

THE IMMIGRATION INVASION



**How Third World Immigration is
Destroying the First World — And
What Must be Done to Stop it**

Arthur Kemp

THE IMMIGRATION INVASIO N

**How Third World Immigration is
Destroying the First World — And What
Must be Done to Stop it**

By Arthur Kemp

Ostara Publications

The Immigration Invasion

By Arthur Kemp

First printed 2008

Updated and expanded 2012, 2013, 2015

Copyright Ostara Publications

www.ostarapublications.com

Contents

Preface: *The Camp of the Saints* Becomes Real

The Three Factors Which Drive the Immigration Invasion

1. The complete collapse of any sort of ordered, civilized society in Africa.
2. American and British military intervention in North Africa and the Middle East on behalf of Israel.
3. The West's Liberal Asylum Policies.

The African Boat Invasion: If Europeans did it to Africa, the World would Scream "Colonization"

Chapter One: Immigration and Race—Why Does It Matter?

Divorce the Topic from Racism

Understanding Why Cultures Exist

Understanding Why Cultures Vanish

Cultures Exist Only as Long as Their Founding Populations Exist

Third World Immigration Has Third World Implications for the First World

A Changed Population will Cause a Changed Culture

Chapter Two: How the Invasion is Being Carried Out

Legal Immigration

The "Aging Population Argument" Refuted

Case Study: Spain

Case Study: Britain

Case Study: United States of America

Case Study: Canada

Conclusion: Immigration Does not Solve the Problem of Aging Populations

The "Jobs That No One Else Will Do" Argument Refuted

Case Study: Swift & Co., United States of America

The "We Are a Nation of Immigrants" Argument Refuted

Case Study: Britain

Case Study: The Settlement of North America, Australia and New Zealand

Conclusion: The Lie of a "Nation of Immigrants"

The Asylum Racket

Asylum: The Real Legal Obligations of Europe

Case Study: The United States of America

Case Study: Norway

Case Study: The Netherlands

Illegal Immigration

Case Study: The United States of America

Case Study: Europe

2015: 300,000 Nonwhites Invade Europe in Six Months

Conclusion: Third World Invasion of the First World

Chapter Three: The United Kingdom Rent Asunder

One Million Illegal Immigrants in 2007

Half of all Babies Born in 2007 to Immigrant Mothers

At Least 69 Immigrants Enter Britain Every Hour

White British People Leaving in Increasing Numbers

White British to be a Minority within Three Generations

Third World Invasion Causes Overcrowding

Third World Invasion Causes Housing Shortage

English Set to Become Minority Language in London

State Services Being Destroyed by Third World Immigration

Third World Invasion Causes Crime Wave

Chinese Triad Gangs Operating All over Britain

The London Case Study

White British Children Already Ethnically Cleansed from Schools in Major Urban Areas

70% of School Children in London were Nonwhite in 2013

69% of School Children in Birmingham were Nonwhite in 2013

Chapter Four: Europe Under Attack, Part I—Portugal, Spain, France, Belgium and the Netherlands

1. Portugal

Crime and Immigration in Portugal

2. Spain

Special Police Units Created to Fight South American Gangs

3. France

Rampant Nonwhite Violence and Riots Plague Major Centers

Marseilles Set to be First Majority Muslim City in Europe

4. Belgium

Moureaux-ville: “Africa in Brussels”

Third World Crime Wave in Belgium

The Myth of “Economic Growth” through Integration

“Capital of Europe” to be Overrun

5. The Netherlands

Amsterdam and Major Cities Turning Majority Non-white

Two Third of Non-white Immigrants Fail School

Crime and Immigration in the Netherlands

Non-whites Majority of “Dutch” Prison Population

The “Helping Europe’s Economy” Myth Smashed by Dutch Government Report Reveals Nonwhite “Immigrant” Parasitism

Chapter Five: Europe Under Attack, Part II—Germany, Austria, Switzerland, Italy and Greece

1. Germany

Germany Becomes Major “Refugee” Target

More than Half a Million “Asylum Seekers” Enter Germany 2014/2015

Non-white Crime Wave Sweeps Germany

German Children Ethnically Cleansed from Largest Berlin Suburb

2. Austria

Muslim Numbers Doubling Every Ten Years

Non-whites majority of Prison Population in Austria

3. Switzerland

Swiss Vote 78% in Favor of New Asylum Law

4. Italy

Padua: Third World Crime Wave Creates Uproar

Major Italian Cities Being Islamified

5. Greece

Chapter Six: Europe under Attack, Part III—Denmark, Norway, Sweden and Finland

1. Denmark

Crime and Immigration in Denmark

Nonwhite Riots in Copenhagen

Nonwhite Rape Epidemic against White Females

2. Sweden

Crime and Immigration in Sweden

Nonwhite Crime “War against the Swedes”

Sweden: Nonwhite on White Rape Capital of the West

3. Norway

All Official Statistics Show Nonwhite Crime Wave in Norway

2014: Crime Drops as Police Report Record Number of Nonwhites

Third Worlders Dependent on Welfare

4. Finland

Nonwhite Crime in Finland

Chapter Seven: The Eastern Outpost—Russia and the Eastern European States

1. Russia

Russia Faces Massive Illegal Immigration Problem

Population Reverse and Increase

Immigration Policies Double Edged

Crime and Social Delinquency from Non-European Sources

Islamic Terrorism from the South

2. Eastern Europe

Poland

Czech Republic

Hungary

Romania

Bulgaria

Moldova

The former Yugoslavia

The Ukraine

The Baltic States

Chapter Eight: The Maple Leaf Wilts —Canada

80 Percent of Immigration from Non-European Sources

Third World Social Delinquency in Canada

Terrorism Linked to Muslim Immigration and Canadian Intervention in Middle East Wars on Behalf of Israel

Nonwhite Crime Wave in Canada

Canada Struggles with Rising Black and Amerind Prison Population

Unemployment High among Third World Immigrants

Chapter Nine: The Republic in Peril—The United States of America

White Population Declines by 25% of Total in 50 Years

Racial Categories in US Notoriously Inaccurate

Whites Set to be Minority before 2045

White Children an Absolute Minority by 2020

States Rapidly Switching to Majority Nonwhite

Whites Became Minority of under-fives in 2013

Social Effects of Third World Colonization of America

Third World Economic Decline Stares US in Face

Worker Skill Sets Declining

Educational System Collapse Follows Third World Colonization

Third World Immigration Drives up Poverty

Third World Immigration Drives up Welfare Programs

Third World Colonization Drives up Taxes

South American Criminal Gangs Move to US

Third World Immigration Drives up Crime Rates

Third Worlders Majority of US Prison Population

The Sub-prime “Credit Crunch” and Immigration

FBI Crime Figures Confirm: Black Towns Most Dangerous, White Areas Safest

US Poverty Linked to Nonwhite Population Proportion

Nonwhite Crime Apocalypse Envelops New York City

Somalis in America: A Horror Story of Crime, Violence, and Terrorism

Chapter Ten: Australia and New Zealand

1. Australia

Asian Immigration Swamping Cities

High Middle Eastern Muslim Immigration

White Minority in Australia before 2060

Crime and Nonwhite Immigration

Legal Immigration Avenues

Third World Immigration Causes Housing Shortage

Vietnamese Criminal Gangs Take Hold

African Gangs in Sydney

Police Officials Fired for Revealing Nonwhite Crime Wave

Nonwhite Rape Epidemic against White Females

2. New Zealand

Whites Less than 60% of Population by 2026

Third World Crime Rates in New Zealand

Chapter Eleven: What Must be Done

Is all Lost?

How Third World Nations Deal with Illegal Immigration

Europeans Have a Duty to Organize Politically

The Need for an European Ethnostate

The Choice: Separation or Extinction

PREFACE: *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* BECOMES REAL

The 1973 novel *Camp of the Saints*—which features hundreds of thousands of Third Worlders who illegally invade Europe and destroy Western civilization—is rapidly becoming reality in 2015 as around 10,000 African invaders land on the Italian coast every week in a renewed Third World invasion encouraged by liberal Western “asylum” policies.

THE THREE FACTORS WHICH DRIVE THE IMMIGRATION INVASION

1. THE COMPLETE COLLAPSE OF ANY SORT OF ORDERED, CIVILIZED SOCIETY IN AFRICA.

As whites have been expelled from Africa, so the Third World nature of those societies has once again become dominant, resulting in the total collapse of all First World-based services, infrastructure, economy and social systems. Deprived by their own inability to grow enough basic foodstuffs to feed their burgeoning population, the desire to simply move to where there are still large numbers of white people—and to draw the benefits of their civilization—becomes overpowering.

2. AMERICAN AND BRITISH MILITARY INTERVENTION IN NORTH AFRICA AND THE MIDDLE EAST ON BEHALF OF ISRAEL.

In North Africa and the Middle East, where the same racial composition as sub-Saharan Africa is not at work, the relatively stable societies which existed there for several hundred years have recently been violently disrupted, either by direct American/British and other European military intervention (in Iraq, Syria, Afghanistan etc.) or by American and British-fermented insurrections (the “Arab Spring” in Egypt and elsewhere, and the attack on the dictatorial—but stable—Gadhafi regime in Libya).

All of these disastrous foreign policy interventions have created widespread misery, chaos, death, and dispossession among North Africans and Middle Easterners—and has created millions of “refugees” fleeing the deliberately-created violence. ISIS, for example, is the direct result of the US and Britain’s military intervention in Iraq.

3. THE WEST’S LIBERAL ASYLUM POLICIES.

The origin of the West’s asylum policies date from the end of the Second World War, when there were large displaced populations in Europe. The 1951 UN Convention and Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees was designed for a different era, and defined a refugee as a person who:

“[O]wing to a well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group, or political opinion, is outside the country of his nationality, and is unable to or, owing to such fear, is unwilling to avail himself of the protection of that country.”

An asylum seeker is defined as:

“[S]omeone who has applied for asylum and is waiting for a decision as to whether or not they are a refugee.”

In reality therefore, the huge number of sub-Saharan Africans who are invading Europe claiming “asylum” are not fleeing any real persecution, but merely their own incompetence in maintaining any sort of ordered society.

Thus, even under the 1951 UN definition, these Africans are not “refugees” at all—but are clearly illegal immigrants seeking to force their way into Europe to obtain the welfare handouts generated by First World economies and society.

Ultimately, of course, once there are enough of these Third Worlders in Europe, then the First World economies will shrink along with the First World population. Then the welfare system upon which the Third Worlders parasite will vanish as well—but by then, of course, it will be too late for the European people as well.

THE AFRICAN BOAT INVASION: IF EUROPEANS DID IT TO AFRICA, THE WORLD WOULD SCREAM “COLONIZATION”

If hundreds of thousands of Europeans jumped on boats and sailed across the Mediterranean Sea to Africa, without papers or formal immigration papers, the world’s liberals would be screaming “colonization”—but when Africans do it, they are only “migrants” and “asylum seekers.”

The controlled media, of course, refuses to acknowledge this invasion for what it is, and has a strict policy of referring only to “immigrants” or “migrants”—as if the invaders are just somehow ordinary people who have a slightly peculiar way of entering Europe.

CHAPTER ONE: IMMIGRATION AND RACE—WHY DOES IT MATTER?

Immigration has become the single most dominating issue of the last ten years in Europe, North America, Australia and New Zealand.

It is now at the top of the political agenda in all of those countries—and therein lies an important question: why in those countries, and no others? Why is there not an ‘immigration problem’ in China?

Why is there not an ‘immigration problem’ in Japan? Why is there not an ‘immigration problem’ in South America? Why is there not an ‘immigration problem’ in Africa?

The reason why the ‘immigration problem’ is restricted to Europe, North America, Australia and New Zealand is one which liberals find unpalatable, but which remains the truth, no matter how it is disguised.

That truth is that immigration today is a racial issue. It is one which, simply put, sees masses of non-whites from around the globe, immigrating to white countries.

The liberal media likes to portray immigration as part of a normal ‘mass population shift’ as though this is some sort of global phenomenon affecting all nations. In reality, it is not. It is the Third World moving *en masse* to the First World.

This is obvious from any casual glance at the immigration map: there are not millions of whites moving to Africa, Asia or South America; instead, the population flow is exclusively the other way round. It is non-whites moving to white countries.

This overly racial aspect to modern immigration is the reason why even discussing the topic is regarded as ‘controversial’. Furthermore, many white Westerners have been taught, over decades of indoctrination at schools, colleges, universities and by the mass media, that it is somehow sinful and wrong to even talk about race, never mind discuss it as a driver in any trend. This in turn, has led to a

situation where the argument, which runs along the lines of “so what if immigrants are non-white, nothing will change, they will adapt,” will often be heard. Closely allied to this will be the argument that these immigrants are “merely trying to better themselves” and it is somehow wicked or wrong to deny them this opportunity.

DIVORCE THE TOPIC FROM RACISM

Too often, the proponents of anti-immigration—or, to be blunt, anti-Third World immigration—have allowed themselves to be dismissed from public debate by falling into the trap of being derogatively racist towards non-white racial groups.

There is no justification for this—the issue of mass immigration has nothing to do with supposed notions of ‘superiority’ or ‘inferiority’ (which are all subjective anyway).

What one group, or nation, finds acceptable might not be acceptable to another group or nation, but that does not mean that any one group is ‘better’ than any other, or ‘worse’ than any other. All it means is that they are different.

So, the very first thing to understand when discussing the immigration problem is that it must be divorced completely from all notions of ‘racial superiority’. An argument based on a ‘superior race’ concept is outdated and quite frankly, immoral in the 21st Century.

The age of that concept has long passed, along with slavery, medieval witch burnings and other things which were once considered to be acceptable.

The immigration problem must therefore be approached purely, and only, from the position of what effect it has on the immigrant group itself, and on the host population.

If it can be shown that both benefit, there is no argument to be had against mass immigration. If however, it can be shown that both suffer from the effects of mass immigration, then the argument can be decided on that basis alone, without need to resort to supremacist arguments of any sort.

UNDERSTANDING WHY CULTURES EXIST

The starting point to understanding why the topic of immigration, and mass Third World immigration in particular, is actually important, is to answer the question of why individual cultures exist. In any given territory, the people making up the society in that territory create a culture which is unique to themselves.

A society or civilization is only a reflection of the population of that particular territory.

For example: the Chinese civilization is a product of the Chinese people, and is a reflection of the makeup of the population living in China. The Chinese civilization is unique to the Chinese people; they made it, and it reflects their values and norms.

In the same way, the American Indian people made the American Indian civilization. The Japanese people made the Japanese civilization, and Australian Aborigine people made the Australian Aboriginal civilization; the Zulu people made the Zulu civilization, and so on.

In the same way, obviously, the European people created the European civilization, broken down into its component parts: English, Scottish, German, French, Russian and so on.

The important point to remember is that each culture is unique to its creating group. Although each culture, each civilization, may—and indeed do—have differing levels of technological achievement, and moral codes (what is acceptable in Japan may not be acceptable in Norway, for example, and vice versa), there is no justification to claim superiority or inferiority.

UNDERSTANDING WHY CULTURES VANISH

As each civilization is unique to its founding population, it is also obvious that should the founding population vanish, the culture which they created will vanish along with them. As the Chinese people made the Chinese civilization, it logically follows that the Chinese culture would disappear if the Chinese people were to disappear. Presently the overwhelming majority of Chinese people live in China, maintaining the Chinese civilization in that land.

If, however, Australian Aborigines immigrated into China in their millions, and the Chinese population was dramatically reduced in numbers, then in a few years the character of Chinese civilization would change—to reflect the new inhabitants of that territory.

In other words, the society or civilization of that territory would then reflect the fact that the majority of inhabitants were now Aborigines rather than Chinese people. If China had to fill up with Aborigines, this would mean the end of Chinese civilization. Aborigines would create a new civilization which would reflect themselves rather than the Chinese people.

That this should happen is actually perfectly logical. It has nothing to do with which culture is more advanced, or any notions of superiority or inferiority. It is merely a reflection of the fact that a civilization is a product of the nature of the people making up the population in the territory.

To go back to the Chinese example: if all Chinese people on earth had to disappear tomorrow, then fairly obviously, Chinese civilization and culture would disappear with them.

It is this startlingly obvious principle which determines the creation and dissolution of cultures—namely, once the people who create a certain society or civilization disappear, then that society or civilization will disappear with them.

If the vanished population is replaced by different peoples, then a new society or culture is created which reflects the culture and civilization of the new inhabitants of that region.

How Immigration Destroyed the American Indian Civilization

There are numerous examples of this process at work. One which will be familiar to all is the shift which occurred in North America.

The American Indian people lived there for thousands of years, creating a civilization which dominated that continent. In other words, the civilization and culture which dominated

North America reflected the fact that the American Indian people lived and formed the majority population there.

After 1500 AD, however, that continent filled up with white immigrants from Europe. These white immigrants displaced the American Indians by squeezing them out of possession of North America, thereby replacing the American Indians as the dominant population of that continent.

The great shift in North American civilization then occurred. Whereas the American Indian culture had dominated for thousands of years, in a few hundred years the dominant civilization on that continent had become white European. This shift reflected the fact that the majority of inhabitants of North America were white Europeans—and the American Indian civilization, for all practical purposes, disappeared. The American Indian civilization in North America ‘fell’ because the population of North America changed.

This effect—the displacement of peoples and the subsequent disappearance of their civilization—has direct implications in racial terms. The rise and fall of any particular civilization can therefore be traced, not by the economics, politics, and morals of a particular civilization, but rather by the actual racial presence of the people themselves.

If the society which has produced a particular civilization stays intact as a racially homogeneous unit, then that civilization remains active. If, however, the society within any particular given area changes its racial makeup—through invasion, immigration or any decline in numbers—then the civilization which that society has produced will disappear with them, to be replaced by a new civilization reflecting the new inhabitants of that territory.

CULTURES EXIST ONLY AS LONG AS THEIR FOUNDING POPULATIONS EXIST

A civilization—any civilization, be it white, black, Asian or Aboriginal—stands or falls by the homogeneity of its population, and nothing else. As soon as a society loses its homogeneity, the nature of that society changes to reflect the make-up of the new population.

History is teeming with examples of where this has happened. In fact, every time that a great culture or civilization has come to an end, a change in the founding population can always be detected.

Actually, this principle is perfectly obvious: as long as a people stay intact, their civilization and culture will remain intact. If those people diminish in number, or become a minority in their own territory, their civilization and culture will also diminish, or vanish completely. This is a logical consequence of a change in population, and is not a reflection of the supposed 'superiority' or 'inferiority' of any culture.

THIRD WORLD IMMIGRATION HAS THIRD WORLD IMPLICATIONS FOR THE FIRST WORLD

Immigration, and mass Third World immigration in particular, has therefore direct long term implications for the First World. The Third World is a product of Third World people. While trendy liberal thought would like to blame 'European colonialism' for much of the Third World's present chaotic state, the reality is that similar Third World conditions exist in places never affected by colonialism.

Possibly the best example of such a nation is Ethiopia, in north eastern Africa. Ethiopia is, in fact, one of the oldest countries in the world, with its first formal kingdom being established around the 8th Century BC (in other words, 2,800 years ago)—far older than any presently existing European country. Ethiopia remained completely independent during the colonial era. In fact, an Ethiopian army actually defeated an Italian army in 1896.

The only interruption to Ethiopian independence came in 1936, when the Italians managed to occupy the country (and build most of its infrastructure, most notably its roads), but even that short period ended when the Italians were driven out in 1941, four years later.

Despite being the oldest continuously existing country in the world, and never having been subjected to full scale European colonialism, much the same conditions as exist

elsewhere in Africa are replicated in Ethiopia. In fact, Ethiopia was the country which, in 1984, won fame as having been the nation with the world's single largest man-made famine ever, in which over one million Ethiopians perished, and which saw a large Western aid program launched under the 'Live Aid' banner.

It is thus difficult, in fact impossible, to blame conditions in Ethiopia upon white colonialism, and as similar conditions are replicated elsewhere in Africa, it becomes increasingly tenuous to blame European colonialism in other Third World nations as well.

One more example will suffice: the independent nation of Liberia.

Founded on the west coast of Africa in 1822 by black Americans, many of whom had never been slaves, 'moving back to Africa'; Liberia also escaped the 'scramble for Africa' colonial land grab which marked much of the 19th Century.

Despite this, Liberia today also mirrors the rest of Africa with regard to social, economic, cultural and political manifestations. As is the case with Ethiopia, Liberia is marked by large scale social unrest and what is widely called 'Third World conditions'. The only logical answer to both the Ethiopian and Liberian 'puzzle' is that these nations, like all other nations, both Third and First World, are simply reflections of their populations.

