize the implications of his remarks. He says: "*The Kennedy Administration, under the guise of promoting world peace, has planned our surrender.*"

Actually, there is no guise (or pretense) about this business of world peace. It is true that surrender of the United States will "*promote world peace*" — but it's a Communist peace — the ordered discipline of the Soviet State — at the international level, world submission to an irresistible military force to guarantee Communist global conquest — and peace, *the final triumph of Socialism.* As I read the Administration's declaration referred to by Mr. Smoot it is expressed in Communist language and must have come straight from a Communist mouth. Furthermore, it puts the Administration on record in support of the ultimate goal of World Communism — a Communist controlled world.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, I have just submitted a list of appalling conditions and acts. If they do not constitute treason, then I say there can be no such thing as treason against the United States.

Commenting in the "*Los Angeles Herald-Examiner,*" February 23rd, 1963, Dr. Robert Morris, former counsel to the U. S. Senate Internal Security Subcommittee and former president of Dallas University put it this way:

> **"The policy planners have an underlying program, all worked out, for a merger of the United States with the Soviet enemy whom these planners do not acknowledge to be an enemy."**

The questions are, what are we going to do about treason? — What are we going to do about Americans who will not call it treason? — Or what will we do about Americans who refuse to recognize and act upon treason when they "*meet up*" with it, face to face? Here is another example — *(Published in "CLOSER-UP" issue of May 24, 1963 — quoted from May 23 "REPORT" of Congressman James B. Utt.)*

> **"In a recent memo circulated within the administration by its chief political**

advisors, McGeorge Bundy and Walter Rostow, (both members of the Council on Foreign Relations), it is stated that 'it should be the policy of this country to discontinue any aid to Freedom Fighters in any country in the world, in order to reduce tensions with Mr. Khrushchev.' This memo further states that, should a Communist regime be established in any country, it should be the policy of the United States to use every effort short of war to see that that regime survives."*

This is a policy of suicide. It officially endorses the great and terrible crime of treason as a national policy. It should be treated as such an endorsement — and get action accordingly. What are you *(and what is Congress)* going to do about this?

Actually, *"the planners are people who do not believe in the United States. They also believe the Congress should be defeated and rendered impotent — and they are translating these beliefs into action."**

I would like to say just a few words about our Secretary of Defense. Frankly, it would be interesting to know the full story about the origin, background, training, and experience of this man. **"Robert (Strange) McNamara, having usurped total authority over our entire defense establishmet, has not, as Secretary of Defense during two years in office, authorized a single new weapons system. In addition to destroying our striking weapons, he is slowing down our technology progress deliberately."** It is sabotage at the high command level.**

"This quiet disarmament is now the official policy of our government to abolish United States armed forces and nuclear weapons in three stages, and subject us to a United Nations peace force".***

This means the loss of our national independence. In fact, it expresses the Secretary's *intent* to sacrifice our national independence —to *guarantee our final surrender without a fight*. His conduct is certainly not based upon any qualities of genius or special military competence — as his orders constitute treason against the United States, and place the officers and men under him in an impossible position. How are they going to uphold their oath to defend the country and the Constitution? The only possible alternate policies *(and therefore the real policies)* upon which he must have based his decisions are those which favor an emerging one-world system — a Communist-dominated system in which the United States can exist only as a satellite nation.

*** Anti-Communist Liaison Committee—meeting, Washington, D. C.**

**** American Security Council Report—April 15, 1963.**

***** U. S. State Department Publication 7277 entitled "Freedom From War."**

These policies support the conditions which have been established generally in respect to Disarmament which is, of course, a much too large and complicated subject for us to deal with here. Suffice it to say that our total and complete disarmament is the key to the grand design for world despotism through World Government which is the official policy of the Federal Government. How many people know that on September 21st, 1961 (as reported by Chalmers Roberts in the WASHINGTON POST) **"the State Department had 'deposited' with the UN the text of an 'agreement' on disarmament which it had concluded with the USSR (conformable in toto to the program for total disarmament put before the United Nations Assembly by Khrushchev in 1959.) This agreement covered an end of nuclear arms, reduction of conventional armies to the size needed for 'domestic peace forces' (like Little Rock), an end to the manufacture and stockpiling of conventional arms, cessation of technical work on new weapons, abandonment of overseas bases, disbanding of all military organizations (like the Army, the Navy, the Marines, the Air Force) and closing down of training facilities, meaning West Point and Annapolis."**

In support of this agreement (with the USSR) later issued by the State Department as Publication No. 7277, Congress passed on September 23rd, 1961 the Bill setting up the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency.