A CHANGED POPULATION WILL CAUSE A CHANGED CULTURE

The conclusion is therefore obvious: if First World nations experience a demographic shift which sees their populations replaced by Third World immigrants, then, inevitably, the prevailing culture of those First World nations will change to reflect the culture of the new majority population. In other words, mass Third World immigration into First World countries will see First World culture replaced by Third World culture, once the mass immigration reaches such levels as to inevitably bring about a change in the nature of those nations' cultures.

This then, is why immigration matters. It's not because of any racist notion, but simply because mass Third World immigration will inevitably lead to the extinction of First World culture through simple demographic replacement.

The consequences of this shift in culture will be profound, affecting even the causes in which liberalism finds so much hope. For if the First World vanishes, who will be there to help the Third World with aid? If the First World vanishes, all the things for which it has made its mark—cultural, technological and social—will vanish along with it.

In fact, mass immigration carries with it the direct opposite result of what liberals want when they call for 'diversity'. If mass Third World immigration succeeds in swamping the First World, then the very objective which liberals seek, namely 'diversity', will also be extinguished as distinct European cultures are swamped and driven to minority status.

This then, is what is at stake: the very existence of First World nations. This has nothing to do with hating any person because of the color of his skin, or of trying to deprive any person of the opportunity for improvement. This has to do with the survival of the West, of the continued existence of the European people against whom this immigration invasion is directed.

Conversely put: no-one in his right mind would suggest to the Chinese that they would not be justified in saying that they have a right to keep their country Chinese. No-one would dare say to Japan that they do not have the right to be Japanese, and to keep their island nation Japanese in culture. No-one would say to any African nation that they do not have the right to be African, and no-one would say to any Muslim nation that they do not have the right to practice that religion. And so it is also right and fitting, that no-one should say to European nations that they do not have the right to be European.

Yet this is precisely what is being said: that any opposition to mass Third World immigration in any European nation is somehow wrong or sinful. This is partly caused by liberalism which tries to induce guilt feelings amongst

Europeans, but primarily because it is only European First World nations which are being subjected to waves of mass immigration.

At the end of the June 6 D-Day landings in Normandy in 1944, Allied forces managed to land 156,000 troops in France. This was celebrated as the single greatest invasion in history. By 2008, between 12 and 30 million Mexicans, Central Americans and South Americans have landed in the United States of America. By 2008, between 20 and 40 million Third Worlders have landed in Europe. Compared to the current situation then, the D-Day invasions of 1944 were child's play.

Mass Third World immigration is set to change forever the very being of Western civilization, and overwhelm it with Third World culture, forever extinguishing all that has made the First World what it is. This is why immigration matters.

CHAPTER TWO: HOW THE INVASION IS BEING CARRIED OUT

There are three avenues by which the immigration invasion is being carried out. The first is simple legal immigration; the second is the ‘asylum’ racket and the third is illegal immigration.

All three of these avenues are abetted by liberalism, which seeks to stifle any opposition to the invasion by odious comparisons to unpleasant periods in European history, or by smears, intimidation and outright lies. An overview of all three avenues and counters to liberalism’s most cherished arguments is in order before each region is dealt with in detail.

LEGAL IMMIGRATION

There are three most commonly used arguments in favor of legal Third World immigration:

- First World nations have an “aging population” and therefore, the argument goes, there is a need for younger immigrants to “keep the economy going”;
- Third World immigrants are required to “do the jobs that no one else will”; and
- The new world nations in particular (America, Australia and New Zealand), “have always been a nation of immigrants” and all previous immigration waves have assimilated and become just like other Americans/Australians/New Zealanders. This argument has also been used in Britain.

THE “AGING POPULATION ARGUMENT” REFUTED

Analysis has shown that even a very large increase in immigration would have only a very small impact on the revenue needed to deal with the aging population. The reason for this is actually obvious—so obvious, in fact, that it is puzzling why more do not understand it. Much of the tax paid by the new workers would be needed to finance the

government benefits that they and their families consume, particularly in healthcare and education costs.

CASE STUDY: SPAIN

A study done in Spain, which will be reasonably representative for other leading European countries, contains lessons which can be applied across the board. Without mass immigration, projections are that the Spanish population will remain essentially unchanged over the next 50 years while the number of working-age people per retiree will fall from 4.5 today to fewer than two in 2050.

With more retirees and fewer workers, the cost of the government pension program is projected to rise from 8.4 percent of gross domestic product now to 15.7 percent in 2050. Theoretically, if two million immigrants—a ten percent increase in Spain's labor force—were to be added to those who enter employment, it would in effect be equivalent to a 54 percent increase in the size of the foreign population in Spain. Adding their dependents would make the increase even greater.

A rise of more than 50 percent in the number of foreign-born in Spain might sound 'wonderful', but the reality is quite different. Third World immigrants as a general rule have higher unemployment rates (see individual country chapters in this book for evidence), consume more in benefits and services than native-born, and generally earn less than native Spanish workers.

A rise in the number of foreign-born workers equal to ten percent of the labor force would raise total labor compensation by about eight percent or less. Since wages are only about 75 percent of total GDP, a rise of eight percent in gross wages would be equivalent to a 6 percent rise in GDP.

At least half of the additional 6 percent of GDP would be consumed by the immigrants and their families. An additional fraction of the extra GDP would be used by the government to finance benefits for them. In other words, net additional revenue available to pay benefits for the original Spanish population would be only about two percent of GDP or less.

This is tiny compared to the scale of the future fiscal problem. Spanish government spending on pensions and healthcare is currently (2008) already in excess of 14 percent of GDP and is projected to rise to 24 percent by 2050.

The two percent of GDP in net revenue that would result from a 50-plus percent rise in the foreign-born population would therefore finance less than 10 percent of the projected pension and health benefits.

The increased immigration would, moreover, provide only temporary relief to a permanent fiscal problem. The aging of the Spanish population and the slower growth of the labor force will persist in the long term. The extra immigrants in the next decade will provide net revenue temporarily but eventually their retirement pensions and healthcare will absorb the extra taxes that they pay.

CASE STUDY: BRITAIN

The Spanish test case has been borne out by real figures from Britain. A House of Lords report, issued in April 2008, showed that, per head, mass immigration's effect on the per capita income is "very small."

The report went on to show that claims of increased taxation benefits to Britain were also tiny, and that the argument that immigration was needed to "fill job vacancies" was, in its words, "analytically weak."

Immigration, the report said, is unlikely to be an effective tool for reducing vacancies. It pointed out that government statistics show that previous claims that immigration was needed to fill over 600,000 vacancies had not reduced that figure at all, despite net foreign immigration into Britain of nearly one-and-a-half million since that announcement was made.

The reason, as the House of Lords pointed out, is that immigrants fill some vacancies but their extra demand for services and goods creates others, adding that immigrants themselves grow old and draw pensions. In fact, mass immigration has, according to official figures, seen British workers' wages fall, while up to 100,000 youngsters have been

unable to find work. It had been earlier claimed that immigration had benefited Britain to the tune of £6 billion per year, based on additional revenue streams to the tax office. Even if this figure were to be believed (and it has been called into question), it is still utterly negated by the fact that mass immigration costs Britain in excess of £88 billion per year.

A study by Professor David Coleman, an Oxford University academic, says the wider costs of immigration are almost £8.8 billion a year. Professor Coleman said that the costs to the public sector are £1.5 billion to run the asylum system, £280 million to teach English to migrants and at least £330 million to treat illnesses such as HIV.

CASE STUDY: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

In the United States of America, where almost 1,000,000 legal immigrants are admitted annually, the population will rise to 438 million in 2050, from 260 million in 2005. Some 82 percent of the increase will be due to immigrants arriving from 2005 to 2050 and their US offspring.

Instead of boosting the American economy, and helping to alleviate the ‘aging problem’, research has shown mass Third World immigration to be doing the exact opposite. Edwin Rubenstein, director of ESR Research and former director of research at the Hudson Institute, found that immigrants (legal and illegal) cost the federal government a net loss of \$80 billion a year.

CASE STUDY: CANADA

Official figures from Canada, released in 2006, revealed that if immigration were used by Canada to keep its old age citizens at no more than 20 percent of its total population, immigration levels would eventually have to rise to 28 times their present level. This would bring Canada’s population to a staggering level of 165.4 million in 2050.

Similar findings have been advanced by the United Nations Population Division report on “Replacement Migration.” To keep the support ratio of workers to dependents constant, for example, the UK would have to grow from 60 million to 136 million and all of Europe from 322

million to 1.2 billion over 50 years to maintain their current age structures. To keep the support ratio of workers to dependents constant, South Korea, for example, would need 94 million immigrants per year, almost twice its current population. If South Korea followed this path, its population would reach 5.1 billion by 2050.

CONCLUSION: IMMIGRATION DOES NOT SOLVE THE PROBLEM OF AGING POPULATIONS

The obvious lesson in all of these scenarios is that it is absurd to use immigration to solve problems created by an aging population. All evidence shows that increased Third World immigration makes it impossible to cope with the demands of an aging population.

In Canada, for example, extra expenditure on health and pension services in the next 40 years will need to increase by around 12 percent to maintain present levels and standards. Without mass immigration, Canada needs to maintain an economic growth rate of only 1.05 percent per year to maintain social expenditures for its aging population until 2040. If anything, mass immigration will dramatically increase this burden, and make it unobtainable.

The reality is that the only way to avoid either significantly higher tax rates or substantially lower retirement incomes is to shift from a pure tax-financed system to one that supplements the tax-financed benefits with increased saving and investment.

Mass Third World immigration will not supply an economic solution, and the increased social tension, unemployment and Third World culture which it will create, makes it nothing short of disastrous.

THE “JOBS THAT NO ONE ELSE WILL DO” ARGUMENT REFUTED

This argument is most often used in the United States of America, but is also on occasion heard in Europe as well. Of course it flies in the face of the reality of “who did these jobs

before there were immigrants” but is best refuted by a real example from America itself.

CASE STUDY: SWIFT & CO., UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

In December 2006, US immigration officials raided six meat packing plants in six states belonging to the Swift & Co meat packing conglomerate. Over 1,000 illegal immigrants were arrested and charged with assorted offenses, including falsification of papers, immigration offenses and other serious criminal acts.

It is traditionally claimed that meat packing, which is manually labor-intensive and ‘messy’, is one of the ‘typical’ professions that white Americans “refuse to do.”

Swift and Co re-opened its doors a week after the raids, announcing that it now had a thousand vacancies. The result? Huge numbers of white Americans queued up in line to apply for the positions.

They were only too happy to be offered jobs which had previously been denied them by the tens of thousands of illegal Mexican and South American workers who had filled the employment lines. In fact, several thousand more American whites applied for the position than there were vacancies. In one Swift and Co factory, in Marshalltown, the departure of 400 illegal workers was enough to raise salaries by \$1.95 per hour for the replacement white workers as part of their effort to fill the vacancies.

In other words, this showed that there are no jobs ‘which Americans don’t want to do’, and that wages rise when normality is restored to the labor market.

This same lesson applies to all other First World nations, where the same argument is used time and time again. The reality is that there are millions of cleaners, manual laborers, mechanics, bus drivers, nurses, ditch diggers, road workers and blue collar workers who are of First World European extraction. All that Third World immigration does is deprive these people of their income and livelihoods, and increase the welfare line.

White Europeans have always done, and will always do, these sorts of jobs, as they have done in the past, and can and will do if they are given the opportunity, as the Swift & Co example in America so clearly showed.

Governments which have mass immigration policies are, in fact, committing treason against their own working classes, driving down wages and depriving their own people of work opportunities.

THE “WE ARE A NATION OF IMMIGRANTS” ARGUMENT REFUTED

In Great Britain, it is most often claimed that the inhabitants of that island are a “mongrel nation” comprised of waves of immigrants from all over the world.

This is a propaganda myth. All the archaeological, historical, and finally the genetic evidence, shows that the vast majority of white British people descend mainly from ancient populations of the Iberian Peninsula who settled that corner of Europe during the Mesolithic and the Neolithic time periods—in other words, a homogeneous population dating back at least 15,000 years.

CASE STUDY: BRITAIN

The genetic evidence shows that three quarters of modern white British ancestors came to that island as hunter-gatherers, between 15,000 and 7,500 years ago, after the melting of the ice caps but before the land broke away from the mainland and divided into islands.

The subsequent separation from Europe has preserved a genetic time capsule of south-western Europe during the Ice Age, which is shared most closely with the former Ice Age refuge in the Basque country. Another wave of immigration arrived during the Neolithic period, when farming developed about 6,500 years ago. But the English still derive most of their current gene pool from the same early Basque source as the Irish, Welsh and Scots.

There were many later invasions, as well as less violent immigrations, and each left a genetic signal, but no individual

event contributed much more than five percent to the modern white British genetic mix. (See *Four Flags: The Indigenous People of Britain*, by A. Kemp, Ostara, 2010; *The Origins of the British: A Genetic Detective Story*, by Stephen Oppenheimer, Constable, 2006; and *Blood of the Isles*, by Bryan Sykes, Corgi, 2007, for scientific research into this issue.)

Furthermore, a genetic study issued in 2003 (“A Y Chromosome Census of the British Isles”, Cristian Capelli and others, *Current Biology*, Vol. 13, Issue 11, Pages 979-984) showed that the Y chromosomes (the so-called ‘male’ gene) of men currently living in Britain, the Western Isles, Orkney, Shetland, Friesland, Denmark, North Germany, Ireland, Norway and the Basque Country, showed such a large degree of commonality that differentiation between them was so minor as to be statistically insignificant.

In fact, the study could not significantly distinguish between Danish, Frisian and German Y chromosomes, and in Britain, areas with the highest concentration of Germanic (Danish-Viking/Anglo-Saxon) Y chromosomes occurred in areas associated with the Danelaw and Danish-Viking settlement, especially York and Norfolk. In these areas, about 60 percent of Y chromosomes are of Germanic origin.

Britain is therefore not ‘a nation of immigrants’, and till the latter part of the 20th Century, has never had a significant non-European genetic input into its make-up. To allege otherwise is to simply fly in the face of rock solid genetic evidence.

Much the same can be said for most of Europe, which shows a genetic commonality for almost the entire continent, from Spain right through to the Ural Mountains in Russia, and from Norway through to much of Italy.

Genetic evidence has clearly delineated Europeans from non-Europeans, despite regional divergences within Europe, and, once again, the allegation that Europe is somehow a mix of all races is shown to be a fallacy.

CASE STUDY: THE SETTLEMENT OF NORTH AMERICA, AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND

As to the New World, specifically the United States of America, Canada, Australia and New Zealand: the reality is that the majority founding populations of all those modern nations were European.

Each of those lands did, of course, have non-white populations present before Europeans settled those lands in large numbers. In America's case, a significant black slave population was imported by early European settlers. The point is that the modern countries which the world knows today did not exist before the Europeans created them.

There was no United States of America before the Europeans settled North America. There was no American constitution, no Declaration of Independence, no First Amendment, no democracy, no individual states, no skyscrapers, no baseball, and not even any apple pie. Everything which the world knows or considers to be American, only came into existence when the Europeans founded that country.

The modern state of Canada was only formally created in 1867 by European settlers, and, once again, before that time, the state and its institutions did not exist. The same goes for Australia and New Zealand: too few people know that the modern state of Australia was only formally created as late as 1901, with Western Australia only first being settled by Europeans after 1829.

The modern state of New Zealand was only formally created in 1841, once again underlining the fact that it was Europeans who created that nation and all for which it is known in the world today.

Thus, to allege that Europe, the United States of America, Australia and New Zealand were somehow built out of a polyglot of races, and all 'immigrating' across the globe, is nothing but an outright lie.

Inter-European migration certainly took place, but never at any stage did any significant non-European genetic stock

take part in these migrations to the New World.

Put another way: in Southern African history, the Zulu people at one stage considerably expanded their territorial possessions across much of the south eastern coastline of that continent, settling new areas where Zulus had not lived before. No historian would claim that the expansion of the Zulu people was, ipso facto, the result of a ‘mongrelisation’ of that people. The same could be said for the Chinese peoples’ expansion in Asia, the Japanese peoples’ expansion into all of the islands which eventually made up Japan, and the expansion of the Indian people into that sub-continent.

There has never been any suggestion that any of those nations are a ‘nation of immigrants’ simply because they have expanded their territories.

Yet, for some bizarre reason, when Europeans expanded their territorial possessions, specifically into North America, they are instantly dismissed as being ‘mongrelised’—when all the historical and genetic evidence indicates the contrary.

The reality is that Europe, the United States of America, Canada, Australia and New Zealand were all founded by a largely homogeneous European genetic group, and not a mixture of several widely differing groups or races.

CONCLUSION: THE LIE OF A “NATION OF IMMIGRANTS”

The lie of a “nation of immigrants and mongrels” has been deliberately spread in an attempt to justify mass Third World immigration into all European-origin and European-founded nations, in an attempt to create the impression that First and Third World elements have somehow always been mixing. This lie must be cast aside for the hoax that it is.

THE ASYLUM RACKET

Traditionally, the concept of asylum was very limited, and usually only granted to small groups or individuals upon special request. The idea that hundreds of thousands, or even millions, of people could be granted asylum en masse, originated in the latter part of the 20th Century.

The first major international treaty on asylum only came after the Second World War, when, in 1951, the United Nations passed a Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees. This was based on the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the UN General Assembly in that year.

This was followed up with a 1967 Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, which was supposed to guide individual national legislation concerning political asylum.

Under these agreements, a refugee is defined as a person who is outside their own country's territory owing to fear of persecution on protected grounds. Protected grounds include race, nationality, religion, political opinions and membership and/or participation in any particular social group or social activities.

ASYLUM: THE REAL LEGAL OBLIGATIONS OF EUROPE

In Europe, the principal legislation covering the right to asylum is governed by the 1990 Dublin Convention (sometimes known as the Dublin Accords or Dublin System), which is part of a European Union (EU) law to streamline the application process for refugees seeking political asylum.

The purpose of the Dublin Convention was to clarify which member state is responsible for any particular asylum seeker, and ensure that at least one member state deals with the application, defined as the member state through which an asylum seeker first entered the EU. This was later amended with the Dublin II Regulation, which spelled out the responsibility of the first point of arrival nation with regard to asylum seekers.

What this in effect means is that asylum seekers entering European territory overland are formally only allowed to seek asylum in the first safe country of entry.

Few, if any, of the hundreds of thousands of 'asylum seekers' currently granted, or applying for, asylum in most

European countries do not qualify under the very simplest of asylum laws.

CASE STUDY: THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The United States of America accepts a specified number of legally defined refugees, who apply for asylum either overseas or after arriving in the US, on an annual basis. Refugees compose about one-tenth of the total annual immigration to the United States, though some large refugee populations are very prominent.

Since World War II, more refugees have found homes in the US than any other nation and more than two million refugees have arrived in the US since 1980. Of the top ten countries accepting resettled refugees in 2006, the United States accepted more than twice as many as the next nine countries combined.

Africa tops the list of American 'refugee-origin' countries, closely followed by East Asia, Central Asia, Latin America, the Caribbean, the Near East and South Asia. Every year, tens of thousands of 'refugees' are accepted for settlement and dispersion into all of the states of the Union. There are, for example, at least 150,000 Somali 'refugees' living in the United States as of mid-2007.

This 'refugee' policy is racially entirely one-sided: it is, almost without exception, only non-whites seeking 'asylum' in the United States of America.

From the location of the top originating 'refugee' regions: Asia, Latin and Central America, and the Caribbean; it is clear that these 'refugees' are simply economic migrants using the ruse of 'refugee' status. None of those regions has a major war, disaster or crisis which endangers the lives of hundreds of thousands, or millions, of people.

These 'refugees' are not fleeing war, or imminent threats to their lives. They are simply seeking a bite of the wealth which the First World population of North America has created.

The only ‘danger’ from which they are fleeing is the Third World itself, a culture which they have created. In other words, the only thing these ‘refugees’ are fleeing is the economic backwardness which they themselves have created in their home countries. If allowed to ‘flee’ in sufficient numbers to the First World, they will only succeed in transferring those conditions from the Third World to the First.

These same conditions apply also to Canada, Australia, and to a lesser extent, New Zealand (the latter simply because of its relative geographic isolation).

However, the original purpose of asylum has long been subverted. Masses of Third Worlders are entering European nations not out of fear for their lives, or any other legally stated reason, but simply because they seek to partake in what they perceive to be European wealth.