The consequences are almost too dreadful to contemplate. We cannot deal with them in this discussion. The subject, however, has been covered by Robert B. Dresser of 15 Westminster St., Providence 3, R. I. in a series of public releases titled **"The Administration's Shocking Proposal for World Government and Disarmament Should be Abandoned".***

Quoting J. Edgar Hoover — **"We are at war with the Communists, and the sooner each red-blooded American realizes that, the better and safer we will be"**; he adds — **"And We Are Losing That War"**. Then discussing conditions in the United States he says — **"The Constitution, and all our laws, would be abolished — a new government would be created, representing an American province in a World Empire — private property would be confiscated — homes, personal possessions, businesses, bank deposits — and all elements of our free enterprise system — action would be drastic, immediate, without appeal and imposed by armed force. Labor unions would be obliterated— and much more."** Here is a call to action by a distinguished lawyer and patriot. You can't afford to neglect it. Write him for a copy of the series — *and do something about it* — by writing Congressmen

---

* **This refers to the famous "Treason Treaty" — see Dept. of State Publication 7277 — Disarmament Series No. 5**

and Senators in Washington demanding repeal of the Disarmament Act (and all legislation pertaining thereto) — and withdrawal from the United Nations.

What are we going to do say about Congress? Are there enough members who can be numbered with those **"responsible Americans in the 20th century (who) seek to prevent bondage of their country in a world government of demoted nation-states"** (i.e., satellites) — **who will "take a stand to save home and property?"***

In my presence recently, a distinguished member of the "House" was asked the question: **"Do the members of Congress realize what is happening?"** His answer was: **"Congress is not really awake as to what is happening — it's giving its authority away — we're going into a Fascist dictatorship."** A few days later I listened to the New York address of another distinguished member of the "House". He referred to many issues and offered specific solutions calculated to restore constitutional government and freedom to the American people. But he also volunteered the statement that he did not **"understand what is back of these things."**

Personally, I want to know, among *many things,* by what right Congress is giving (or has given) away *any* of its authority (i.e., authority vested inherently in Congress by our Constitution). Why are more, and more, and more laws being passed which *transfer* power to the Executive Department? Let's give this matter a little serious consideration. Let's take action. And remember — the reason for writing members of Congress is because *you* put them there — they hold their jobs because of *your* votes. *Let them know it!*

(NOTE: The July 1962 report of the U. S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency prepared for United Nations officials says, in essence — "That disarmament will not substantially reduce the spending of American tax dollars, but will deflect those dollars into such programs as social security, federal aid to education, urban renewal, financing mass transit systems, expanding public health and mental health activities and increasing foreign aid channelled through United Nations Agencies". (DAN SMOOT REPORT of Dallas 14, Texas, June 3, 1963 titled "Planned Dictatorship") — Funds obtained from the sabotage of critical national defense programs are thereby diverted to sabotage of our economic structure by socialization from within.