Simply put: the vast majority of Third World ‘asylum seekers’ into European nations are not fleeing persecution, but are simply fleeing their self-inflicted Third World chaos, lack of development and economic decline.

The boatloads full of Africans who daily cross the Mediterranean to land in southern Europe are not fleeing genocide, but are seeking to live in Europe because they perceive it to be ‘better’ than their home countries.

In a sense, they are of course correct. Europe, under European rule, is more orderly, more economically developed, and has a better infrastructure than Africa or much of the Third World. But therein lies the rub: it is that way because it is European—and that will be extinguished, as outlined in Chapter One of this book, if untold millions of Third Worlders continue to stream into Europe under the guise of ‘asylum’. Once enough Third World ‘asylum seekers’, combined with legal and illegal immigrants, are in Europe, then Europeans will be a minority, and the very attractiveness which brought the Third World to Europe will also vanish. It is clear from the numbers involved that the vast majority of modern ‘asylum seekers’ seeking entry into European nations are economic migrants, and nothing else.

The United Nations itself estimated that there were, in 2008, in excess of 16 million ‘asylum seekers’ in the world, all seeking new homes—and almost all of them have European nations in their sights as destinations.

It is simply impossible for that number of people to all be in genuine fear of persecution in their home countries. The practical experience in many European countries bears this out, as increasing numbers of ‘asylum seekers’ are exposed as frauds. Two prominent examples illustrate this point.

CASE STUDY: NORWAY

The first case took place in Norway, where immigration authorities announced in October 2006 that they suspected that as many as half of the would-be asylum seekers arriving from Somalia were bluffing their way in.

The Norwegian authorities said that many of the Somali asylum seekers claimed they were from the war-torn south, when they instead came from the more peaceful north and thus were ineligible for refugee status.

The authorities started using language tests in an effort to expose asylum seekers who lied about their background. Test results showed that as many as half spoke dialects found in the north, and not the south.

Most of those arriving from Somalia lack passports, and many also have scraped fingertips, making it difficult to determine their identity. More than 320 Somalians were asked to take language tests between January and July 2006, and 37 percent didn’t speak the dialect of the south. Test results were inconclusive in another 11 percent of the cases.

CASE STUDY: THE NETHERLANDS

The second internationally high profile case came with the admission, under pressure, that Somali ‘asylum seeker’ in the Netherlands, Ayaan Hirsi Ali, had simply lied to claim asylum in that country.

Ali claimed asylum in the Netherlands in the early 1990s by telling immigration authorities that she was fleeing the “violence and warfare in Somali.” She was promptly granted

asylum, and was later elected to the Dutch parliament, feted by that country's liberals. Great was their horror when a Dutch TV program, Zembla, proved that Ali had not even entered Holland from Somalia, but had spent more than ten years in reasonable comfort in Kenya before applying for asylum first in Germany and then in the Netherlands. She said she lied because she was afraid of being turned down a second time. Ali was stripped of her Dutch citizenship—and then immediately offered residence in America.

There are endless such stories, far too many to capture in print in this book, simply for space reasons. The point is that 'asylum' has, in the modern world, simply become an excuse rather than a reason for what would otherwise be illegal immigration.

No sane or reasonable person would want to deny refuge, even if only temporary, to anyone who is genuinely in fear of persecution, or in a life-threatening situation.

But, no sane or reasonable person would agree to millions of economic migrants, who will inevitably bring about the fall of the First World, if allowed into those nations in sufficient numbers, under the guise of 'asylum'. Quite apart from the highly destructive long-term implications of the asylum racket, there are also many short-term economic costs involved. Each European nation spends millions on housing, clothing, feeding, subsistence and even translation costs for these otherwise illegal immigrants. All of this money comes out of the taxpayers' pockets.

ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION

Illegal immigration is comprised of people—once again, overwhelmingly Third Worlders—simply entering other countries without bothering to obtain official leave in any form to do so.

Due to the illegal nature of their presence, it is often difficult to accurately quantify the numbers involved, but that it totals tens of millions in Europe and North America is beyond question.

CASE STUDY: THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The single largest source of illegal immigration into the United States of America is from Mexico, Central and South America. The main route of entry is across the Mexican American border. Liberals claim that the size of the Mexican American border makes it impossible to close—but this was also revealed as a lie in the weeks following the terrorist attacks of 9 September 2001, when the US Government did, for once, act decisively and close the border. During that time period, all border monitors reported an almost total cessation in border crossings.

Only when the ‘alert’ time period was suspended, did the illegal invasion start up once again—proof that it is not an issue of physical impossibility, but rather the lack of political willpower.

Current semi-official estimates are that the illegal alien population in 2007 is “above 13 million.” The true figure could easily be as high as 20 million, as in 2006 official US Government figures reported 11 to 12 million illegal aliens living in the United States.

The US-based Center for Immigration Studies estimated the illegal alien population at 10 million as of November 2004. US immigration officials estimate that the illegal alien population grows by as many as 500,000 every year, but, once again, there is no actual tally, leading to the speculative figures of 20 million or more. The Pew Hispanic Center has estimated that 56 percent of illegal immigrants come from Mexico.

While there is therefore no final figure, US Census Bureau figures, issued in August 2008, show that ‘Hispanics’ (who are the majority of the illegal alien population base) number some 28 million (2006 American Community Survey, US Census Bureau).

Given that Hispanics now outnumber blacks in America, and that this status was achieved within the last 20 years, it is obvious that immigration—and mostly illegal immigration—has been the main driver for the Hispanic growth rate till now.

The 28 million figure does not, of course, include all the Hispanics illegally present in the US. It is likely that a large

number of them would have either refused to take part in the census, or, because of the underground nature of their existence, would simply not register on the census radar. An illegal immigrant population in excess of 20 million in the United States of America would therefore not be that far-fetched.

The implications of such a massive Third World influx: socially, politically and, most importantly, racially, does not have to be underestimated. It is an invasion all by itself.

CASE STUDY: EUROPE

There are four major origin points for illegal immigration into Europe. They are:

- The North African and West African Atlantic Coast. This is the single largest originating source for illegal immigrants, who travel from as far away as central Africa. The major landing points are Italy, Malta and Spain.

- An Eastern Mediterranean route lands in Greece, making use of Turkey and other Middle Eastern countries as through routes.

- The Balkan route uses the countries in the Western Balkans as transit countries, targeting the neighboring EU states of Greece, Italy, Slovenia, Austria, Bulgaria, Romania and Hungary.

- The Central and Eastern European route uses the former Soviet Union states of Russia, Ukraine, Moldova and Belarus as transit areas to enter the EU via Poland, the Czech and Slovak republics as well as Hungary, Bulgaria and Romania.

It was widely estimated that in 2005, there were already at least eight million illegal immigrants in Europe. Official EU estimates are that a further 500,000 illegal immigrants enter Europe each year, meaning that this figure would be in excess of nine and a half million by 2008.

As official figures always tend to downplay reality, it is not beyond the bounds of possibility that there are already, in 2008, well in excess of ten or eleven million illegal immigrants in Europe.

2015: 300,000 NONWHITES INVADE EUROPE IN SIX MONTHS

According to figures released by the United Nations High Commission on Refugees (UNHCR), more than 300,000 nonwhite illegal immigrants landed in Europe in the first six months of 2015 alone (“The sea route to Europe: The Mediterranean passage in the age of refugees,” 1 July 2015).

Some 137,000 nonwhites crossed the Mediterranean on boats in those six months to land on Italian soil, over and above the 219,000 who arrived via that route in 2014, the UNHCR reported.

In addition to this, at least 68,000 nonwhites arrived in Greece during the first six months of 2015, while other estimates say that 5,000 nonwhites illegal immigrants per week—totaling 130,000, arrived in Greece during the same period.

Hungary has also been plunged into a “refugee” crisis with at least 65,000 nonwhites crossing its borders from Serbia this year.

The controlled media insists on falsely calling these illegals “migrants” as part of a deliberate program to disguise the extent of the nonwhite invasion.

This ongoing disaster has now been compounded by the addition of hundreds of thousands of refugees from the war zones of Iraq, Syria, and Libya—conflicts which are all the direct result of the US and UK governments’ needless interventions in those wars.

All these conflicts, created to help knock out enemies of Israel in the Middle East, have now inevitably resulted in a flood of refugees—and, with Israel implementing its own racially-based immigration policies, the only place for these Arabs to go is Europe.

Ever since the invasion of Iraq—done under the utterly false claims that Saddam Hussein was involved in the 9-11 attacks and that he possessed “weapons of mass destruction”—in 2003, it has been inevitable that radicals

would come to the fore, and it was only the secular dictatorship of Hussein which had kept that state stable.

CONCLUSION: THIRD WORLD INVASION OF THE FIRST WORLD

Two things must be borne in mind: Firstly, illegal immigration figures are in addition to the legal immigration statistics. Once the two are added together, the vastness of the scale of the racial demographic shift becomes staggering.

Secondly, as this population shift is exclusively from Third World to the First, and the figures are speeding up with each passing year, the crisis confronting the entire First World draws exponentially ever nearer.

CHAPTER THREE: THE UNITED KINGDOM RENT ASUNDER

Successive Conservative and Labor Party governments have, since the late 1940s, actively encouraged Third World immigration into Britain, particularly, but not exclusively, from former British colonial possessions around the globe.

As a result, the majority of these immigrants have come from three sources: India/Pakistan, the West Indies and West Africa. Official figures in 1998 said there were around 3.5 million such immigrants in Britain that year—out of a total population of some 58 million.

This figure was, however, an obvious underestimation, as it did not include illegal immigration, of which the Metropolitan Police estimated that there were at least half a million in greater London alone, never mind non-white concentrations in other large cities.

A more accurate figure for the total number of Third Worlders in Britain at the end of the 20th Century was probably closer to 5 million.

While this figure was high, it has in the interim been dwarfed by new immigration levels. According to an August 2008 study, some 2.3 million immigrants have officially come to the U.K. in the past 16 years, the vast majority of whom are from the Third World. (Of the 2.3 million, only 205,000, or 8 percent, came from the new East European members of the EU.)

Even more striking, a study commissioned by a Labor Party affiliated research group, the Institute of Public Policy Research (IPPR) has, in September 2008, revealed that, given present figures, Britain's immigrant population will top nine million in a little over two decades—an increase of more than two thirds over earlier estimates.

The IPPR forecast that there would be 9.1 million migrants from abroad by 2030 compared with 5.4 million in

2008. The increase of 3.7 million is the same as adding a city the size of Coventry to Britain's population every two years.

Even these estimates are open to fluctuation. In August 2008, French government statistics showed that more than 1,000 Third Worlders pour into Britain from northern France every month, and that in the six years since the closure of the Sangatte refugee camp near Calais, 85,000 have passed through French Channel ports to the UK.

ONE MILLION ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS IN 2007

Illegal immigration has also shown no sign of decreasing, and official figures fluctuate wildly. The most recent figure made public was one million illegals living in Britain in 2008.

This figure was contained in a report by an all-party group of Members of Parliament, and was significantly higher than the 600,000 previously estimated. According to the Office for National Statistics, the population of Birmingham in 2006 was 1,006,500.

In effect, Britain's population is growing by a minimum of a third of a million every year, almost exclusively due to immigration. At this rate, the UK will have to build the equivalent of the city of Birmingham every three years just to cope.

Figures from the Home Office have highlighted the scale of the task in removing illegal immigrants, showing that at the current rate of progress it would take more than a century to clear the backlog—meaning that most illegal immigrants are likely to live out their lives in the UK without interference from the authorities.

HALF OF ALL BABIES BORN IN 2007 TO IMMIGRANT MOTHERS

Another report in August 2008 from the Office of National Statistics showed that nearly 25 percent of all babies born in Britain were from 'foreign' mothers. The ONS said that 758,000 babies were born in Britain in 2007, and that births to foreign-born mothers rose to 160,340, or 23 percent of all live births.

More than half of all births in some towns and cities, including London (54%), Slough (56%) and Luton (51%), were to non-UK born mothers. This figure peaks at 75 percent in the London borough of Newham. As shocking as these figures are, they still do not reveal the full picture of the demographic change underway. The ONS report did not say how many of the 'non-foreign' mothers were in fact of non-European immigrant origin.

As the key areas reporting the biggest baby boom were London, West Midlands, Greater Manchester and West Yorkshire—all areas of long-settled Afro-Caribbean and Asian immigrants, it is highly likely that once these figures are added to the 25 percent 'foreign' birth rate, then as many as 50 percent of all babies born in Britain in 2008 were of Third World origin.

The ONS population report states that, on average, 'foreign' women have 2.5 children each, rising to 3.9 for those from Bangladesh and almost five for Pakistani women.

The number of babies born to British mothers is also rising, but lags far behind immigrants at an average of 1.7 children each. From this it is possible to deduce that white British mothers are only producing 1.5 children at a maximum, against a required replacement level of 2.1.

The ONS has also predicted that the British population could reach 71 million by 2031, with migrants and their UK-born children accounting for 70 percent of that growth.

This fast-moving trend means that babies born to immigrant mothers are set to become the main driver of Britain's population growth within the next few years, taking over from immigration itself.

This figure did not include births to second and third generation immigrant mothers. Figures released by the ONS in January 2009 revealed that the Muslim population in Britain had grown by more than 500,000 to 2.4 million in just four years. Their population multiplied ten times faster than the rest of society ("Muslim population 'rising 10 times faster than rest of society,'" the *Times*, January 30, 2009).

AT LEAST 69 IMMIGRANTS ENTER BRITAIN EVERY HOUR

The ONS also released official immigration figures in August 2008 which revealed that 69 people—mostly Third Worlders—entered the UK every hour.

According to the ONS, in the 2006/7 year period, a record 605,000 people moved to Britain—the equivalent of 1,650 a day. The number of foreigners living in Britain has increased by 1.1 million in three years—enough to fill a city the size of Birmingham.

In addition, more than 10 percent of all people living in Britain in 2008 were foreign born. Their rising birth rate has fueled an increase in Britain's population to nearly 61 million in 2007—an increase over 12 months of 388,000, equivalent to a city the size of Bournemouth.

The Annual Population Survey shows the number of foreign-born residents stands at 6.3 million, more than a tenth of the total population, up from 5.2 million in 2004.

In 2004 the number of people who became British citizens rose to a record 140,795—a rise of 12 percent on the previous year. This number had risen dramatically since 2000. The overwhelming majority of new citizens come from Africa (32%) and Asia (40%), with the largest three groups being people from Pakistan, India and Somalia.

In 2005, an estimated 565,000 migrants arrived to live in the UK for at least a year; most of the migrants were people from Asia, the Indian sub-continent and Africa, while 380,000 people emigrated from the UK for a year or more, with Australia, Spain and France the most popular destinations.

Britain's population will hit almost 80 million within two generations—with more people per square mile than anywhere else in Europe, according to a forecast made in August 2007 by the ONS, which said that Britain's population will hit 79.8 million by 2060.

More than half the growth—10 million people—will be a direct consequence of immigration, both people moving here

and established migrants having families.

WHITE BRITISH PEOPLE LEAVING IN INCREASING NUMBERS

The number of white British people leaving the country has also reached unprecedented levels. In the 2006/7 year, no less than 406,000 Britons left Britain for abroad. This was the highest level of white flight from the UK since records began. The most popular destinations for those seeking a new life include Australia, Spain, America, New Zealand and France.

A recent study found there were 1.3 million British-born emigrants living in Australia, with another 761,000 in Spain and 678,000 in the United States of America.

WHITE BRITISH TO BE A MINORITY WITHIN THREE GENERATIONS

When the illegal immigrant population estimate is added in, the final conclusion of all these figures is that the total population of the United Kingdom was, in mid 2007, some 62 million people, of whom at least 13 percent were of Third World origin. This translates to at least eight million Third Worlders already present in Britain.

Even this huge figure does not tell the entire story. Figures from the Department for Children, Schools and Families showed that in 2006, school pupils from the 'ethnic minorities' accounted for almost 22 percent of pupils at primary school and 17.7 percent at secondary level. This means that Third World-origin school children accounted for just under 20 percent of England's 6.5 million primary and secondary pupils in 2006—a doubling of the figure within one decade.

Across inner and outer London, black and Asian pupils outnumber white British children by about six to four. In secondary schools in Brent, north-west London, which is one of the capital's most non-white boroughs, only seven percent of pupils were of white British origin in 2006. A further 36 percent were classed as Asian and 24 percent were black.

In Tower Hamlets, east London, less than 15 percent of primary school children were classed as white British,

compared to 63 percent Bangladeshi Asian.

In Birmingham, which has around 300 schools, 57 percent of primary and 52 percent of secondary pupils are from non-white British families. In Leicester, Luton and Slough, white British pupils were also in a minority at both primary and secondary level.

Bearing in mind that these figures have doubled within 10 years, and that there is therefore an exponential growth rate involved, these figures mean that, well within the next two generations, immigrants and the children of immigrants, will form a majority of school children in Britain.

This means 'ethnic minorities' will simultaneously make up the majority of the country's population, and Britain will have changed from a First World Nation into a Third World nation—if nothing is done about the situation in the very near future.

THIRD WORLD INVASION CAUSES OVERCROWDING

The first and most directly felt effect of this massive immigration wave will be simple overcrowding. The population of the UK is already at record levels, and immigration has pushed population density in England to a higher level than any other major country in Europe.

Figures from the Office for National Statistics in 2008, show that there are on average of 395 people in every square kilometer in England.

This is an increase of five per sq km over the previous two years. The increase pushed England's population density above the previous highest figure set by the Netherlands. England is already more densely populated than India, and within the European Union, only the island of Malta has a higher population density. Britain's population is set to increase by about six million by 2031, of which 5 million (84%) will be due to immigration.

Eighty percent of net migration is to London and the South East where the population density is one of the highest

in Europe.

This overcrowding results in substantial congestion costs. A report by the Downing Street Strategy Unit suggested that the number of commuters to London is expected to increase by 10 to 20 percent by 2010.

THIRD WORLD INVASION CAUSES HOUSING SHORTAGE

Household projections are continuously revised to take account of the ever increasing immigration levels. In the period 1996 – 2021, the number of households is expected to increase by 4.5 million of which about one third will be a result of net inward migration. Meanwhile, a recent report on London, commissioned for the Mayor, predicted an increase in population of 700,000 over the next fifteen years to 8.15 million, much of this due to international migration. This will require 400,000 new houses and 130 new schools.

Legal immigration at the present projected rate will lead to a requirement of about 1.5 million houses in the period 2003—2026. Over the next twenty years, one in three new households will be the result of immigration. Since “brownfield” sites provide two thirds of new homes, net immigration is the main reason for greenfield development. The extra population also adds to the pressure on transport and water supplies, both of which are already facing serious difficulties.

ENGLISH SET TO BECOME MINORITY LANGUAGE IN LONDON

As of mid-2007, some 30 percent of London’s population was of Third World immigrant origin. This has led to children in London schools speaking more than 300 languages.

The rapid rate of racial demographic change has meant that the English language is fast becoming a minority language in the capital of Britain, and, unless the process is checked, will become extinct in the foreseeable future. In the last ten years, at least 600,000 white Londoners have left the city to be replaced by 700,000 non-white immigrants. This is changing

the whole nature of London and other major cities. Whites are set to become minorities in Leicester, Birmingham, Bradford and Oldham by 2016. In all of these cases, the extinction of the indigenous English culture will follow as surely as day follows night.

STATE SERVICES BEING DESTROYED BY THIRD WORLD IMMIGRATION

The massive Third World immigration wave is well on the way to destroying the state physical infrastructure, such as the National Health Service (NHS).

According to a survey by the Royal College of Midwives issued in 2008, the quality of NHS care has plummeted because ministers failed to predict a massive rise in the birth rate among immigrant mothers.

Four in 10 midwives questioned by the RCM said care was worse as a direct result of the rising birth rate—and it was putting mothers and babies at risk. Almost all—91 percent—said the birth rate had shot up on their wards over the past few years, putting their units under intolerable pressure. As a result, several maternity wards at NHS hospitals in areas which serve largely white areas of the country, have been forced to shut their doors for months at a time because staff were needed elsewhere to deliver babies from foreign-born mothers in immigrant-dense areas. The cost of providing maternity services for immigrants has more than doubled in only a decade to £350 million a year.

According to official Office for National Statistics (ONS) government estimates, the UK's population in 2010 was just over 61 million, of which 6,620,200, or 11 percent, were of nonwhite origin.