---

* **"Terrible 1313 Revisited"—by Jo Hindman—The Caxton Printers, Ltd., Caldwell, Idaho. Important reading—being the story of the monster that is Metropolitan Government.)**

Now consider a case which is important in providing perspective as to what may lie ahead. This is the case of Harlan Cleveland, member of the *Council on Foreign Relations* (CFR) — a State Department agent very active in promoting the overthrow of Tshombe; the conquest of Katanga by United Nations forces; the turning over of that nation to Adoula, who opened the gates wide for Communist penetration of the territory, now being used as a staging area for war to a finish that has been declared as a matter of policy against all of South Africa — *(necessary to guarantee continental conquest for Communism).**

This man was appointed to the State Department over the protest of the FBI. Everything done by him in the promotion of world revolution has justified the FBI protest as registered. His present activities are unusual. It is important for us to understand them. Therefore a few preliminary words must be said about the *"Atlantic Community"* so-called which proposes a supra-national structure organized *(as they say)* to meet the *"political, military, and economic challenges of this era."* This simply constitutes a plan of government *(in reality, a regional world government of "Atlantic Peoples")* intended to absorb the well-known Common Market. Many people think of such an association of Atlantic nations in terms of anticipated benefits from a vastly expanded common economic market. This, however, is merely the *visible* part of the spectrum. In the *"Declaration of Paris" (Atlantic Convention of Nato Nations)* a more significant *(and sinister)* aspect appears *(as part of the infra-structure, so-called)* which is *political,* involving radical sacrifices of sovereignty. The likelihood of such sacrifices has long been suspected. Here they are openly declared — thereby revealing the real purpose behind the purpose of our Trade Agreements Act and the Common Market, namely, the creation of an *Atlantic regional world government.* Senator Fulbright, master of double-talk and CFR member, puts it this way — (your mind will strain to grasp this as he says it) — (the) **"Atlantic conception is built on the premise that an international system based on unlimited sovereignty has become an intolerable, dangerous anachronism** (meaning it's obsolete) **in the nuclear age, not only for small nations, but for great nations as well!"****

It has long been known that such federations, federal unions, or

---

* **Anti-Communist Liaison Committee-meeting, Washington, D. C., April 27, 1963.**

** **Sen. Fulbright,—as quoted by George McKinnon—THE BOSTON GLOBE—Wednesday, May 1, 1963.**

regional governments are intended as an essential part of the overall program for a unified world political structure.*

This is expressed, for example, in a release by the so-called **"Movement For The Federation Of The Americas,"** General Secretariat — Bogota, Colombia. The title is **"Fundamental Ideas"** and refers to a **"World Federal Organization based on Regional Unions — Americas, Euroafrica, Asia — coordinated within a World Organization (a modified UNO), the latter having an arms monopoly and the necessary powers to avoid conflicts among unions and to promote world harmony and peace."**

In Department of State Publication 7432, General Foreign Policy Series 183, released October 1962, it is confirmed that a principal element of our foreign policy is **"To assist in the gradual emergence of a genuine world community, through the establishment and development of such organs as the United Nations — and other global and regional institutions."**

Much of what the government is doing is both illegal and criminal. The foregoing example is a declaration of policy that clearly stands for active promotion of revolution which can only result in destruction of the United States as a sovereign nation — all of this (of course) to be done in the name of social justice, security, happiness to the members of world society, economic stability, and human rights within democracy and liberty. Actually, what is planned is nothing less than a world military dictatorship. I don't need to remind you of the standard requirement of our laws which demands subscription by responsible officials and representatives of the people to a solemn oath of office (although the Supreme Court is now cleverly exempted from any oath to support the Constitution). Failure of the Congress to support that oath and enforce that contract makes it party to the crime — placing in jeopardy the United States, its national security, the lives of its people. **Let the message be carried throughout the land as a call to action for the enactment of just punishment for so grave an offense!**

---

* **NOTE: "To stress the urgency of this structure, for example, the leaders of all the newly-emerged African States got together at Addis Ababa in Ethiopia and sketched the blueprint for an emerging "United States of Africa" which is destined to form yet another of those 'regional world government' coalitions which most One-Worlders think must precede total world government". Reference — DON BELL REPORTS, P. O. Box 2223, Palm Beach, Fla., June 1, 1963 issue titled "The Planned and Premeditated War Against The White Race!" . . . Also see SPECIAL—July 1963—BULLETIN issued by the CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, P. O. Box 46205, Cole Branch, Hollywood 46, Calif.**

Coming back to Harlan Cleveland **(now Assistant Secretary of State for International Organizational Affairs)**, we find him engaged in planning for a **Pacific** community so-called — which is intended to be a political **"entity of the bits of land and small groups of people living on those bits, scattered upon the surface of the Pacific Ocean."*** As part of an address delivered in Palo Alto, Calif. on March 29th, he stated — **"The idea of individual villages, towns and cities, and even individual nations, is becoming a thing of the past which historians will note in telling the story of the growth of our civilization. Now is the time to bring about a 'new social order.' The idea of individual nations was simply a 'stage' on the road to having 'larger communities'."** Such effrontery reminds me of an article in THE WALL STREET JOURNAL (April 30th 1963) referring to the current widespread debate on UN financing in which Cleveland finds **"curious and disturbing themes."** This is due to the fact that people are disturbed because the United States is paying **(according to the Charter)** up to 45% of the annual budget, and as much as 40% of enterprises such as the Expanded Technical Assistance Program and the UN Special Fund. He says — **"It's time for Americans to 'stop having a cry-baby reaction' when everything doesn't go their way in the UN. Let us hear no further nonsense about Americans quitting in the middle of the game, no further defeatist proposals that Americans should withhold their support from the UN until the Soviets decide to support it."** He is, in effect, telling us to take our medicine and support the United Nations in order that he and the likes of him can complete the program of revolution for a world dictatorship. James P. Warburg, member of the Council On Foreign Relations (appearing before a Senate Committee) makes it very plain — **"We shall have World Government whether we like it or not. The only question is, whether World Government will be achieved by conquest or consent."**

Now the strategy and tactics, the Aesopian language and double-talk, the twisted reasoning that can be imagined for setting up such a World Force are wonderful to behold. For example, it has been pointed out that a controlling element in the State Dept. *(both higher and lower echelons)* believes in what is called the *"third-force,"* the argument being that, after all, Capitalism is bad, and Communism is bad also. Therefore the answer should be a *"third-force,"* international in character and scope — nothing less than a World Government, if you please, controlling a world police-force with *"peacekeeping functions"* as *"an indispensable element in plans to escape from the balance of terror and to create (and maintain) a disarmed world."*

*** Anti-Communist Liaison Committee—meeting—Washington, D. C.—April 27, 1963. Also Richard N. Gardner—Deputy Assistant Sec. of State for International organization affairs—THE WORLD, Washington, D. C.—June 10, 1963.**

Here we have some idea of what is back of the *"balance of power"* so-called, whereby American strength is down-graded to support the no-win policy of suicide for our country . . . In reality the *"third-force"* policy is a declaration of unilateral disarmament of the United States . . . Correspondingly, it means *armament* of the United Nations and the emerging World Force *(or government)* . . . It means fulfillment of the Communist dream of take-over and the destruction of *(personal)* Capitalism . . . It carries implications of drastic changes in our society — changes that would be political, military, psychological, and sociological.

It carries with it the implication of military enforcement—under which the States would be subject to international inspection against violations — inspection penetrating every scientific field and strategic or other key points in our economy. If I heard correctly, the Institute For Defense Analysis, authorized in 1961, is to be the clearing house for the inspection data so obtained!

It carries the implication of *counter-revolution (when the American people as insurgents against tyranny awake to the job that will have been done).*

Special warfare is the hottest subject in the U. S. Army today. National Guard and Army units in Continental U. S. are to be trained in counter-insurgency against the citizen insurgents mentioned above.*

Everything that has been said here shows, and proves, our confrontation with national disaster looking to an end of the American way of life. It is not accidental — it did not happen overnight. This is the result of coordinated international forces working precisely toward a predetermined goal — in a program the success of which has required access to, use of, control over American resources, manpower, and money. The American people have been deluded, lied to, isolated from the truth, hypnotized (as it were), bombarded with psychological missiles and brainwashing techniques, lured with the bait of Utopian dreams, high sounding theories, and lofty ideals. As far as I know — they have lost ("taken it on the chin") in practically every dialectical crisis along the way — due, essentially, to *betrayal from within.* Let's take a quick look at the form of World Government lurking in the shadows. *This brings into focus the United Nations Organization!*

*** Anti-Communist Liaison Committee—meeting—Washington, D. C.—April 27, 1963. Also Richard N. Gardner—Deputy Assistant Sec. of State for international organization affairs—THE WORLD, Washington, D. C.—June 10, 1963.**

"The United Nations is the greatest fraud in history. Its purpose is to destroy the United States." That's not my remark. It was uttered by Representative Rankin. But I'm sure he possessed evidence to justify it — and am certain (in my own mind) he was right.