This figure is most likely inaccurate, as it does not include the estimated one million illegal immigrants which government sources estimated were present in Britain in 2008, and also the large number of persons of mixed racial origin. Immigration statistics produced by Professor David Coleman at Oxford University in 2010 predicted that white British people would become a minority in Britain by 2066. Among

younger age groups, this racial demographic swing will occur even sooner, he said. Furthermore, the age spread of the immigrant population has made it likely that white British people will become a minority within thirty or forty years.

THIRD WORLD INVASION CAUSES CRIME WAVE

Official figures from the Justice Ministry in Britain show that at least 11 percent of all prisoners in jail in mid-2008 were Muslim—a striking indication of that community's grossly disproportionate participation in crime in Britain.

In May 2003, Chris Fox, president of the Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO) told *The Observer* that “the mass movement of people around the world had brought new levels of organized crime, with drug dealing, gun offenses, prostitution and kidnapping.”

“Mass migration has brought with it a whole new range and a whole new type, from the Nigerian fraudster ... to the Jamaican concentration in drug dealing ... if you go into some of the cities, looking at the North, Bradford simmers, Blackburn simmers. It doesn't take much to disturb the balance, and I think we've got to be very careful to make sure that we're not overwhelming our current infrastructure.”

After the conviction of Danny and Ricky Preddie, the Jamaican murderers of black youth Damilola Taylor, an article by Minette Marrin in *The Sunday Times* of August 2006 pointed out that: “The government has been either unwilling or unable to control, or even to estimate the vast numbers of new arrivals. Only now is it beginning to wonder whether this influx was an entirely good thing.

At the time of Damilola's death the ethnic composition of north Peckham in Southwark, where the estate (where he died) lies, was 43.4% white, 15.9% black Caribbean, 26.6% black African, 4.1% black other, 7.9% Asian and 2.2% other ... Southwark today is still considered a high crime area by the Home Office and a high youth crime area ... Violent crime there has risen from 10,000 incidents in 2000–01 to 12,500 in

2005–06, even though huge sums of money have been thrown at the problem.”

In the second edition of *The Oxford Handbook of Criminology* (1997), David J. Smith writes: “The rate of imprisonment for drugs offenses was 10.8 times as high among blacks as among white men.”

The high crime rate among black immigrants in Britain has traditionally been ‘excused’ by allegations of ‘discrimination and police bias.’ This is, of course, nonsense. David Smith dealt with the topic this way: “Although some bias against black people has been demonstrated at several stages, and although some apparently neutral criteria have been shown to work to the disadvantage of black people, the magnitude of these effects seems small compared with the stark contrast in rates of arrest and imprisonment between black and white people.”

The Third Edition of the *Handbook of Criminology* (2002) shows Home Office statistics of the male prison population by ethnic group, 1985–99. In 1999, the number of white males in prisons was 49,961, the number of black males was 7,355, the number of South Asians was 1,895, and the number of Chinese and other was 2,081.

In the same handbook, Coretta Philips and Ben Bowling make the following observation: “Ethnic monitoring data on Britain’s prison population have been available since 1985. The first statistics revealed a marked over-representation of West Indians, Guyanese, and Africans within prisons among both males and females.”

North African, African, and Caribbean youth gangs are responsible for the rising incidence of group rapes. The victims are overwhelmingly white women. Scotland Yard reported: “Suspects of African and Caribbean appearance were identified in 49 percent of attacks. A further 13 percent were committed by men of Indian/Pakistani appearance.” In England, gang rapes and “jack rolling” (gangs of youths in cars block off streets and rape every woman caught in their net) were introduced into Britain by young blacks from Jamaica and South Africa.

CHINESE TRIAD GANGS OPERATING ALL OVER BRITAIN

British Police announced in September 2008 that a young Chinese couple murdered in their Newcastle flat in 2007 were victims of a mainland Chinese gang that targets Chinese students outside of their home country.

A ruthless Triad-style gang implicated in at least 15 violent crimes, including kidnaps and brutal assaults, were linked to the Newcastle murders.

Detectives investigating the deaths of Zhen Xing Yang and his girlfriend Xi Zhou, both 25, identified a criminal syndicate from Fujian province of China, a traditional Triad stronghold, which is preying upon young Chinese students across Britain.

Senior officers believe the syndicate, which has struck in London, Manchester and the north-east, targets Chinese students through on-line letting agencies. The gang, described by detectives as “serious operators”, have extensive links with Fujian, the birthplace of one of Britain’s biggest people-smuggling rackets, which is believed to have brought about 1,000 illegal immigrants into the country over two years.

Officers believe that such is the fear of organized gangs among the UK’s Chinese community that potential witnesses have been too frightened to contact police.

THE LONDON CASE STUDY

London is the ideal place to study the economic and social impact of Third World immigration into Britain. Not only does the capital contain more than half of the total number of the UK’s ethnic minorities, but demographic studies have shown that whites became a minority in London in 2010. Social and economic indicators from London, therefore, provide a valuable insight into the ‘contribution’ of Third World immigrants to Britain’s well-being, and these can then be posited against the liberal suggestion that immigrants bring ‘prosperity’ to Britain.

According to the Association of London Government (ALG) the capital has two-thirds of the most deprived local authority housing estates and three of the five most deprived boroughs in England.

Bearing in mind that London will be a majority non-White city by 2010, the racial implications of the ALG finding are obvious.

According to the Community Foundation, in its information pack 'About London', poverty in London is getting worse with growing numbers receiving Income Support and with a growing polarization of incomes since the 1980s. This poverty has a clear and obvious racial distinction to it, with the Community Foundation pointing out that one in three black and minority ethnic households is on a low income, compared with only one in six white households.

London has the highest rate of child poverty of any region in the UK. In the capital, 44 percent of children live in households where income, after housing costs, is half the national average, compared with 25 percent in the South East and 34 percent in the UK. Between 1998 and 2000, the rate of child poverty in London remained the same. Over the same period, it fell by 7 percent in the North East and 5 percent in the North West.

Unemployment in inner London is almost twice the national average—7.1 percent compared with 3.6 percent in the UK. In addition, some 42.1 percent of children in inner London are eligible for free school meals. This compares with an average of 15.8 percent of children nationally.

In December 2001, 10 of the 25 Parliamentary constituencies with the highest unemployment rates in the UK were in London. London is, says the Association of London Government, home to more unemployed people than any other region in the UK and more than the combined total of Scotland and Wales.

According to a report released by the office of the mayor of London in November 2002, more than half the 600,000 children living in inner London are being brought up below

the government's official poverty line. According to this report, 30 percent of inner London's working age adults are already below the poverty line.

The mayor of London's own report on poverty in the capital points out that poverty is highest among ethnic minority groups, with 73 percent of Pakistani and Bangladeshi children and 55 percent of black children living in poverty after housing costs.

The statistics speak for themselves. Instead of contributing an 'economically active' component to the British economy, Third World immigration has in fact massively exacerbated poverty in London.

London became a majority non-White city in 2010, according to that year's census. It is therefore sobering to learn that in 2007 already, the capital has 25 percent of England's problem drug users. There are an estimated 56,000 drug dependent adults in London.

The Metropolitan Police estimates that 30 percent or more of crimes such as burglary, shoplifting, fraud and theft are committed by drug users in London.

In the capital there are 158 detentions per 100,000 population compared to the next highest rate which is in the North West, with 99 per 100,000 population, and the national rate of 91 per 100,000 population.

Department of Health figures for 2000/01, which set out formal admissions to facilities under the Mental Health Act, show that London has the highest rate of mental health detentions in NHS hospitals.

Research carried out at Cambridge University has proven a close link between poverty and truancy among primary school children. The study, carried out at Magdalene College and School of Education, examined statistics on truancy from London boroughs between 1997 and 2000.

This research is significant when the Third World immigrant population of London's schools is evaluated. Bearing in mind that a significant proportion of London's

school-going age children are of Third World extraction, then, when combined with the endemic poverty in those communities, the question has to be asked: Does Third World immigration lead to academic excellence, and the building of a future population which is 'going to contribute to the economy', as the multiculturalists would have white Britons believe?

The sad truth is that "(T)housands of children in London do not go to school, either truanting or 'excluded' for misconduct," according to Moira Rayner, Director of the Office of Children's Rights, Commissioner for London.

Furthermore, she links truancy and misconduct directly to race: "These exclusions are linked to parental poverty, ethnic minority status and poor reading skills," says Ms. Rayner. And to what does this lead? Ms. Rayner provides the answer, "In London, five percent of all offenses are committed by children during school hours: 40 percent of robberies, 25 percent of burglaries, 20 percent of thefts and 20 percent of criminal damage in 1997 were committed by 10 to 16 year olds."

Other issues evident in London include:

- Inner London has double the national average of children from lone parent families.
- Four of the five local authority areas with the highest rates of teenage pregnancy are in London.
- The number of children looked after by social services in inner London is double the national average.

The amount British taxpayers have to fork out for this series of economic and social disasters in London is staggering. In the 2000/2001 financial year, the capital spent a total of £2.1 billion on social services.

According to the Government Office for London, by 2004, the most deprived boroughs in London would all receive an additional £34.5 million in funding, over and above 'normal' outlay.

In addition, London has around 52,000 homeless households—the equivalent of the population of a town the

size of Guildford—in temporary accommodation. Eight thousand are in bed and breakfast or hostel accommodation.

The net cost of homelessness to London after subsidy is more than £100 million a year.

The evidence is clear: the multiculturalists are lying when they say that Third World immigration is of benefit to Britain economically and socially. The truth, solidly documented above, shows the exact opposite, namely:

- London, which has borne the brunt of Third World immigration into the UK, has some of the most severe poverty issues in the UK;

- London, which has borne the brunt of Third World immigration into the UK, has pro-rata, the highest unemployment rate in the UK;

- London, which has borne the brunt of Third World immigration into the UK, has and continues to cost the British taxpayer a massive amount in terms of social support services;

The inescapable conclusion is that Third World immigration does not bring prosperity, but, in fact, imports large-scale poverty.

WHITE BRITISH CHILDREN ALREADY ETHNICALLY CLEANSED FROM SCHOOLS IN MAJOR URBAN AREAS

An analysis of official statistics released by the UK government's Department of Education in 2014 revealed that white British children have been effectively ethnically cleansed from most schools in greater London, Birmingham, Manchester, and parts of South Yorkshire and East Lancashire, with no less than 1,755 institutions—or one out of nine schools across the country—now having majority “non-English speaking pupils.”

While some of these “non-English speaking pupils” might be of Eastern European extraction, the vast majority are from the Third World, as a sampling of one of the now overrun schools reveals.

According to official statistics released by the UK government's Department of Education, the Maidenhall primary school in Luton, just to the north of London, boasts a total of 98.9 percent of pupils who do not speak English as their first language.

According to the report, children at that school speak no fewer than 14 different languages, including Pahari, Urdu, Bengali, Punjabi, Somali, Hindi, Gujarati, Tamil, Arabic, and Pashto. A small smattering speak Polish, Portuguese, and Spanish.

Head teacher at that school, Yasmine Dhillon, was quoted by media as saying that "Some of them have never seen the written word. At home the parents may not have any books, and when they come to us some of them only have words or phrases in English."

The "Top Twenty" overrun schools, as identified by the Department of Education, provide a good indication of the regions of Britain affected:

1. Sacred Heart Primary School, Sandwell, Birmingham: 99% non-English-speaking.

2. Field Lane Junior Infant and Nursery School, Kirklees, Yorkshire: 98.9% non-English-speaking.

3. Maidenhall Primary School, Luton: 98.9 non-English-speaking.

4. Ashfield Valley Primary School, Rochdale, Greater Manchester: 98.8% non-English-speaking.

5. Purlwell Infant and Nursery School, Kirklees, Yorkshire: 98.8% non-English-speaking.

6. Guru Nanak Academy, Hillingdon, Greater London: 98.7% non-English-speaking.

7. Redlands Primary School, Tower Hamlets, London: 98.6% non-English-speaking.

8. Keighley St Andrew's CofE Primary School and Nursery, Bradford, Yorkshire: 98.6% non-English-speaking.

9. Heybrook Primary School, Rochdale, Greater Manchester: 98.5% non-English-speaking.
10. Lomeshaye Junior School, Lancashire: 98.5% non-English-speaking.
11. Altmore Infant School, Newham, London: 98.3% non-English-speaking.
12. St Philip's CofE Primary School, Bradford, Yorkshire: 98.3% non-English-speaking.
13. Denbigh Primary School, Luton: 98.1% non-English-speaking.
14. Bradford Moor Community Primary School, Bradford, Yorkshire: 97.8% non-English-speaking.
15. Manorfield Infant and Nursery School, Kirklees, Yorkshire: 97.8% non-English-speaking.
16. Khalsa Primary School, Slough, Greater London: 97.8% non-English-speaking.
17. Montgomery Primary Academy, Birmingham: 97.7% non-English-speaking.
18. Savile Town Church of England Voluntary Controlled Infant and Nursery School, Kirklees, Yorkshire: 97.6% non-English-speaking.
19. Hyrstmount Junior School, Kirklees, Yorkshire: 97.6% non-English-speaking.
20. Springfield Primary School, Birmingham: 97.6% non-English-speaking.

In more than 200 schools, more than 90 percent of pupils do not speak English as their mother tongue—around 1.1 million children.

These figures do not include the children of already settled Third World immigrants, who are classed as “English-speaking,” so the actual total of nonwhite British pupils for the entire country is even less than what the official figures say.

70% OF SCHOOL CHILDREN IN LONDON WERE NONWHITE IN 2013

According to figures published by the UK's Department for Education (DFE) in 2013, nearly 70 percent of all school children in Greater London were already non-white. According to the figures, there are 498,445 pupils in total in London, which is divided into "Inner London" and "Outer London" for administrative purposes.

Inner London has a total of 176,920 pupils, of whom 81.3 percent of primary pupils are officially classified as "non-white British" by the DFE. For secondary schools in Inner London, the DFE says that 80.7 percent are "non-white British."

The suburb of Newham has a 92.4 percent "non-white British" level at primary school level, and the borough with the lowest number of non-whites in primary schools is Hammersmith and Fulham—and there they constitute 73.7 percent of the total. At secondary school level in Inner London, the picture is much the same. Some 80.7 percent of pupils in secondary schools in Inner London are "non-white British," with 90 percent of pupils in Newham being the "most" and Hammersmith and Fulham being the "fewest" with 69.7 percent. Outer London has a slightly larger number of white British pupils, the direct result of white flight from Inner London. Even there, however, the prodigious reproduction and immigration rate from the Third World, has ensured that white British kids are in a minority.

In Outer London, some 62.1 percent of primary school children are "non-white British," and 58.7 percent of secondary school children are "non-white British."

These figures mean that in total for all of Greater London, some 67.25 percent of all school pupils are "non-white British."

For all of England (as opposed to all of Britain) the number of non-white British pupils in primary schools is 27.1 percent, and in secondary schools some 23.4 percent.

These figures mean that, given current immigration and Third World reproduction rates, non-whites will be the majority of the population in Britain aged 21 and under by the year 2030. After that, it will be less than another two generations and Britain will be almost entirely non-white.

69% OF SCHOOL CHILDREN IN BIRMINGHAM WERE NONWHITE IN 2013

A 2013 report issued by the Birmingham Community Safety Partnership (BCSP) has revealed that 69 percent of all school pupils in the city are nonwhite and that whites have now effectively been ethnically cleansed from Britain's second largest city. The Birmingham figures mean that whites have now been replaced as the majority young population in both of Britain's two largest cities—with the third largest city, Manchester, not far behind.

Birmingham also has the greatest number of schools—22 in all—which have no white pupils at all. Oldham, a large town within Greater Manchester, currently has eight schools where there are no white pupils.

According to BCSP report, at one school in Birmingham, students speak 31 languages. All the teachers at the appropriately named English Martyrs School in Sparkhill, Birmingham, specialize in English as a second language and the school employs translators.

The report showed that 25 percent of Birmingham's residents were born outside of Britain. The largest group are Asians—in the UK sense this means Indian or Pakistani—who now comprise 44 percent of all schoolchildren (13,248 pupils) in the city.

There are, the report reveals, only 9,337 pupils classified as white, while black pupils total 3,859, or 13 percent.

In total there were 31,737 pupils from 87 separate ethnic groups in Birmingham's schools in 2011.

The children spoke a total of 108 languages at home, with English being the most common, followed by the Asian tongues Urdu, Punjabi and Bengali, and then Somali.

These statistics make it clear that only a halting, *and a reversal*, of the immigration policies of the past few decades can prevent this problem from threatening the very existence of Britain as a First World nation.

CHAPTER FOUR: EUROPE UNDER ATTACK, PART I—PORTUGAL, SPAIN, FRANCE, BELGIUM AND THE NETHERLANDS

The nations traditionally known as Western Europe are under a fierce and sustained immigration threat from assorted Third World countries. In one year alone, 2005, the European Union had an overall net gain from international migration in excess of 1.8 million people—which accounted for 85 percent of Europe's total population growth in that year.

Non-white immigration into Europe first started in significant numbers during the late 1950s and sped up considerably from the 1970s. The exponential growth in immigration figures, combined with natural reproduction rates, means that the Third World population in Europe is doubling every twelve to fifteen years.

This rate of growth, left unchecked, means that most parts of Western Europe will have an outright non-white majority population well before the 21st Century is out. Behind these huge population shifts are criminal human traffickers—who, according to the United Nations' report of 2006, are overwhelmingly Nigerian in origin. Each illegal can pay up to €3000 for the ride to Europe's coastline.

For example: Between January 1, and August 17, 2006, 16,607 sub-Saharan Africans had arrived in the Canary Islands. One week later the total number of arrivals had risen to nearly 19,000, and over one weekend (19/20 August 2006) no less than 1,268 arrived. It is little wonder that Canary Island authorities speak of an 'invasion' or an 'avalanche.'

The vast majority of the arrivals are young men, and none of them have identification papers, so that their country of origin cannot be traced. (They are instructed by the trafficking organizations to dispose of their passports.) The Spanish authorities then transfer most of these 'sin papeles' (undocumented illegal immigrants) to the mainland where they

are subsequently set free. They receive a written 'Expulsion Order' which says that they must leave the country. Most of them then acquire forged identity papers and travel on unhindered through the rest of the EU, which has largely abandoned cross border checks within its boundaries.

However, it is not only Africans who are entering Europe in such large numbers, but Middle Easterners, South Americans and Far Easterners as well—in fact, from almost any non-white nation one could name.

The figures are eye-opening:

- In excess of six million Turks can be found in Germany, France, the Netherlands and Austria;
- More than six million North Africans (Arabs and Berbers) are concentrated in France, the Netherlands, Belgium and Sweden;
- Some six million black Africans (including Afro-Caribbeans and others by descent) are in France, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and Germany;
- Some five million South Asians are in the United Kingdom, Germany, the Netherlands and Italy;
- Over three million Pakistanis and Tamils are found in the United Kingdom;
- Nearly three million Latin Americans are to be found in Spain and Italy;
- Over a million and a half Kurds can be found in Germany;
- A million Chinese can be found in France, the United Kingdom and the Netherlands;
- More than half a million Filipinos can be found in the United Kingdom, Italy and Germany;
- More than half a million Vietnamese can be found in France and Germany; and
- Hundreds of thousands of Somalis can be found in the UK, the Netherlands and Scandinavia.

A nation-by-nation study reveals not only the numbers, but also the immediate social discordance these waves of Third Worlders cause—primarily reflected in the immigrant crime wave which accompanies these peoples as they transfer the lawless culture of their indigenous lands to Europe.

1. PORTUGAL

As of 2007 Portugal had 10,617,575 inhabitants of whom about 332,137 were legal immigrants, mostly from the Third World. By the end of 2003, legal immigrants represented about 4.1 percent of the population.

This is a dramatic increase, considering that in 1992, only 1.3 percent of the population was foreign-born. This does, of course, exclude a significant number of illegal immigrants, of whom there is no exact number available.

The majority of African immigrants come from the former Portuguese colonies in Africa: Cape Verde, Angola, Guinea-Bissau, São Tomé and Príncipe, Mozambique and former Portuguese India in Asia.

Since 2003, most immigrants have come from Brazil, China and the Indian subcontinent. Family reunification was seen as important for a successful integration in the country, thus the government eased it, and in 2006, more than six in ten new immigrants were family members of legal foreign residents in the country.

Official statistics from 2006 showed that the new influx of legal immigrants in Portugal boosted the resident population by 50 percent. According to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, Portugal is one of a handful of EU countries registering high numbers of ‘new’ foreigners, on a par with Sweden, Ireland and Denmark.