Here are some facts about that organization:

(a) Stalin at Yalta demanded formation of a United Nations for "Peace" (it should read "a Soviet peace") in return for aid in World War II. . . . (b) The Charter was written by Gromyko, Molotov, Hiss, Dalton Trombo, Pasvolsky, and Harry Dexter White. . . . (c) They merely took the constitution of Russia and changed a few paragraphs. . . . (d) Our Senate ratified the treaty under the false assurance that our National Sovereignty, our Constitution, and our Flag would be held inviolate, and that its sole purpose was peace **(actually a Communist peace — which the Senators couldn't understand being strangers to the Communist dictionary)**. . . .(e) Only two Senators had read the Charter, and both voted against it. . . . (f) The government in Washington since 1945 has recognized the Charter of the United Nations as law when conflict has arisen between the provisions of the Charter and those of the Constitution. . . . (g) The United Nations is not a plan for world peace (as we think of it)— was never intended to be. . . . (h) The authors of the Charter were dedicated to the cause of World Government to be built around the First State in the World Government Plan — Russia. . . . (i) The accent is on the Military, on Force and Power rather than on International Peace and Security. . . . (j) U. Thant — **"If the United Nations is to have a future, it must assume some of the attributes of a state. It must have the right, the power, and the means to keep the peace"**. . . . (k) The legality of the Charter as a treaty has not been established because of the basic charge that the acts of the President and the Senate *(subject to the oath of office)* which made the United States

---

(a) The Watch Washington Club—Columbus, Ohio.
(b) George Racey Jordan—address—Timmins, Ontario—June 27th, 1961.
(c) George Racey Jordan—address—Timmins, Ontario—June 27th, 1961.
(d) The Watch Washington Club—Columbus, Ohio.
(e) The Watch Washington Club—Columbus, Ohio.
(f) The United Nations Charter (Book)—Distributed by Council for Statehood, West Palm Beach, Fla.
(g) Same as (e)
(h) Same as (e)
(i) Same as (e)
(j) In a speech at Uppsala University, Sweden, May 6, 1962—Reported in AMERICAN MERCURY—Page 11—April 1963.

a signatory member of the United Nations Organization were unconstitutional and therefore void because the provisions of the United Nations Charter changed the United States Constitution and violated numerous of its provisions.

Rep. James B. Utt (R.—Cal.), although giving additional facts about the U. N., has reached certain conclusions with which we must agree:

1. You can expect to see a one-world government, Communist controlled, under the U. N. . . . 2. You will see the U. N. run up astronomical debts which we, under the Treaty, are bound to pay. . . . 3. It is absolutely necessary to the survival of this republic that the United States withdraw from the U. N.

Mr. Utt has filed a bill to get us out.

He deserves and needs your support — give it to him — all the way.

Today the United Nations Organization *(which was never expected to be united — short of dictatorship)* is separated from the ideals which first bedazzled the multitudes; the balance of power has shifted away from the Free World to a coalition made up of the Soviet bloc, the Afro-Asian bloc, their allies, and what are called "neutral" nations; citizens of the USSR and other Communists have usurped the most significant personnel positions; and U. Thant, the Marxist Burmese, supports co-existence and has stated that we have nothing to fear from the international Communist conspiracy. These are only some of the tragic conditions arranged against us.*

But most important is the fact that a Russian national, selected by the Soviets, shall be permanent Soviet Commander-In-Chief of the Armed Forces of the United Nations. He is to hold that position as Under-Secretary of Political and Security Affairs according to a secret agreement.* It has been said that Hiss and Molotov were present at the London meeting and agreed to the terms. Also it has been reported that Stalin refused to accept membership in the U. N. O. without such an agreement.

To date, the post has been occupied by seven Soviet nationals

---

(k) The Bohn Plan—Published by Council on American Relations, 710 Summit Blvd., West Palm Beach, Fla.

*** Write to the Cinema Educational Guild—Box 46205, Cole Branch—Hollywood 46, Calif. for additional information—in particular ask for its various "Tracts" which are loaded with significant facts about the "United Nations."**

(mostly military). Eugene D. Kiseliev died earlier this year. Last month U. Thant announced the appointment of Valdmir Javiovich Suslov. It is to this organization, and this Commander-in-Chief that Congress, through the Arms Control and Disarmament Act, proposes to turn over the entire Military Establishment of the United States.** This is World Military Dictatorship in the making — a world authority, commanded by a Soviet representative — finally in possession of the force with which to enforce its will. Stalin became dictator of Russia by a similar process.

The Liberty Amendment might be called the "*Survival Amendment,*" because it means survival, security, and prosperity.

This Amendment will restore the Constitution as the supreme law of the land *(than which there can be no greater blessing visited upon a free people)*. It will eliminate the personal income and withholding tax, thereby depriving the government of power to place an unlimited tax upon the people. It will permit us to keep what we earn — and to earn more. It is the foundation of future progress — the guarantee of personal responsibility — that we may be responsible *(not kept)* citizens. It will provide assurance that the United States shall not be swallowed up in World Socialism — that we shall not *"surrender without a fight."* Nineteen years of research have gone into this Amendment. Over 200 of the Nation's leading Constitutional lawyers and Deans of Law Schools collaborated in

---

* **"Los Angeles Herald-Examiner", May 27th, 1963.**
Cinema Educational Guild.
Council for Statehood—Box 1524, West Palm Beach, Fla.
Trygve Lie. ("In the Cause of Peace"—book).
"The Independent American"—7314 Zimpel Street, New Orleans 18, La.
The American Coalition of Patriotic Societies—1025 Connecticut Ave., N. W., Washington 6, D. C.

** **Robert B. Dresser—15 Westminster St., Providence 3, R. I.**
Dan Smoot—P. O. Box 9611, Lakewood Station—Dallas 14, Texas.
Council for Statehood—Box 1524, West Palm Beach, Fla.—Letters #16 and #19 to the Governors and Legislators of the Sovereign States.

** **See "Department of State Publication 7277" detailing the U. S. plan for eliminating national military forces, the following step is described: "Establishment of a permanent international police force within the United Nations." To be effective, this must include the entire American military machine.**

** **See also Exhibit 5, The Grand Design For World Despotism—Item 7.**
See also Cinema Educational Guild "July 1963 Special Bulletin."

its final draft. The Liberty Amendment resolution has been passed in six states. It is *must* legislation!

We find that operating within the framework of the Executive Department is a bureaucracy that now controls some 20% of the entire industrial capacity of the United States. Over 700 government owned corporations have been identified *(having no authority for their existence under the Constitution)* which in the past have operated in the red *(and in the future will operate in the red)*, costing us taxpayers $39 - 3/4 billion *(at the* 1959 *level)*. If Foreign Grants and Credits are included, the cost to our government is $44 - 3/4 billion.

These 700 corporations are in direct competition with private enterprise and operate on a cost-free, tax-free, interest-free, rent-free basis with your tax dollars. Their accumulated losses amount to more than the revenue from the Federal Personal Income Tax.

Here are the exact provisions of The Proposed 24th Amendment *(now bottled up in the House Judiciary Committee, Emanuel Celler, Chairman)*—to be released when identical resolutions are passed by the necessary majority of State legislatures.

Section 1: The Government of the United States shall not engage in any business, professional, commercial, financial or industrial enterprise except as specified in the Constitution.

Section 2: The constitution or laws of any State, or the laws of the United States shall not be subject to the terms of any foreign or domestic agreement which would abrogate this amendment.