CRIME AND IMMIGRATION IN PORTUGAL

Illegal immigration is a major concern and is often associated with criminal activity. Official Portuguese police figures have also shown that second generation immigrants, who hold Portuguese nationality, are more violent and tend to

have a greater than average percentage of criminals than the newer arrivals.

Crime has thus become a major source of discontent, and sentiment that Portugal was becoming increasingly unsafe, led to the dismissal of Internal Administration Minister Fernando Gomes in 2001 after the first—and now regular—great waves of non-white gang crime struck the country's prime tourist areas. The gang crime wave sees large groups of non-white youths, many of them second- or third-generation immigrants, mainly African in origin, wreaking havoc on commuter train lines and robbing convenience stores.

Portugal, which traditionally had a relatively low violent crime rate, has also seen a marked rise in that sort of offence, and the introduction of international organized crime—mainly from Brazil and Turkey.

The Brazilian crime groups are mostly associated with robbery, and the Turkish groups are generally known for their drug trafficking—which includes a number of gangs particularly active in the greater Lisbon and greater Porto areas.

In 2005, some 12 percent of prisoners in Portuguese jails were foreigners, according to government figures. Most of these prisoners are incarcerated for violent crimes, which were almost unheard of before the immigration wave started.

2. SPAIN

Since 2000, Spain has absorbed more than three million immigrants, boosting its population by ten percent. By 2005, the Third World immigrant population had topped 4.5 million—with the vast majority being Moroccan, Ecuadorian and Colombian. In that year, a regularization program increased the legal immigrant population by 700,000 people.

Since 2005, the immigrant population has continued to grow by leaps and bounds, and by 2008 it was estimated that the Third World element had reached 15 percent—out of a total population of some 40.5 million.

According to official Spanish government figures, there were 5,220,000 foreign residents in Spain in January 2008. While a number of these were of Eastern European origin, the vast majority were of Third World extraction, including in excess of 100,000 Chinese.

The growing immigrant population is the main reason for the slight increase in Spain's fertility rate. From 2002 through 2008 the Spanish population grew by eight percent—of which six percent were of foreign extraction. The large numbers of South American immigrants have brought with them a vicious gang culture to many of Spain's cities since 2005. Rooted in well-established gangs among Hispanic populations in North and Latin America, groups such as the Latin Kings, the Netas (the Newborns), the Forty Twos, the Chicagos or even the bizarrely named Dominicans Don't Play, have made swift inroads among the city's rocketing immigrant population. Imported into Spain, they have preyed on widespread unemployment, alienation and social problems amid the massed arrivals from former colonies in the past few years—the first major wave of immigration experienced by Spain—to recruit hundreds of teenagers.

SPECIAL POLICE UNITS CREATED TO FIGHT SOUTH AMERICAN GANGS

Madrid's police have been forced to create an 'anti-Latin gang brigade' as a result. One continuing police operation was sparked when gang members' families complained to the police that financial blackmail and threats of extreme violence were being used to keep teenagers from leaving the gangs. A seized code of gang laws says the Latin Kings' punishment for even minor disloyalty involves the offender being beaten unconscious by up to ten other gang members. Female traitors are repeatedly raped. Analysts differ over the nature of the gangs. For Santiago Botello and Angel Moya, authors of a book on the Latin Kings, they are "thugs and delinquents."

Huge police operations in Madrid targeting senior gang figures have reaped success. In June 2008, Eric Javier Velastegui, 27, an Ecuadorean immigrant known as the Godfather, or the Black Wolverine, received a 40-year

sentence for rape, violent assault and kidnapping. Using detailed profiles of gang members found at Velastegui's home, police arrested hundreds more suspects, some as young as 13.

The roots of the gangs' growth lie in the rapid immigration from former colonies to Spain. According to Madrid city statistics from 2005, one in every six of its three million inhabitants was foreign-born and the immigrant population had more than tripled since 2000.

Official figures show that one third of Spain's prison population are immigrants from non-European countries. According to figures released in August 2006, the total number of prisoners was 64,042—of whom 19,919 were not originally from Spain. This figure does not include second or third generation immigrants, who constitute a hugely disproportionate number of violent criminals in Spain but who are classed by official figures as 'Spanish.'

With the number of immigrant prisoners growing so rapidly, Spanish jails are past overflowing, and the Spanish General Directorate for Penitential Institutions (DGIP) has formally requested the government to deport immigrants convicted in Spain back to their countries of origin.

In response to growing popular concern, the Spanish government announced in late 2008 that it would stop issuing visas to low-skilled workers, such as those employed in restaurants and shops by 2009.

This followed an earlier measure to restrict family reunion visas, which the government said would cut immigration by 40 percent.

3. FRANCE

The estimates of non-European immigrants—and their descendants—living in France vary greatly. However, one thing is definite: France has one of the highest numbers of non-European resident immigrant populations in all of Europe, and has paid a dear price for this indulgence.

The first large-scale migration of non-Europeans into France after the end of the last world war occurred in the

1960s and 1970s, when around one million entered the country from the Maghreb (North Africa).

Subsequent immigration from that region, and the rest of North Africa, has led to a mainly Algerian-descended population of at least three million, many of whom are already third or fourth generation inhabitants. In addition, France has seen a massive immigration wave from French-speaking former colonies in sub-Saharan Africa. More than one million direct legal immigrants from Africa and the West Indies were admitted to France during the last decade and a half of the 20th Century. In addition, there have been high rates of immigration from Vietnam and Cambodia.

France is also the leading asylum destination in Western Europe with an estimated minimum of 50,000 applications per year. In 2005, more than 70 percent of family migration was from Africa. Migrants from the Maghreb alone made up 48.6 percent of family migration.

In 2005, work- and visitor-related flows accounted for only 6.6 percent and 3.6 percent of permanent flows respectively, while refugees constituted 10.1 percent of permanent flows. In the same year, Africans made up 63 percent of all permanent migrants and more than half of these Africans were from Algeria (28.9 percent) or Morocco (23.4 percent).

Excluding the descendants of already legally admitted non-European immigrants, the French National Institute of Statistics (INSEE) estimated that there were 4.9 million foreign-born immigrants in France in 2006. This means that fully eight percent of the population in that year were new arrivals in France.

To this figure must be added the numbers of French citizens who are second or more generation descendants of immigrants from the Third World. In 1999, the INSEE estimated that this figure was around 6.7 million. According to a 2004 study, there were approximately 14 million persons of foreign ancestry, defined as either immigrants or people with at least one parent, grandparent, or great-grandparent immigrant.

These are, of course, only the official figures, and do not include illegal immigration, which has been, and continues to be, substantial. In 2004, it was estimated that the total legal and illegal immigrant presence in France was approximately 14 million individuals. Although it is possible that a small number of these immigrants might be European in origin (as French law forbids the recording of racial origins) this figure is unlikely to be very large. Thus a reasonable estimate of the total number of Third World origin immigrants—and their descendants—in France would most likely be in the region of at least 13 million or so.

Considering that France's total population in July 2008 was some 60.8 million, it is a reasonable estimate to assume that, in total, some 21 or 22 percent of the population was non-European in origin.

Of that population, at least six or seven million are Muslims from North Africa and the Middle East, making France one of the foremost outposts of the Islamification of Europe.

RAMPANT NONWHITE VIOLENCE AND RIOTS PLAGUE MAJOR CENTERS

The price France has paid—and continues to pay—for this immigration wave is huge. In 2005, thousands of immigrant youths—many second or third generation—engaged in a wholesale orgy of destruction which was so large that it captured international media attention. Sparked off by the death of a non-European youth who was fleeing the police, the violence engulfed 274 towns and cities across France. At least 8,973 vehicles were torched, 2,888 immigrants were arrested, 126 fire fighters and policemen were injured and some €200 million damages were inflicted in the overtly racially-linked non-white violence.

Typically, the blame was laid at the door of the white citizens of France, who were accused of causing the unrest because of their alleged 'racism' although they had consistently voted for parties that had embraced the Third World immigration wave.

Whites were blamed for the non-white unemployment rate, cited as a major factor in the 2005 riots. Official figures showed that from 2003 to 2005, native-born French citizens had an average unemployment rate of 9.1 percent; foreign-born French citizens, 15.6 percent; and foreigners who were not French citizens, 26.2 percent.

It is in the criminal justice system that the disparities between the non-white and white elements in France's population are the most evident. According to government statistics, immigrants and their descendants are overwhelmingly overrepresented in criminal activity. In May 2008 it was revealed, for example, that an astonishing 70 percent of the prison population in France were Muslim. Given that not all non-European immigrants in France are Muslim, the total non-white prison population of France is probably even higher than the 70 percent figure which caused so much shock. Given the rapid reproduction rates of the immigrant population—which has more than trebled in less than 20 years—it is highly likely that, unless halted and reversed, France may very well become the first Muslim state in Europe.

MARSEILLES SET TO BE FIRST MAJORITY MUSLIM CITY IN EUROPE

The 2014 announcement that a “Grand Mosque” will be built in the southern French city of Marseilles symbolizes more than just a growing Muslim population—it is indicative of a far wider demographic trend which will see France's oldest city become western Europe's first majority Islamic metropolis by 2030.

In 2012, after a lengthy court battle, an appeals court gave the go-ahead for the building of the Grand Mosque in Marseille's northern Saint Louis area. The 22-million-euro (\$28-million) project will create a Grand Mosque, boasting a minaret soaring 25-meters (82-feet) high and room for up to 7,000 worshippers.

According to the Mosque's official website, there are “more than 250,000 Muslims” in the city, mostly of Sunni origin. The site goes on to list their origins as follows:

Algerians 90,000–100,000; Comorians 60,000–70,000; Tunisians 25,000–30,000; Africans 10,000–15,000; Moroccans 15,000–20,000; Middle East 10,000–15,000; and Converts other 7,000–15,000.

There are already more than 65 “Muslim places of worship” across the 16 districts of the city, located in areas with a strong Muslim presence in the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th and 16th districts.

In 2010, the city’s total population was estimated at 850,000, which means that at least thirty percent of the city is Muslim.

This does however, not provide the full picture. According to the latest city statistics, 41.8 percent of those aged 18 and under are “of foreign descent”—this means that, given continued immigration and natural reproduction rates, Marseilles will be majority nonwhite within fifteen years.

Marseilles is France’s third largest city, and also the country’s oldest. The French national anthem, *La Marseillaise*, comes from the time when the city’s volunteer revolutionaries sang the song, and many of the city’s highlights—such as the central arch on the *Porte d’Aix*, date from a time when France was French. Now, however, it sits at the epicenter of the nonwhite invasion, and is surrounded by streets which are straight out of North Africa, with street merchants selling *djellabas*, Islamic veils—and travel agencies specializing in pilgrimages to Mecca.

As the third world chaos engulfs the city, Marseilles is also now officially “Europe’s most dangerous place to be young.”

Proportionally, Marseilles (population 800,000), now has almost as many drug-related murders as New York (population 8,000,000) and in 2011, at least 300 AK-47s were seized by police in their ongoing—and losing—war against the nonwhite drug gangs which have swamped southern Marseilles.

4. BELGIUM

Although geographically a small country, Belgium is one of the most densely populated countries on the mainland of Europe. In July 2008, official figures estimated that some 10.4 million people were living in some 30,000 square kilometers—and at least ten percent of the population were foreign born.

This figure did not include either foreigners residing illegally in Belgium, or Belgians of foreign origin, i.e., people who had gained Belgian nationality by various means. The Belgian authorities estimated that at least another two percent of the population were undocumented—illegal, in other words. If this figure is added to the total, then the foreign-born population of Belgium is above the 12 percent mark.

The foreign population is concentrated in the cities—as is the case all over Europe. In Brussels, the foreign-born population makes up 28.5 percent of the city's 970,000 residents. Third World immigrants are mostly Moroccans, Turks and Congolese, the latter from the ex-Belgian colony in Africa. In 2008, about half of the Moroccan community were younger than 15, which means that well within their lifetime, Brussels, the capital of the EU, will be a massively majority Third World—and Muslim—city. Before the end of 2009, Brussels will tip over the 50 percent non-Belgian threshold, and become a majority non-European city.

One of the biggest reasons for the dramatic growth in the Moroccan community is the fact that once established, that community tends to marry within its own ranks—and as a result, pulls increasing numbers of other Moroccans in via family reunion visas. As time has gone by, the number of Moroccans living in Belgium choosing a Moroccan marriage partner has steadily increased, from 41.4 percent in 1979 to 65.4 percent in 2003.

MOUREAUX-VILLE: “AFRICA IN BRUSSELS”

Brussels is also home to a large sub-Saharan community, which now includes Angolans, Cameroons, Ghanaians and Nigerians, most of whom arrived during the waves of immigration in the 1990s. They are concentrated in the western borough of Molenbeek—which is also Brussels' most dangerous no-go area. The suburb is overtly Third World—

the pavements have been broken up so the boulders and paving stones can be used for throwing, windows of the houses have been smashed, car wrecks are parked along the curb and the streets are littered with stinking garbage. De Morgen newspaper described 'Moureaux-ville' as "a part of Africa in Brussels."

THIRD WORLD CRIME WAVE IN BELGIUM

As is the pattern for Third World immigrants to First World nations everywhere, unemployment amongst the non-white immigrant population is 33 percent, five times as high as for native Belgians. This is in part driven by the fact that government statistics show that children of Third World immigrants are far less likely to finish high school, and are thus economically and socially at the very bottom of the ladder. It is, however, merely in tune with conditions in their native lands, where similar sorts of social conditions exist. All that has happened is that the Third World immigrants have transferred their culture to Belgium with them.

This is reflected in the social discordance being experienced in Belgium today: the drugs trade, which is overwhelmingly Moroccan in origin, and the periodic bouts of urban unrest which have seen riots erupt every year around Ramadan.

As is the case everywhere else in Europe, non-white immigrants and their descendants are overrepresented in Belgium's jails, with Muslims making up at least 16 percent of the prison population.

THE MYTH OF "ECONOMIC GROWTH" THROUGH INTEGRATION

A 2013 analysis issued by Belgium's second largest party, Vlaams Belang (VB), showed that Third World immigration does not contribute to building European economies, but is actually a burden on the economy. According to the report, "crippling unemployment rates among immigrants" are always blamed on 'racism' and 'exclusion' but this is just a feint designed to blame Europeans.

“We [in Belgium] get the low-level and unskilled workers,” the report said, pointing out that this has become even more of a problem because multinational industrial activities have largely relocated their factories to low-wage countries such as China.

Moreover, low-skilled migrants in the US and [elsewhere] do not have the same extensive welfare net to fall back on, as they do in Belgium.

“In Belgium, the social safety net has, for many migrants, become a hammock,” the article said, adding that this situation does not “invite self-reliance and integration.”

Furthermore, there is no “multicultural enrichment” either, unless one counts rising crime, intolerance against “infidels”, forced marriages, head scarves and burkas, honor killings, or genital mutilation of girls and women as a positive contribution, the VB report said.

“The Central Planning Bureau in the Netherlands calculated that an average immigrant costs 230,000 euros in welfare, while contributing 226,000 euros.

“The news magazine *Elsevier* calculated the total cost of immigration in the Netherlands was currently at 200 billion euros, and research organization Nyfar said that immigration of non-Western immigrants cost 7.2 billion euros per year. Economists suggest that immigration benefits us, but there is no profit in it, because they too often rely on benefits and cause welfare costs to increase. Others say it is a solution to the problem of an aging population. Nonsense!”

Dutch economist Van Dalen has proven that it is “fooling the people” to present immigration as a solution to the aging population problem.

“A simple calculation quickly makes clear that immigration in such colossal numbers will create new problems which will overshadow the old ones.

“Van Dalen calculated that under this false scenario, the Netherlands would have a population of 109 million inhabitants by 2100. And yet there are in the EU and the UN

to find fools who advocate such a scenario: the importation of millions of new immigrants.

“It is collective suicide ... And we have not even mentioned the social problems. Mass immigration undermines the cohesion of society and creates increasing segregation and crime. Where are the famous ‘benefits’?” Van Dalen showed that the answer was educating our own people as a cheaper option than importing immigrants.

“Why then do some employers and leftist churches—a bizarre unholy alliance between ‘big business’ and the immigration lobby—still demand open borders?”

“CAPITAL OF EUROPE” TO BE OVERRUN

In 2012, Brussels, the unofficial “capital of Europe” was already more than 25 percent Muslim, and when other non-Muslim Third World immigrants are added in, at least 57 percent of the city’s population was of non-European in origin.

Given that the “foreign-born” proportion of Brussels in 1961 was only 7.3 percent—and most of that was Europeans of other nationalities—it can be seen that white European people are set to be ethnically cleansed from the headquarters of the EU well within the next two decades.

According to calculations Belgian sociologist Jan Hertogen, the transformation of Brussels is “an impressive and unique evolution in a European or even world perspective.” His figures showed that in 1991, 28.5 percent of the Brussels population was of “foreign nationality,” in addition to 4.5 percent naturalized or “new Belgians.”

In 2005 the total number of foreigners was around 26.3 percent, but the total number of “new Belgians” grew to 30.2 percent.

Now, a new study, issued by the Catholic University of Louvain in Belgium has revealed that “more than 250,000 residents out of a total population of one million in Brussels have Muslim roots.” The study was carried out by Felice Dassetto, a sociologist and a professor at the university who is

considered to be an expert in issues relating to Muslims in Belgium.

Brussels now accounts for half of the total number of Muslims in Belgium. The study said that the “Islamic presence is becoming more and more visible in Brussels with more mosques and minarets, more women wearing a veil and more Muslim organizations.”

Furthermore, the study says, the “Islamic faith has the power to mobilize people to a very big extent, more than, for example, the Catholic Church or political parties.”

5. THE NETHERLANDS

According to the latest official government figures, about 20 percent of the population of the Netherlands is defined as having “non-Western” roots. This translates to about 3 million out of the total population of 16.6 million—with at least one and a half million being Muslims, mostly from Turkey and Morocco. Among the young in the big cities such as Rotterdam, these immigrants are already in the majority.

As the result of immigration, the Netherlands is already a society which is under massive pressure. The ritual murder of film-maker Theo van Gogh by a Muslim extremist—committed in broad daylight in a public street—combined with seemingly never-ending streams of Third World immigration, has caused many Hollanders to panic. Dutch emigration rates are at an all time high, and in 2005 some 121,000 whites left the country—up from 29,000 in 1999.

Interviewed by the *New York Times*, one of the Dutch émigrés, Ruud Konings, an accountant, explained why he and his family were leaving for Australia. The couple said the main reason was their fear for the welfare and security of their two teenage children.

“When I grew up, this place was spontaneous and free, but my kids cannot safely cycle home at night,” said Mr. Konings, 49. “My son just had his fifth bicycle stolen.” At school, his children and their friends feel uneasy, he added. “They’re afraid of being roughed up by the gangs of foreign kids.”

AMSTERDAM AND MAJOR CITIES TURNING MAJORITY NON-WHITE

Dutch demographers say their country has undergone one of Europe's fastest and most far-reaching demographic shifts, with about 10 percent of the population now foreign born, a majority of them Muslims. Moroccans and Turks disdain liberal Dutch ways and are actively refusing to adapt, instead choosing to turn their parts of Holland into Muslim homelands. There are now in excess of 450 mosques in Holland.

Third World "youths" now make up more than half the prison population, and more 40 percent of Third World immigrants receive some form of government assistance, steadily sucking the white Dutch taxpayers dry. In 2003, the then minister for immigration and integration, Rita Verdonk, said she wanted to make parents financially responsible for the damage caused by "trouble kids" of immigrant families. In reaction to a study that showed Moroccan gangs were terrorizing an old people's home and a mental asylum in the Dutch capital, she said the limits had been reached. Of the 735,000 inhabitants of Amsterdam, only 390,000 were from the Netherlands, with the rest being of immigrant origin.

TWO THIRD OF NON-WHITE IMMIGRANTS FAIL SCHOOL

Some two thirds of Third World immigrant children fail to finish school, and, like their compatriots everywhere else in First World countries, they resort to crime and violence, as is the case in the countries of their parents' origin.

The Dutch capital is also becoming increasingly racially segregated as whites flee the non-white mayhem. A 2004 report by the Amsterdam city council showed that Moroccans, Turks and Dutch natives increasingly separated themselves in city districts.

The 'Diversity and Integration Monitor' found that there were a rising number of areas where 70 to 80 percent of the population was made up of what it called 'non-Western'

immigrants, with the highest percentages being found in Zuidoost, Zeeburg and parts of Nieuw-West.

Predictably, the council report said that areas with large immigrant populations were the most unattractive places in terms of the housing market. Problems such as unemployment, social security dependence and school absentee rates were prevalent and contact with Dutch natives was infrequent.

CRIME AND IMMIGRATION IN THE NETHERLANDS

Dutch statistics, based on police records, reveal that, on average, males in migrant groups are suspected of having been involved in crime two and one-half times as much as males in the native population. Females score low across the board, but the crime rate for females with an immigrant background is almost three times higher than for females in the native population.

Unemployment figures among young non-white immigrants, or children of Third World immigrants, have risen to over 30 percent. The high unemployment rates can be attributed to low educational qualifications of immigrant groups, which belies the argument that the immigrants are “benefiting the economy.”

According to Paul Scheffer, professor of Urban Sociology at Amsterdam University, up to 60 percent of first-generation Turkish and Moroccan populations are unemployed.

Dutch Police data for 2002 showed that 37.5 percent of all recorded crime suspects living in the Netherlands were of foreign origin (including second generation non-whites). The proportion of these persons in the suspect population was therefore almost twice as high as the share of immigrants among the Dutch population. The highest suspect rates per capita were found among first (4.9) and second (7.1) generation male migrants from a ‘non-Western’ background.

NON-WHITES MAJORITY OF “DUTCH” PRISON POPULATION

More than half the prison population in the Netherlands is foreign-born, according to the official Dutch Central Bureau of

Statistics (CBS). The statistics revealed that a “disproportionate share of foreign-born prisoners have been sentenced on the basis of drug laws (predominantly production, trafficking, and sale).”

For example, in 2004, more than one-third of the sentences of Surinamese (35 percent) and Antilleans (38 percent) related to drug offenses, compared with 15 percent for native inmates. According to 2005 figures from the CBS, large groups came from Surinam, the Dutch Antilles, Morocco, Turkey and Algeria. Research by the Open Society Institute, an advocacy organization, shows that in the Netherlands, 20 percent of adult prisoners and 26 percent of all juvenile offenders are Muslim. Even this figure is underestimated, as it only deals with ‘foreign-born’ and not with second or third generation non-whites born in the Netherlands.

In 2001, Jacques Wallage, the mayor of Groningen (a town in the north of the Netherlands), caused considerable commotion by declaring that the crime rate among asylum seekers in the Groningen region was five times as high as that among the regular Groningen population.

THE “HELPING EUROPE’S ECONOMY” MYTH SMASHED BY DUTCH GOVERNMENT REPORT REVEALS NONWHITE “IMMIGRANT” PARASITISM

The myth that “immigration is necessary to bolster European economies” was once again decisively smashed in 2014 with an official Dutch government report which showed that nonwhite immigrants in Holland mostly live off state welfare instead of contributing to the economy.

The report, produced by the Dutch government’s socio-cultural think tank SCP, showed that the unemployment rate among nonwhites in Holland is more than triple that of the white Dutch people. Young Moroccans in particular, most of whom, the report says, leave school without any qualifications, are some of the worst offenders, with an unemployment rate—and a state welfare use rate—of well over 50 percent.

According to the report, invaders who moved to the Netherlands as “refugees” are the most likely to live on

welfare benefits. Half of Somali “refugees”, for example, claim welfare. One in seven adults and one in four children with a “non-western” background live in poverty, the report said.

CHAPTER FIVE: EUROPE UNDER ATTACK, PART II—GERMANY, AUSTRIA, SWITZERLAND, ITALY AND GREECE

1. GERMANY

In 2007, more than 16 million people in Germany were of non-German descent (first and second generation, including mixed heritage). As the total population was some 82 million, this represents some 20 percent of the country's population. However, not all of these immigrants were of Third World origin, and estimates are that between 12 and 15 percent of the resident population of Germany are non-white.

Ominously, the young are more likely to be of foreign descent than the old. Some 30 percent of the population aged 15 years and younger have at least one parent born abroad. In the big cities, 60 percent of those aged 5 years and younger have at least one parent born abroad.

GERMANY BECOMES MAJOR "REFUGEE" TARGET

Germany has also been a prime destination for refugees from the Third World, primarily because its constitution long had a clause giving a 'right' to political asylum. The German constitution, adopted after the Second World War, compelled the country to accept virtually any refugee who was fleeing political or social violence in their home countries. As most of the Third World is continuously in strife, this was easy enough to prove, and millions of non-whites, fleeing their self-created chaotic countries, entered Germany in this way.

The massive Turkish influx has generated another issue in Germany: that of the creation of a numerically strong Islamic base in that country. An article in *Der Spiegel*, Germany's best-known news magazine, entitled 'How Islam Came to Germany,' by Ursula Spuler-Stegemann contains many important examples of how Muslims are replacing German culture with their own:

“Muslims have achieved a great deal and changed a number of things that many Germans once took for granted. Nowadays, there are women-only swimming days for Muslims with female supervisors, where the pool windows are draped with heavy curtains to prevent outsiders from peering inside.

“Crosses have been removed from many hospitals and schools, and special Islamic prayer rooms have been introduced to factories and public buildings. And there have been many other changes. Muslim parents now seek to exempt their daughters from overnight school field trips and co-ed sports classes.

“The question of whether public employees should be allowed to wear Islamic head scarves has been referred to the courts, along with the issue of religious studies in schools. Germany has already begun providing university education for its Muslim religious instruction teachers and imams.

“It appears the quiet settling-in period has been replaced by a loud and demanding phase. This raises concerns among many Germans that the minority society may come to dominate the majority society.”

MORE THAN HALF A MILLION “ASYLUM SEEKERS” ENTER GERMANY 2014/2015

According to Eurostat, the official statistics bureau of the European Union, some 202,815 “asylum seekers” entered Germany in 2014, and that office projected that a further 400,000 “refugees” would seek “asylum” in Germany during 2015.

The same report said that in total, Eurostat said, some 6262,710 “asylum seekers” entered all of the EU during 2014, with Germany being the single largest recipient. (“Asylum and first time asylum applicants by citizenship, age and sex Annual aggregated data,” Eurostat, 10.06.2015).

The largest source of non-white immigration into Germany has been from Turkey, although the liberal asylum laws that country had until the mid-1990s encouraged several million other non-white immigration waves from Africa and Asia as well.

NON-WHITE CRIME WAVE SWEEPS GERMANY

As is the case elsewhere in Europe, the Third World immigration population is dramatically overrepresented in German crime statistics. In Berlin, young male immigrants are three times more likely to commit violent crimes than their German peers. Figures released by the German government in 2007 showed that 43 percent of all violent crimes in Germany were committed by people under 21 years of age, and that more than half were by foreign-born youth. When the figures are adjusted to include the children of Third World immigrants, this figure climbs even higher.

Once again, this is reflected in the prison population, where, as early as 1997, some 27 percent of the prison population in 1997 was non-German. No figures have been made public on prison inmates who are second or third generation descendants of Third World immigrants, but, given the statistics from elsewhere in Europe, no imagination is needed to draw the obvious conclusion.

GERMAN CHILDREN ETHNICALLY CLEANSED FROM LARGEST BERLIN SUBURB

An article in the *Bild* magazine of September 2011 revealed that in the suburb of Kreuzberg in Berlin, 99 percent of the school children were of “immigrant” origin, and that there were only three white German children left at schools in the area. All the other children were, the *Bild* reported, “Turkish and Arabic” in origin. (“Wir sind die letzten 3 deutschen Kinder an unserer Schule,” *Bild*, 15.09.11).

Kreuzberg has long been a destination for Turkish immigration into the German capital, and now the inevitable point has been reached where Germans have been ethnically cleansed from that part of the city.

According to Berlin government statistics, 90 percent of the inhabitants of certain areas of Kreuzberg live below the poverty level and subsist on taxpayer handouts (Senatsverwaltung für Stadtentwicklung und Umwelt, Soziale Stadt, Quartiersmanagementgebiet – Friedrichshain-Kreuzberg) making a mockery of the liberal claim that

immigration into Europe is needed to “keep the pension system and economy running.”

2. AUSTRIA

With a total population of around 8.2 million (July 2008), a high standard of living and remarkably good economy, Austria has long been a magnet for immigrants, both legal and illegal, from Turkey in particular.

According to the Österreichisches Statistisches Zentralamt (the Austrian Statistical Bureau), in mid-2006, 814,800 foreigners were legally resident in Austria, representing 9.8 percent of the total population of 8.2 million.

This does not tell the full story: the most recently available OSZ figures (from 2001) show that fully one million people resident in Austria were foreign born. This figure—over 12.5 percent of the population, will, of course, include a number of European nationals, so not all will be Third World immigrants.

However, it is safe to say that European nationals, because they are free to live anywhere they wish in the EU, are unlikely to acquire Austrian nationality. As a result, the naturalization rates amongst the one million non-Austrian born figures are significant as an indicator of non-European migrant levels.

As a proportion of the foreign population, the number of naturalizations has doubled in recent years. In the 1980s and 1990s, there were 2.4 naturalizations for every 100 foreign nationals on a statistical average; from 2001 to 2005 the naturalization rate was higher than 4 per 100.

In 1997, just under 16,000 foreign nationals living in Austria were naturalized; in 1999, around 25,000; and in 2003, a total of almost 45,000. By the end of 2003, some 330,000 people were naturalized as Austrian citizens—some four percent of the entire population.

The number of naturalizations has been declining since 2003, due to the passing in that year, at the insistence of the anti-immigration Freedom Party of Austria (which was then a

government coalition partner) of stricter controls on immigration.

Taking into account all naturalizations conducted by the year 2005, at least 15 percent of the population was either foreign or of foreign origin. There were at least 270,000 Turks (including Kurds) living in Austria, making up the biggest single ethnic minority in that country. Some 13,000 Turks were naturalized as Austrians in 2003, and in the same year, another 10,000 entered the country.

According to the European Union's official statistics bureau, Eurostat, in 2010 there were 1.27 million foreign-born residents in Austria, corresponding to 15.2% of the total population. Of these, 0.764 million (9.1%) were born outside the EU and 0.512 million (6.1%) were born in another EU Member State.

Those born outside the EU include 350,000 ethnic Turks (including a minority of Turkish Kurds) who make up about 3% of the total population.

MUSLIM NUMBERS DOUBLING EVERY TEN YEARS

Another indicator is religious affiliation. According to the 2014 census, Islam was followed by 8% of the population—up from around 4 percent just a decade earlier.

This is borne out by official figures which report that in 2008, some 16 percent of the population of Vienna was Third World in origin. Non-white immigrants always initially tend to congregate in the cities of First World nations.

In the early 1980s, Austrian demographers predicted that the country's population would decline to 7.25 million by 2010. This scenario was substantially revised when projections in 1990 anticipated a net growth of Austria's population to eight million by 2010. The reality is that the native Austrian population continues to decline at the originally projected level, and the increased population is almost exclusively due to immigration and the immigrants' higher fertility rate.

Prior to the introduction of the new immigration laws in 2003, Austria was also a favored asylum-seeking destination

country. In 2002, the country received 39,000 official applications—of which at least, according to the government, 85 percent were classed as “economic migrants.”

The influx of non-whites into Austria has caused a spike of support for the anti-immigration Freiheitliche Partei Österreichs (Freedom Party of Austria, or FPÖ). In an attempt to counteract this rise in support, the establishment parties started campaigning in 2013 for votes in Turkish and Arabic—an indication of the growth of the size of those communities.

NON-WHITES MAJORITY OF PRISON POPULATION IN AUSTRIA

The increased Third World immigrant population has, like everywhere else in First World nations, been reflected in the prison population. According to Austrian government figures, ‘foreigners’ made up 27 percent of the prison population in 1999.

This figure, already high, leapfrogged to 47 percent by 2004. The dramatic increase was, according to an official report, ascribable to “citizens of states in black Africa” who were “decisive in the rejuvenation of the prison population.”

According to police figures, Africans now dominate the drug trade. Africans accounted for 68.9 percent of the growth of the Austrian prison population for the period 2000 to 2002, while Turks accounted for 10.2 percent.

3. SWITZERLAND

The traditionally neutral country of Switzerland—so neutral that it only became a member of the United Nations in 2002, and is still not a member of the European Union—has always had a high foreign resident population. Until the last 15 or 20 years, this resident population was almost exclusively First World in origin, and there were no disturbances to Swiss society, especially as that country was always very careful about handing out Swiss nationality, even to long-term residents.

Like most other European countries, the situation has changed fairly substantially. In 2008, the total resident

population of Switzerland was estimated at around 7.5 million—of which at least 1.5 million were not Swiss in origin. While this figure will, of course, include First Worlders, an increasing number are from the Third World. An idea of the extent of Third World immigration to Switzerland can be gained from the Muslim population size. By 2006, Muslims were officially tallied at 4.3 percent of the population, or over a third of a million.

Over 20 percent of all people currently living in Switzerland are foreign nationals, which is one of the highest rates in the world. Over half of all foreigners come from non-European countries—which means that at least 10 percent of Switzerland's population is Third World in origin.

There were 10,061 asylum applications in Switzerland in 2007, a 30 percent drop compared with figures from 2004, according to the U.N. refugee agency. People from Serbia, Turkey, Iraq and Russia are the most frequent to seek refugee status in the country.

On a per capita basis, Switzerland has the most asylum seekers in Europe: 583 applicants for each 100,000 residents in 1998, or 40,000 applications in 1998, compared to 291 for each 100,000 in the Netherlands. There were 20,000 asylum applications in the first five months of 1999, and by the end of that year, the total was well in excess of 60,000. The numbers have subsequently declined since restrictions were put in place by the anti-immigration Swiss People's Party (SVP).

SWISS VOTE 78% IN FAVOR OF NEW ASYLUM LAW

The SVP successfully campaigned electorally on the issue of Third World immigrant crime, which leapfrogged in recent years. For example, according to the Swiss Federal Statistics Office, juvenile convictions surged from 2,000 in 1999 to 14,106 in 2005. The vast majority of these crimes were committed by Third World immigrants, or their children.

In line with figures in France, Swiss federal government statistics reported that 70 percent of the prison population was non-Swiss.

In 2013, the Swiss electorate has voted overwhelmingly in favor of a change to the asylum laws which are designed to slow down a Third World tsunami of bogus claimants pouring into that country.

A full 78.4 percent of voters embraced changes made to the asylum law. Celine Amandruz of the Swiss People's Party (SVP), welcomed the strong support for the tougher law, insisting that nine out of 10 people who seek refuge in the wealthy country did so "for economic reasons. There was clearly a need to change this system," she said.

4. ITALY

Italy had, until the late 1990s, one of the lowest reproduction rates in Europe, and the population was projected to go into dramatic decline over the next few decades.

However, a massive spike in Third World immigration—legal and illegal—had seen the population increase to an all-time estimated high of close to 60 million in 2008. This increase was, demographers pointed out, exclusively due to immigration.

Official government figures in 2005 said there were 3.7 million legal immigrants in Italy (an increase of 21.6 percent in one year). That figure represented 6.2 percent of the overall population.

However, the next year, another 700,000 were added to that figure, and the numbers are increasing to the point where, combined with the native natural Italian decline, the foreign-born population is set to be well above 10 million within the next decade.

These figures do not, of course, include illegal immigrants, who, in 2006, were estimated to be "between 670,000 and two million." Italy's long and porous coastline makes it an easy target for people smuggling, which has become that country's single greatest security problem.

The number of illegal immigrants entering Italy in 2008 showed no signs of slowing down, and doubled in the first seven months of that year compared with the same period in

2007. More than 15,000 illegal immigrants entered the EU via Italy between January and July 2008, Italian Interior Minister Roberto Maroni said in August 2008. Most of the illegal immigrants come across the Mediterranean Sea from North Africa, often in unseaworthy boats. It has now become a familiar site in southern Italy to see packed boats filled with Africans, North Africans and Middle Easterners, arriving on a daily basis at various places along the coast.

These illegals typically pay smugglers in Libya for transit to the Italian island of Lampedusa (not all of them arrive). From there they are transferred to detention camps in mainland Italy and eventually released; their deportation orders are not enforced.

PADUA: THIRD WORLD CRIME WAVE CREATES UPROAR

In Padua, the Third World immigrant crime wave forced the center-left city council to order the building in 2006 of a steel wall around run-down apartment blocks housing an estimated 1500 illegal immigrants (“clandestini”). There is only one way into the complex, through a police checkpoint, where uniformed officers inspect everyone coming and going.

The building of the wall was sparked by an outbreak of street fighting between Nigerian and Moroccan drug gangs, when hundreds clashed with knives and machetes. The socialist mayor of Padua described it as an “enclosure” needed to help tackle the drug dealers.

“When the police tried to arrest the drug dealers in the other part of town they fled to this area here; now that’s no longer possible,” a city councilor told Dutch TV.

“We had to do something,” he continued, “otherwise the problem would be unsolvable. We still remember what happened in the French banlieues, and we don’t want that to happen here in Italy.”

Padua has a population of 205,000, of whom some 70,000 are recent migrants. According to the local authority, one in three of the newborns in the city is now of foreign origin.

In many Italian cities, including Rome, Brescia, Milan, Padua and Prato, Third World immigrants already account for more than ten percent of the population. Italy now has well in excess of one million Muslims, drawn from North Africa, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Muslim communities in the Balkans.

MAJOR ITALIAN CITIES BEING ISLAMIFIED

Bearing in mind that the native Italian population has for all practical purposes stopped reproducing, it is not far-fetched to predict that, unless this situation is reversed, many of the Italian cities will be Islamified within the next three decades.

A study conducted by the Turin-based Centro Federico Peirone in 2001–2002 showed that the family size of arrivals from Arab Muslim countries remained at an average of six—compared to a native Italian average of 1.3 children.

Italy also has what is typically called a ‘Romanian’ immigrant population. In reality, the vast majority of these ‘Romanians’ are Gypsies, or Roma, as the politically correct media likes to call them. Gypsies originated on the subcontinent of India and have been traveling across Eastern Europe for centuries. With the expansion of the EU to include Romania and other former Eastern Bloc European nations, these Gypsies have been allowed free passage to move into Italy in large numbers.

Around 500,000 ‘Romanians’ are officially registered as living in Italy, but unofficial estimates put the actual number at double that figure or perhaps even more. Their exceedingly violent criminality led, in 2008, to an Italian government crackdown on that community, and large numbers were summarily deported or barred from re-entering. ‘Romanians’ represent roughly 15 percent of the prison population in Italy and 5.6 percent of all arrests for murder.

The increased Third World immigration problem has been reflected in Italy’s prison population. In 2006, some 20,000 people out of the 55,000 prisoners serving sentences or awaiting trial in Italian jails were officially described as ‘foreigners’—overwhelmingly Third World in origin.

5. GREECE

Until two decades ago, Greece had virtually no immigrant community of any significance. In the past 20 years, that has changed considerably.

As of 2006, the number of foreigners in an estimated total of 11,148,533 people was 695,979 or 6.24 percent.

By 2008, one out of every ten residents in Greece—and almost one in five in the center of Athens—was foreign born. The majority of these foreigners came from neighboring Albania, but just under half came from Third World regions such as West Africa, China, Pakistan and the Middle East. The total Third World-origin population of Greece, as of 2008, was therefore estimated to be in the region of five percent.

Turkish groups dominate illegal immigration routes and regularly import Asians to Greece. Up to 500 immigrants illegally cross Greek borders each week, and that is only in the Eastern Aegean front of the country. In 2004, it was reported that over one million illegal immigrants were in transit from Turkey towards Western Europe. In 2007, at least 100 Turks in Greece were arrested and charged with people smuggling, along with several Pakistanis and Iraqis who appeared to be the main source of forged documents.

The extent of Greece's 'springboard' status is illustrated by the statistics of illegal immigrant arrests in that country. In the 15-year period up to 2000, some 2.2 million illegal immigrants were detained in Greece, along with 150,000 arrests for narcotic related offenses. This figure is astounding when it is borne in mind that Greece's entire population is a little over 10 million. In 2006, Greek authorities estimated that about 80,000 illegal immigrants had settled in Greece that year alone, and that the total illegal population could be close to a million in total.

This influx of Africans, Middle Easterners and assorted origins, has transformed many areas of central Athens. It is not uncommon to see street fights between gangs of Afghans, Iraqis and Africans, with the intensity of the clashes already causing ethnic Greeks to start leaving certain parts of the capital.

Attempts to legalize immigrants in Greece—residence permits were granted to 500,000 undocumented foreigners since 1997—have failed to solve what has become an ever-growing problem.