Section 3: The activities of the United States Government which violate the intent and purposes of this amendment shall, within a period of three years from the date of the ratification of this amendment, be liquidated, and the properties and facilities affected shall be sold.

Section 4: Three years after the ratification of this amendment the sixteenth article of amendments to the Constitution of the United States shall stand repealed and thereafter Congress shall not levy taxes on personal income, estates, and/or gifts.

It is urged that all State Legislatures pass a resolution in support of the Liberty Amendment and send it to the U. S. Congress in Washington.

This resolution should be identical to similar resolutions passed by the Legislatures of other states except that the exact form *(sub-*

ject to the foregoing provision) will depend on rules of the State Legislatures.

Frankly, I hesitate to attempt any enlargement of the voluminous record in support of this Amendment.

a) **The subject has received detailed analysis for several years in addresses appearing in the Congressional Record, the latest of which is a speech made by Representative James B. Utt (R.—Cal.) as presented by Hon. Bruce Alger of Texas—Monday, April 1st, 1963. You should write Mr. Utt for a copy. (I have some which are available while they last).**

b) **A book has been prepared that is filled with valuable information—the title is "Action For Americans—The Liberty Amendment" ($2.50) published by Operation America, Inc.—6414 Dix Street, Los Angeles 28, Cal.**

c) **The Utah State Committee prepared a "working (question and answer) booklet" that was later incorporated in the Jan.-Feb. 1963 issue of AMERICAN PROGRESS MAGAZINE. (Published by the National Committee For Economic Freedom—6413 Franklin Ave., Los Angeles 28, Cal. Write for a copy of this issue.**

d) **The March-April 1963 issue of FREEDOM MAGAZINE* is filled with significant facts such as:**

1) Press analyses and endorsements; . . . 2) A program for victory; . . . 3) Questions and answers.

e) **Certain issues of the Cinema Educational Guild—Box 46205, Cole Branch —Hollywood 46, Calif. have consistently brought the subject to public attention.**

f) **A new film strip titled "In the Cause of Freedom" is available for showing in every State of the Union.**

g) **State committees are becoming increasingly active throughout the country.**

A great deal of action is attested by the following paragraph:

"The battle for the Liberty Amendment has been a fierce one this year. We have driven the Federal bureaucracy out into the open at last. They are unleashing every form of attack upon the Liberty Amendment they can without being identified with it directly. They have carried on their campaigns in the cloak and dagger technique. We win the hearings in State after State but lose the decision. Yet the mammoth growth of public support has been more than enough to match their underhanded efforts. Although we have failed to win any States, our losses have been by such a narrow margin that it is indicative of the tremendous developments that have been made in

support of the Liberty Amendment during recent months."

Published by the National Committee for Economic Freedom — 6413 Franklin Ave., Los Angeles 28, Calif.

As a closing word, I refer to a quotation from Utah's J. Bracken Lee, which I frequently mention—and one or two comments which are found in the book "*Action For Americans—The Liberty Amendment*" as mentioned heretofore.

1) **"People of this nation went a long way toward destroying the Constitution when we permitted the government to talk people into destroying our greatest safeguard against too much power in government—this was the adoption of the Sixteenth Amendment, or the personal income tax. I cannot conceive how any intelligent person can defend the Constitution of the United States and at the same time defend the income tax which nullifies and eventually destroys all semblance of these constitutional guarantees. Until those citizens who believe in the free enterprise system awaken to the dangers of this evil and demand its repeal, I see no hope to preserve the rights that we are entitled to as free men."**

2) "THE LIBERTY AMENDMENT," which was introduced into Congress by the Hon. James B. Utt, of California, is pending as *House Joint Resolution* 23. It is simultaneously being introduced before the Legislatures of the Several States with the intent of obtaining identical resolutions approving it.

Positive action in support of this Proposed Amendment to the Constitution depends, to a large degree, on the strong united effort of individual Americans interested in preserving their freedoms.

THE RESULT IS UP TO YOU!

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER
P. O. Box 596, Boring. OR 97009

Email: cpabooks@hotmail.com