In 2008, the mayor of Athens, a socialist from the left-wing Pasok party, Yiannis Sgouros, appealed to the Greek government for help in solving what he called an “explosive problem” in the heart of the capital. “Illegal immigrants are becoming pawns to local drug barons and are forming gangs,” Sgouros wrote in a letter to the Prime Minister. “Something has to change or the area will become an arena for race clashes and gang wars.”

Police figures show that most immigrants arrested on drug-related charges in central Athens in 2008 were from the Sudan, Afghanistan, Iraq and Pakistan.

CHAPTER SIX: EUROPE UNDER ATTACK, PART III—DENMARK, NORWAY, SWEDEN AND FINLAND

1. DENMARK

Denmark is a small nation of some 5.4 million people, which, like all Scandinavian nations, pursued a liberal approach to immigration for many decades.

This has led to large percentages of the population being descended from largely legal immigrants and ‘asylum seekers’ from Turkey, Pakistan, North Africa, Somalia and other Middle Eastern nations. Muslims now account for well over six percent of the population.

Denmark experienced a negative birth rate and negative population growth until 1984, when the projections were interrupted due to increased numbers of immigrants from Third World nations. By 2005, immigrants and the descendants of immigrants accounted for over eight percent of Denmark’s population.

This figure, which jumped from under one percent in 1980, has steadily increased since then. For example, the number of residence permits in Denmark has almost doubled in five years—from 33,363 in 2002 to 58,569 in 2007, according to figures from the Danish Immigration Service. Some 13,000 ‘family reunification permits’ were granted in 2001, although that number had fallen to fewer than 5,000 in 2003, when pressure from the anti-immigration Danish Peoples’ Party brought about legislative changes.

In January 2005, the number of registered foreigners, that is, immigrants and their descendants holding non-Danish passports, was 452,095—8.4 percent of the population. Out of this total number, 343,367 were immigrants and 108,726 were descendants of immigrants. These official figures do not include foreigners who have acquired Danish citizenship. Between 1995 and 2005, upward of 54,000 foreigners successfully applied for naturalization—the greatest number

(9,000) in 2001–2002, and the lowest number (2,000) in 2003. According to Statistics Denmark, the number of resident persons from what they described as ‘non-Western’ countries increased by 520 percent between 1980 and 2005, or by 268,902 individuals, whereas the corresponding growth rate for ‘Westerners’ in the same period was a meager 19.8 percent, or 30,000 people. This means that 90 percent of the total increase of resident foreigners in Denmark originated in the Third World.

By far the largest ethnic minority group hails from Turkey, due to the immigration of Turkish guest workers in the 1960s and, later, their dependents. On aggregate they constitute nearly 55,000, or 12 percent, of all resident foreigners in Denmark.

In 2006, Muslims from Turkey, Pakistan, Iran, Iraq, Syria, Egypt and Lebanon numbered around 200,000 and accounted for nearly half of all Third World residents in Denmark. This translated to four percent of Denmark’s population—and this figure continues to rapidly rise.

Figures released by the Danish Immigration Service in February 2008, showed an ever increasing number of foreigners applying to work and study in Denmark. A total of 37,476 residence permits were issued for work or study in 2007, over 30 percent more than in 2006 and almost three times as many as in 2002, when about 13,000 foreigners came to Denmark for work or study.

In 2007, the number of residence permits issued for family reunification rose from 3582 in 2006 to 4462. Most of this increase occurred in the area of reunification of spouses, and the largest group of sponsors were citizens of Denmark or another Nordic country. The three largest nationality groups receiving residence permits for family reunification in 2007 were from Thailand (413), Turkey (340) and the Philippines (203).

The number of residence permits granted to asylum seekers increased to 1275 in 2007, from 1095 in 2006. This particular increase was caused by the need to issue about 307

permits to a group of Iraqi military interpreters and their families.

CRIME AND IMMIGRATION IN DENMARK

The Danish newspaper *Politiken* reported in 2004 that the number of ‘young immigrants’ committing crimes in Denmark was steadily rising. In the first seven months of that year, the paper said, 63 young people under 18 years old came before the courts of Greater Copenhagen accused of serious crime.

Of these, 53 of them were of ‘immigrant background’ which was the highest number of immigrant offenders in five years. The other ten were ethnic Danes representing the lowest number in the same period.

In 2005, the Copenhagen Police Commissioner, Hanne Bech Hansen, said, “Our biggest crime problem at this time stems from maladjusted, young criminals of foreign descent. They are much too conspicuous in the statistics, which is something we need to react to right now. Otherwise we’ll have a serious crime problem, which will affect the town for the next two or three decades.”

She said 82 percent of youngsters who were brought before a judge in Copenhagen in 2004 were of ‘foreign descent’ and that the rates for violent crime, and especially rape related cases had gone up dramatically with the increasing number of Muslim immigrants.

These sentiments were echoed by the head of the Danish police, Torsten Hesselbjerg, in an interview in the Danish newspaper *Jyllands-Posten* in March 2008. “The children of immigrants are the largest threat to Danish society,” said Hesselbjerg. “They are responsible for the worst forms of crime, drug dealing, and have made it into a code of honor, prestige and power as well,” he added. He revealed that Danish police were tracking fourteen criminal organizations that had access to weapons and explosives, and were prepared to use both of them in their struggle to keep control over the drugs market.

NONWHITE RIOTS IN COPENHAGEN

Hesselbjerg went on to point out that the Copenhagen district of Nørrebro and the area west of the Danish capital (known as Københavns Vestegn) had been the scene of shootings and riots amongst Third World immigrants. “The biggest challenge for the Danish police is to prevent riots again,” he said, referring to the 2005 riots in Århus, which were Muslim in origin. In 2005, police figures showed that immigrants or descendants of immigrants committed 82 percent of all crimes in Copenhagen, and that the police pressed charges against second-generation immigrants five times as frequently as against white Danes.

NONWHITE RAPE EPIDEMIC AGAINST WHITE FEMALES

As elsewhere in Europe, the Third World immigrant population is reflected in Denmark’s prison population and crime rate. In what appears to be a pattern, a majority of Denmark’s convicted rapists are Muslim. This has caused great dissension in Denmark, particularly as almost all the victims are white Danish girls.

A survey conducted among the population of Denmark’s jails by the Institute for Prisoner Welfare (Kriminalforsorgen) and the Danish State Statistical Bureau (Danmarks Statistik) in 2006 found that 25 percent of all prisoners were “either of foreign nationality or the direct descendants of foreigners.”

Danes with immigrant backgrounds were five times more likely to commit crimes than ethnic Danes, a Danish Justice report revealed in 2005. The previous year, Danish police pressed charges against five times as many second-generation immigrants than ethnic Danes, when measured by 1000 people in each population group. First-generation immigrants committed twice as many crimes as Danes did. As early as 2002, official figures showed that Third-world immigrants—most of them Muslims from countries such as Turkey, Somalia, Pakistan, Lebanon and Iraq—constituted less than five percent of the population but consumed upwards of 40 percent of the welfare spending.

2. SWEDEN

Sweden, like its Scandinavian neighbors, also followed an extremely liberal immigration policy for decades, resulting in a foreign-origin population of at least 16 percent by 2007.

Given that Sweden's total population is about 9 million, this translates to around 1.5 million non-Swedes. Not all of these are Third World origin, although the majority are. The largest Third World immigrant groups in Sweden are Turks, Iranians, Kurds, Palestinians, Koreans, Chinese, Filipinos, Vietnamese, Pakistanis and Moroccans.

As a result, the actual Third World origin element of the Norwegian population in 2008 was estimated to be ten percent, or just on one million.

Sweden has also followed an extremely liberal asylum policy, willingly taking in 'asylum seekers' from Myanmar, Vietnam, Nicaragua, Guatemala, Chechnya, Libya, Iraq, Lebanon, Afghanistan and Somalia. Sweden has one of the largest exile communities of Assyrian Christians (also known as Syriacs, Suryoye, Arameans and Chaldeans).

In March 2007, the Swedish Migration Board established four new units to deal with the ever increasing numbers of asylum applications to that country. The units specialize in applications from Iraqis and Somalis, and are located in Gothenburg, Flen, Märsta and Malmö.

The Migration Board said it would employ around 150 more people to cope with the number of asylum applications expected that year—double that of the previous year.

Legal immigration to Sweden in 2006 reached its highest level since records began. Swedish citizenship was granted to more people than ever before in that year, when the country's population increased by 65,505.

Immigration in 2006 increased by 47 percent compared to 2005, reaching a total of 95,750 people. This constituted just over 12,000 more immigrants than the previous record year of 1994, when Sweden took in large numbers of refugees fleeing the war in Yugoslavia.

Another record was broken in 2006 when 51,239 people had their Swedish citizenship applications approved. The largest increase in terms of numbers occurred among Iraqi citizens, 12,895 of whom were granted Swedish citizenship.

At the same time as immigration to Sweden increased, emigration out of the country also rose. During 2006, 44,908 people emigrated, an increase of 18 percent compared to the previous year. The last time a higher number of people emigrated from Sweden was in 1892. As in 2005, the majority of those leaving were Swedish citizens.

CRIME AND IMMIGRATION IN SWEDEN

As is everywhere the case, immigrant crime has become the dominant issue in Swedish society. Malmö, set to become the first Scandinavian city with a Muslim majority within a decade or two, has nine times as many reported robberies per capita as Copenhagen, Denmark.

Crime is rampant in the growing ghettos of Malmö. Unemployment rates top 50 percent and in 2005, police figures recorded that 68 percent of all rapes that year were committed by Third Worlders, mainly Muslims, most of whom were second and third generation immigrants.

NONWHITE CRIME “WAR AGAINST THE SWEDES”

In a sociological survey entitled “Vi krigar mot svenskarna” (“We’re waging a war against the Swedes”), published in 2006 by the Department of Sociology at Lunds University, young immigrants in the city of Malmö were interviewed about why they were so heavily involved in crime.

The study was widely quoted in Swedish press. “The wave of robberies the city of Malmö has witnessed during this past year is part of a ‘war against the Swedes’,” said one newspaper report. “This is the explanation given by young robbers from immigrant backgrounds when questioned about why they only rob native Swedes, in interviews with Petra Åkesson for her thesis in sociology. ‘I read a report about young robbers in Stockholm and Malmö and wanted to know why they rob other youths. It usually does not involve a lot of

money', she said. She interviewed boys between 15 and 17 years old, both individually and in groups.

"Almost 90 percent of all robberies reported to the police were committed by gangs, not individuals. 'When we are in the city and robbing we are waging a war, waging a war against the Swedes.' This argument was repeated several times.

"'Power for me means that the Swedes shall look at me, lie down on the ground and kiss my feet.' The boys explain, laughingly, that 'there is a thrilling sensation in your body when you're robbing; you feel satisfied and happy; it feels as if you've succeeded; it simply feels good. 'It's so easy to rob Swedes, so easy. We rob every single day, as often as we want to, whenever we want to.' The immigrant youth regard the Swedes as stupid and cowardly: 'The Swedes don't do anything; they just give us the stuff. They're so wimpy. The young robbers do not plan their crimes: 'No, we just see some Swedes that look rich or have nice mobile phones and then we rob them.'

"Why do they hate the Swedes so much? 'Well, they hate us,' Petra Åkesson reports them as answering. 'When a Swede goes shopping, the lady behind the counter gives him the money back into his hand, looks into his eyes and laughs. When we go shopping, she puts the money on the counter and looks the other way.'

"Åkesson, who is adopted from Sri Lanka and was thus able to gain the non-white youths' confidence, reported that it was not difficult to get the boys to talk about their crimes. Rather they were bragging about who had committed the most robberies."

SWEDEN: NONWHITE ON WHITE RAPE CAPITAL OF THE WEST

The number of rape charges in Sweden has quadrupled in just above twenty years. Rape cases involving children under the age of 15 are six times as common today as they were a generation ago. Most other kinds of violent crime have rapidly increased, too. Instability is spreading to most urban and

suburban areas. Resident aliens from Algeria, Libya, Morocco and Tunisia dominate the group of rape suspects.

In 2005, Swedish lawyer Ann Christine Hjelm found that 85 percent of the convicted rapists were “born on foreign soil or from foreign parents.”

According to a 2015 report by the Gatestone Institutes’s International Policy Council, Sweden has become the “rape capital of the West.” Their report continued:

“Forty years after the Swedish parliament unanimously decided to change the formerly homogeneous Sweden into a multicultural country, violent crime has increased by 300% and rapes by 1,472%. Sweden is now number two on the list of rape countries, surpassed only by Lesotho in Southern Africa. If one looks at the number of rapes, however, the increase is even worse. In 1975, 421 rapes were reported to the police; in 2014, it was 6,620. That is an increase of 1,472%.

Sweden is now number two on the global list of rape countries. According to a survey from 2010, Sweden, with 53.2 rapes per 100,000 inhabitants, is surpassed only by tiny Lesotho in Southern Africa, with 91.6 rapes per 100,000 inhabitants.

The figures showed that nonwhites committed nearly 77.6% of all rape crimes in Sweden—a figure which was so stark that the liberal Swedish government immediately announced that it was stopping collecting data on race and crime.

3. NORWAY

Norway, with a population of 4.7 million, has long had a liberal immigration and asylum policy, and as a result became home to increasing numbers of immigrants, foreign workers and asylum-seekers from various parts of the world.

In 2006, immigration accounted for more than half of Norway’s population growth. In that year, official statistics from Statistics Norway Bureau (SSB) showed a record 45,800 immigrants arriving in Norway—30 percent higher than 2005.

At the beginning of 2007, there were 415,300 persons in Norway with an immigrant background (that is, immigrants, or born of immigrant parents), comprising 8.8 percent of the total population.

Official figures claim that 350,000 of these were from a 'non-Western' background, including Pakistanis, Iraqis, Somalis and Vietnamese. At least 35,000 Pakistanis were congregated in Oslo, most of whom entered the country legally as workers or through family reunification programs.

At the beginning of 2005, 32 percent of first-generation immigrants had lived in Norway for less than five years, while 16 percent had lived in Norway for 25 years or more. A high proportion of immigrants from Iraq and Somalia have lived in Norway for less than five years—57 and 55 percent respectively.

As of January 2005, Norway's refugee population was more than 107,000, or 2.3 percent of the population of that country. Refugees from Iraq, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Somalia, Iran and Vietnam made up the largest groups.

The non-white immigrants continue to have much higher birth rates than native Norwegians, with the result that the youth demographics show a large preponderance in favor of the non-Norwegian population. This will, like all European countries, make itself felt within the next two generations, once all these immigrant children reach adulthood.

In 2005, 64,000 children were born in Norway of two foreign-born parents, compared to only 13,800 people born to parents of European origin.

At current rates of Third World population growth, Oslo will have a non-white majority within two and a half decades. The primary driver is the higher immigrant birth rate which combines with a far lower native Norwegian reproduction rate.

During 2004, the immigrant population increased by 17,000, distributed between 3,800 people born in Norway of two foreign-born parents and 13,200 first-generation immigrants.

By 2008, Third World immigration had ensured that at least 25 percent of Oslo's population had a non-Norwegian background. Data from the city and state statistics bureau shows that of Oslo's 560,484 residents, 137,878 were immigrants.

The largest single immigrant group continues to be from Pakistan, with 20,313 living in Oslo. Next in line is Somalia, with 9,708 immigrants and Sweden, with 7,462. Other countries with relatively large immigrant groups in Oslo include Sri Lanka, Iraq, Turkey, Vietnam and Iran.

ALL OFFICIAL STATISTICS SHOW NONWHITE CRIME WAVE IN NORWAY

This ever increasing Third World population is reflected in the country's crime rates. In 2004, a report by the NIBR (Norwegian Institute for Urban and Regional Research) warned that "ethnic gangs can give Norway the kind of immigrant-related organized crime that accompanied waves of migration to the USA."

Author of the report, Dr. Inger-Lise Lien, wrote: "If we look at youth under the age of 19 charged with crimes in Oslo, immigrants are unfortunately largely overrepresented. In certain Oslo districts—Furuset, Stovner and Gamle Oslo—gang criminality has a grip. Criminal gangs becoming solid organizations is a sign in international research of an incipient mafia structure being built."

Arne Johannessen from the Norwegian Police Organization warned in 2003 that the cost of crime may have doubled during the previous decade, in part due to immigration.

In January 2005, Norwegian media reported that 2004 saw the highest number of rape charges ever recorded in the capital city of Oslo. That year, rape attacks were 40 percent higher than the 1999-2000 year period, and police attributed the increase to immigration.

Police Inspector Gunnar Larsen of Oslo's Vice, Robbery and Violent Crime division, confirmed the link between the

rising number of rape cases and the non-Norwegian ethnic background of the rapists as a “clear trend.”

According to Larsen, 65 percent of those charged with rape were classed as coming from a ‘non-western’ background. White Norwegian women were the victims in at least 80 percent of the cases, he reported.

2014: CRIME DROPS AS POLICE DEPORT RECORD NUMBER OF NONWHITES

In October 2014, Norway’s National Police Immigration Service (Politiets Utlendingsenhet, or PU) caused an uproar when it announced that a record number of deportations of nonwhite illegal immigrant was directly linked to a dramatic fall in the crime rate in that country.

PU head Kristin Kvigne, speaking in an interview in the *Dagsavisen* newspaper, said that the increased deportations “save Norwegian society much money. It costs money to process people in the courts and to jail them.

“People have been deported because their asylum applications have been rejected in terms of the Dublin Agreement, the international agreement which governs asylum seeker applications, she added.

Recent official figures show the PU has deported 824 nonwhite invaders—mostly failed asylum seekers—in October 2014 alone, the highest number of people deported in a month throughout the PUs history. If the current trend continues, the PU will “reach its target” of deporting at least 7,100 people in 2014, meaning that at least 20 per day are being sent home.

Of the 5876 people deported this year so far, the majority have already been found guilty of criminal acts, Kvigne said. She said it was thus “important to view the high number of deportations made by PU in the context of falling crime rates across the country.”

She said that Norway has a voluntary repatriation program, where “asylum seekers” are paid to return to their home countries, but few take up the offer and most have to be forcibly deported.

THIRD WORLDERS DEPENDENT ON WELFARE

In addition, an ever growing group of Third World immigrants is dependent on welfare. A study by Tyra Ekhaugen of the Frisch Center for Economic Research and the University of Oslo concluded that immigration has increased the pressure on the welfare state, because many immigrants do not join the tax-paying part of the population.

Third World immigrants are, the study showed, recipients of social security benefits at a rate ten times that of native Norwegians—destroying the liberal argument used by pro-immigration politicians in Norway that immigration was necessary to maintain the social welfare state. More than half of all social security benefits in the city of Oslo are spent on non-Western immigrants, a portion that has grown tremendously over the years.

Immigrants from Africa have the highest unemployment rate, with official figures in 2005 showing a black unemployment rate of 17.5 percent. Immigrants from Asia had an unemployment rate of 12.3 percent, while those from South and Central America had an unemployment rate of 10.1 percent. The average unemployment rate amongst Native Swedes was 2.4 percent.

4. FINLAND

Finland has, unlike its neighbors, been less inclined to accept immigrants from the Third World. As a result, its non-white population, while growing, is still relatively small. According to a July 2008 estimate, the total population was some 5.2 million, of which official figures claim, just over three percent were foreign.

The number of foreigners legally living in Finland without citizenship increased four-fold between 1990 and 2003, from 26,300 to 107,100.

The number of foreign-born Finnish citizens and residents doubled between 1991 and 2003, from 77,000 to 159,000. The number of residents whose first language is not Finnish tripled between 1992 and 2004, from 43,000 to 128,000.

These figures do not, of course, include the effects of illegal asylum seekers, but they do include immigrants from other EU countries and Russia. Hence the Third World element is likely to be just slightly smaller than the three percent total estimated in 2008.

This is set to change. According to Statistics Finland, 26,050 persons immigrated to Finland from foreign countries during 2007—of whom only four thousand came from EU countries.

In addition, Finland receives around 3,000 asylum applications annually, in addition to well over 10,000 applications for work and residence permits. Each year, between 2,000 and 3,000 people receive Finnish citizenship.

For a long time the largest group of refugees was formed by Vietnamese. By the mid-1990s, there were 2,000 of them. The group was diverse and contained ethnic Chinese, among others. Nearly all the Vietnamese arrived as quota refugees or as members of disunited families. The single largest group of refugees was formed by Somalis who unexpectedly arrived via the territory of the former Soviet Union, totaling about 4,500 by the end of 2002.

Nearly 4,000 refugees came to Finland from the former Yugoslavia. In 2002 Finland had about 3,400 immigrants from Iraq, around 2,400 from Iran and 2,100 from Turkey. There was also an influx of about 1,800 Thais and 600 Filipinos, most of whom were women married to Finnish men. There are more children among the foreign population than among the Finns, with one fifth of all non-Finns living in Sweden being children. Finland's foreigners speak more than 60 languages as mother tongues and almost half of them live in or near the capital, Helsinki. By 2002 the 'foreign' community in Helsinki had trebled in the course of five years and was made up of around 43,000 people.

NONWHITE CRIME IN FINLAND

At a seminar on 'Youth Crime' held in the city of Tampere in August 2006, Finland's 'Restorative Justice' organization's research director, Juhani Iivari, caused

controversy when he announced that Third World immigrants had twice the rate of convictions as native-born Finns.

Adding that Third Worlders had high unemployment rates, Iivari said that the rate of convictions had risen disproportionately amongst immigrants, particularly in violent, drug-related and property crimes.

“Only about 2 percent of the residents of Finland are immigrants. Simple calculations show that while only 2.5 percent of the inhabitants of Helsinki are of foreign origin, they are responsible for about 38 percent of all violent crime,” Iivari reported.

CHAPTER SEVEN: THE EASTERN OUTPOST—RUSSIA AND THE EASTERN EUROPEAN STATES

1. RUSSIA

What the West likes to call ‘Russia’ (more properly known as the Russian Federation) is a massive territory stretching from the Pacific Ocean to Europe itself.

The Russian Federation is part of The Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) comprised of the former Soviet Republics, namely Belarus, Russia, Ukraine, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Moldova, Turkmenistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan and Georgia. The CIS has, however, been riven by crises, some of them military in nature, and is likely to be dissolved in the not too distant future.

The CIS is important in that the majority of its member states could be classified as Second World, if not Third World, and are responsible for the tidal wave of illegal immigration into Russia itself.

Furthermore, Russia shares long and porous borders with China, Mongolia and North Korea. It encompasses over 17 million square kilometers (6.5 million square miles) and is the largest country in the world. It is also home to no less than 160 different ethnic groups. The First World element—consisting of white Europeans—is concentrated west of the Ural Mountains, and currently makes up around 77 percent of the population.

This translates to around 109 million First Worlders, and in excess of 35 million Second or Third Worlders already present inside its borders, giving a total ‘Russian’ population estimate of 142 million (according to the Russian State Statistics Service in 2008).

It is sobering to realize that there are fewer white Europeans living in Russia, which covers an eighth of the world’s surface area, than there are in the United States of America. This figure is of even more importance when it is

understood that, in real terms, Russia has an illegal Third World immigration problem which nearly mirrors that of the USA. The smaller white population of Russia, however, makes the problem even more critical.

RUSSIA FACES MASSIVE ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION PROBLEM

Currently, Russia has a legal immigration rate of some 100,000 entering the country every year—almost all of them from the non-European CIS states. In addition, the Russian government estimates that there were, as of 2008, an estimated 10 to 12 million illegal immigrants from those ex-Soviet states in Russia: mostly Georgians, Armenians, Azerbaijanis and Tajiks. The number of illegal migrants in Moscow alone is believed to be around one million.

To further complicate matters, the far eastern regions of Russia, which were always sparsely populated with First World elements, have been the subject of sustained immigration from China. Many of that latter country's nationals are fleeing their own nation's overpopulation and birth control regulations, and as a result, have a much higher birth rate than native Russians.

Huge portions of the far east of Russia are in the process of being colonized through demographics. Russia's main Pacific port and naval base of Vladivostok, once closed to foreigners, today is bristling with Chinese markets, restaurants and trade houses.

Experts predict that the Chinese population in Russia will increase to at least 10 million by 2010 and Chinese will become the dominant ethnic group in the Russian Far East region by 2030.

POPULATION REVERSE AND INCREASE

The white European portion of the Russian population had been in decline since the fall of the Soviet Union, primarily driven by social ills and economic hardship. However, starting in the mid 2000s, this trend had started to reverse itself.

Government measures to halt the demographic crisis was a key subject of Vladimir Putin's 2006 state of the nation address and since then, a national program was developed with the goal to reverse the trend by 2020. In September 2009, the Ministry of Health and Social Development reported that Russia recorded natural population growth for the first time in 15 years, with 1,000 more births than deaths in August.

In April 2011 the Russian Prime Minister (Russian president as of 2012) Vladimir Putin pledged to spend the 1.5 trillion rubles (£32.5 billion or \$54 billion) on various measures to boost Russia's declining birthrate by 30 per cent in the next four years.

In 2006, in a bid to compensate for the country's demographic decline, the Russian government started simplifying immigration laws and launched a state program "for providing assistance to voluntary immigration of ethnic Russians from former Soviet republics". In August 2012, as the country saw its first demographic growth since the 1990s, President Putin declared that Russia's population could reach 146 million by 2025, mainly as a result of immigration.

The 2010 census estimated the population of Russia to be 142,905,200. Of this number, ethnic white Russians made up 80 percent, with the majority of the nonwhite population concentrated in the far east and south of the country.

This means that there are around 109 million whites in Russia, as of 2010, and in excess of 35 million people of other races, which include central Asiatic and Mongoloid. Russia's most pressing problem is not immigration from Africa (although an influx is increasing year-on-year) but in immigrants from the mixed south and Muslim former Soviet republics: Georgians, Armenians, Azerbaijanis Tajiks, and others. In 2009, it was estimated that there were 12 million illegal immigrants in Russia, most from these regions.

It has been predicted that the Chinese population in the eastern portions of Russia, that is, beyond the Ural Mountains, will become the dominant ethnic group there by the year 2030.

IMMIGRATION POLICIES DOUBLE EDGED

The Russian government has adopted policies which appear schizophrenic. On the one hand, changes in the immigration policy announced by the Russian president in May 2006 were designed to provide 6.5 million migrants in 2007 with a registration and working permit.

On the other hand, from 2008, migration was linked to the demands of the Russian economy. This meant protectionism for native Russians in certain employment markets, such as the retail sector.

The significance of that move lies in the fact that vast numbers of illegal migrants work in both the formal and informal retail markets in major Russian cities. The number of non-Russians working in the retail trade was supposed to have been reduced to zero by 2008. This aim was not achieved.

In fact, the law actually encourages migrant workers by stipulating that they do not have to have a qualification—so that they ‘only’ compete with unqualified Russian workers.

This is grossly unfair for Russians, as statistics show that migrants are willing to work for significantly lower incomes. Even though not wealthy by western European standards, Russia’s mean income level is still thirteen times higher than in Tajikistan, making it a positive place to seek employment for nationals of the latter state. Figures from the governments of Moldova, Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan indicate that fully ten percent of their working age citizens now reside in Russia.

The flood of migrants is also draining the struggling Russian economy. Migrants send more than half their earnings home to support their families. Remittances from Russia to other CIS countries amounted to \$3 billion USD in 2005.

Although Tajikistan is not among the poorest countries in the world, it is the fourth biggest recipient of remittances per capita, accounting for 37 percent of its GDP in 2006, according to The Economist data. The share of remittances in Kyrgyzstan and Moldova’s GDP in 2006 comprised some 32 percent.

Some in the Russian government are, at least, openly aware of the issues. In 2007, the deputy head of Russia’s

migration service, Vyacheslav Postavnin, called for limits on the concentration of ethnic minorities in towns and cities across the country. Postavnin said their numbers should not exceed 20 percent, to prevent ‘enclaves’ emerging in which native Russians were outnumbered.

“According to our calculations, compact habitation by citizens of another country in any district or region of the country should not surpass 17 to 20 percent, especially if they have a different national culture and religious faith,” said Postavnin, quoted by the *Vremya Novostei* daily. “Exceeding this norm creates discomfort for the indigenous population.”

CRIME AND SOCIAL DELINQUENCY FROM NON-EUROPEAN SOURCES

Foreign workers from the former Soviet republics—typically from the Caucasus and Central Asia—are also responsible for crime and social problems. Central Asian criminal organizations have taken advantage of the sometimes chaotic conditions in their home countries and the former southern Soviet states (now in the CIS) to engage in large scale drug trafficking and other criminal activities.

A significant part of the drug trafficking activity in the area is taking place in conjunction with Islamic terrorism. The mass immigration of ethnics from the CIS states in the south of the former Soviet Union has led to another important development: the second largest religion in Russia is now Islam, which officially comprises 15 percent of the population.

ISLAMIC TERRORISM FROM THE SOUTH

Islamic terrorism is considered a major threat to the security of Russia by the government, with most terrorist activity taking place in Chechnya and Dagestan. The Russian government has banned seventeen terrorist organizations; the Highest Military Majlisul Shura of the United Forces of the Mujahedeen of the Caucasus, the Congress of the Peoples of Ichkeria and Daghestan, Al Qaeda, Asbat an-Ansar, Egyptian Islamic Jihad, Al-Jamaa al-Islami, Jamaat-e-Islami, Muslim Brotherhood, Hizb ut-Tahrir, Lashkar-e-Toiba, Taliban, Islamic Movement of Uzbekistan, Society of Social Reforms (Jamiat

al-Islah al-Ijtimai), Society of the Revival of Islamic Heritage (Jamiat Ihya at-Turaz al-Islami), al-Haramain Foundation, Junj ash-Sham (Army of the Great Syria), and the Islamic Jihad—jamaat of the mujahedeen.

Famous Islamic terrorist attacks in Russia include the Moscow theatre hostage siege of 2002 (where Muslim Chechens seized 850 hostages attending a show, resulting in the deaths of at least 129); the February 2004 Moscow metro train bombing where a Muslim suicide bomber killed 40 people and injured 120; and the Beslan school siege, or Beslan massacre, when Muslim militants took more than 1,100 people (including some 777 children) hostage in September 2004 in North Ossetia-Alania. By the end of that siege, 334 hostages had been killed, including 186 children.

It is most commonly argued that ‘Russia’s growing economy’ needs masses of immigrants to keep going. But, according to official statistics, Russia has about six million of its own unemployed—so there is actually no shortage of labor at all.

It is then said that unemployed Russians do not want to work in unskilled jobs that the migrant workers are willing to undertake. This argument is belied by official figures which indicate that only 700,000 of the almost 13 million foreign nationals in Russia work in any official capacity. The rest work in the black market, undercutting Russian labor, and in effect boosting Russian unemployment figures. That in turn depresses the Russian standard of living, which negatively impacts the Russian birth rate—which starts the whole cycle once again.

2. EASTERN EUROPE

Eastern Europe—or the states formerly making up the ‘Eastern Bloc’, are the European nations least affected by Third World immigration. This is primarily due to their comparative isolation until the time of the fall of the Soviet Union, and by the economic damage inflicted by five decades of communism. The economic backwardness resulted in relatively (compared to western Europe) poor societies, which

did not attract Third Worlders. As these nations develop and advance economically, this is sure to change.

The only significant non-First World population element in these nations is either Gypsies or Turks, with both of those groups dating to pre-21st Century migrations. All of the figures below were accurate as of 2008, unless otherwise specified.

POLAND

Poland has a population of just over 38 million, of whom less than a few hundred thousand are of recent non-European extraction. Some 96 percent of the population is ethnically Polish, with more than half of the remaining four percent being European of some other nationality. The estimated 1.5 to 2 percent of the remaining population is thought to be Gypsy in origin.

CZECH REPUBLIC

The Czech Republic has a population of some 10.4 million, of whom less than 100,000 were of recent Third World extraction in 2008. It is estimated that between 250,000 and 350,000 Gypsies live in the Czech state. The Slovak Republic has a population of some 5.4 million, of whom less than one percent are of recent Third World extraction. However, the Gypsy population is estimated to be anywhere between five and ten percent of the population.

HUNGARY

Hungary has a population of just under 11 million, of whom less than one percent are of recent Third World extraction. The number of Gypsies is estimated to be in excess of 600,000, although the exact figures are disputed.

ROMANIA

Romania has a population of just over 22 million people, of whom around 92 percent are ethnically European in origin. The rest are either Gypsy, or, bizarrely enough, Chinese, who have an identifiable presence in the capital city of Bucharest.

BULGARIA

Bulgaria has a total population of some 7.9 million, of whom around 85 percent is ethnically Bulgarian. The majority of the Third World-origin population is either Turkish (10 percent) or Gypsy (at least five percent, and possibly more), and only relatively tiny amounts of recent Third World émigrés.

MOLDOVA

Moldova is a relatively unknown (to Westerners) country sandwiched between Romania and the Ukraine, and has a total population of around 4.4 million. Less than two percent of the population are of non-European origin, according to that country's government.

THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA

The former Yugoslavia has now been broken up into six constituent parts: Bosnia and Herzegovina; Croatia, Macedonia, Montenegro, Serbia and Slovenia. Along with Albania, the former Yugoslav states are an area of some ethnic confusion, having been subjected to nearly 900 years of Turkish rule.

As a result, the definition of ethnically European will vary, particularly in the case of Albania. Many anthropologists have suggested that large numbers of the originally European Albanians left that nation during the worst times of the Turkish Ottoman occupation, leaving behind a severely diluted population.

The Ottoman Muslim occupation of the Balkans created a maelstrom of ethnic unrest, which sparked off the First World War—but that is another story. For the purposes of this analysis, the term 'European' is thus used very broadly when referring to the region, even when reference is made to adherents of the Islamic religion which the Ottomans forced upon many inhabitants in the Balkans.

Bosnia and Herzegovina had a total population of about 4.5 million, of whom some 95 percent are ethnically Bosnians, Croats or Serbs. There are about 4.4 million inhabitants of Croatia, of whom some 95 percent are Croatian, Serbian, Bosnian or other European.

Macedonia is said to be 90 percent ethnically European, while of the 620,000 Montenegrins, 93 percent identified themselves as Europeans in a 2003 census. Serbia was estimated to be at least 90 percent Serbian in 2002, with the majority of the remainder of the population being from other parts of the former Republic of Yugoslavia. Not included in that figure was Kosovo, which was estimated in 2002 to be 87 percent Kosovan and seven percent Serbian, with the rest being Turks or Gypsies.

Slovenia had, in 2002, a population of just over two million, of whom less than one percent was identified as non-Slovenian.

THE UKRAINE

The population of the Ukraine, a former part of the Soviet Union, was some 46 million, of whom less than two percent were non-European in origin. Belarus is another former Soviet state bordering the Ukraine. It had a population of some 10.3 million, with less than one percent of non-European origin.

THE BALTIC STATES

All of the Baltic states—Estonia (population 1.3 million), Latvia (2.3 million) and Lithuania (3.3 million) had self-identified non-First World populations of less than one percent.

If the Turkish and Gypsy populations are removed from the equation, it can clearly be seen that Eastern Europe remains the most ethnically European homogeneous region in all of Europe—the one bright spot in the current worldwide crisis facing European people.

CHAPTER EIGHT: THE MAPLE LEAF WILTS —CANADA

According to Canadian government estimates, the total population of that nation reached just over 34 million in 2010, a huge leap from the 28 million figure of 1996. Third World immigration is almost exclusively behind this population growth. In Canada, Third World immigrants are called “visible minorities” and according to the 2006 census, their numbers increase at a rate five times the growth in the population as a whole.

In the five years between 2001 and 2006, the Third World population increased 27.2 percent to nearly 5.1 million individuals, with the majority coming from China, the Philippines, and India. In 2009, Third World immigrants made up 42.9 percent of Toronto’s residents, and 41.7 percent of Vancouver’s population.

As of 2007, nearly 20 percent of Canada’s population was born elsewhere, and official government projections are that by 2031, 33 percent of the country’s population will be of Third World immigrant origin. If illegal immigration and a Canadian Indian element are factored in, it can be taken as read that both Toronto and Vancouver already have majority non-white populations.

This figure is most likely an underestimate, as the higher reproduction rate of Third Worlders has not been factored in. In reality, Canada is set to lose its white majority population by 2040.

80 PERCENT OF IMMIGRATION FROM NON- EUROPEAN SOURCES

Statistics Canada (SC), the government department responsible for the census, reported that the “growth of the visible minority population was due largely to the increasing number of recent immigrants who were from non-European countries.”

According to SC, 83.9 percent of immigrants who arrived between the 2001 and 2006 censuses were from non-European countries. Between 2001 and 2006 the Third World population increased 27.2 percent to nearly 5.1 million, compared with the 5.4 percent growth in the overall population. Nearly 96 percent of the Third Worlders live in metropolitan areas, compared with just 68.1 percent of the total population. Furthermore, according to SC, “If current immigration trends continue, Canada’s visible minority population will continue to grow much more quickly than the non-visible minority population.” Without further immigration (which is of course occurring) Third Worlders will account for in excess of 20 percent of Canada’s population by 2017. In reality, that figure is likely to be passed much sooner than 2017. If official figures showed a 16.2 percent Third World population in 2006, without factoring in illegal immigration or the current increased wave of immigration, the 20 percent mark is likely to be passed well before 2013.

This is because the growth rate of Third Worlders in Canada is exponential. Between 2001 and 2006, Canada’s Third World population increased by 27.2 percent. This was five times faster than the 5.4 percent growth rate of the total population. In 2006, fully 75 percent of recent immigrants who arrived since 2001 were Third Worlders. This compared with 72.9 percent of Third World newcomers in 2001 and 74.1 percent in 1996.

Even this is only half the story. The first thing to bear in mind is that the Canadian census relies on self-identification for its ethnic statistics, and that nearly 6 million respondents identified themselves as ‘Canadian’ rather than reporting any specific ethnic group. It is therefore anyone’s guess how many Third Worlders are hidden in that number as well.

Returning to the self-identified official statistics, the Third World population is younger with an average age of 33 years, compared with an average age of 39 for the population as a whole. This means that the racial demographic scale will swing even more sharply in years to come. An estimated 22.6 percent of the Third World population were children aged 14

years and under whereas 17.9 percent of the total population were in this age bracket.

There was also a higher proportion (46.5 percent) of Third Worlders who were of the core working age group of 25 to 54 years than the total population (44 percent).

Conversely, at the other end of the age spectrum, only 7.3 percent of the Third Worlders were aged 65 years and over in 2006, compared with 13 percent of the total population.

Some 1.3 million people identified themselves as South Asians in the Canadian census of 2006, a 37.7 percent increase from 2001. They accounted for 24.9 percent of the Third World population and four percent of the total population.

The number who identified themselves as Chinese increased 18.2 percent, and accounted for 24 percent of Third Worlders, while the number identifying themselves as black, the third largest Third World population, rose 18.4 percent, and accounted for 15.5 percent of Third Worlders.

Other Third Worlders included Filipinos, making up 8.1 percent of the Third World population, Latin Americans six percent, Arabs 5.2 percent, Southeast Asians 4.7 percent, West Asians 3.1 percent, Koreans 2.8 percent and Japanese 1.6 percent.

Adding to the changing face of the Third World population is a 33 percent increase in 'mixed' marriages or common-law unions involving a Third Worlder with a person from a different racial group or with a person of European descent. Such mixed unions accounted for 3.9 percent of all unions, up from 3.1 percent in 2001, and just 2.6 percent in 1991.

While immigration is fueling the increase in the Third World population, SC noted that fully one-third of all Third Worlders were born in Canada, including nearly two-thirds of Japanese, and 44.3 percent of blacks.

There are more than 200 different 'ethnic origins' defined in the official census report—compared to just 25 in the 1901 census. The original 25 included racially similar groups such

as Scottish, Irish, French, Germans, British and so on, whereas the 200 new ethnicities quite literally include groups as varied as Zulus, people from Borneo, Pakistanis, Iraqis, Sri Lankans, Egyptians, Punjabis, Moroccans, Columbians, Berber, Sudanese, Kurds—and many, many, more.

Among newer groups reported in 2006 were Montserratian from the Caribbean, and Chadian, Gabonese, Gambian and Zambians from Africa. South Asians surpassed Chinese as the largest Third World group in 2006. Chinese and blacks were, respectively, the second and third largest Third World groups.

In 2006, over one-half (52 percent) of the black Third Worlders reported Caribbean origins, while another 42.4 percent reported African origins. Most South Asians reported ancestral backgrounds from the Indian subcontinent: East Indian, alone or with other origins, 69 percent, Pakistani (9.3 percent), Sri Lankan (7.8 percent), Punjabi (4.1 percent), Tamil (2.7 percent) and Bangladeshi (1.8 percent).

The most frequently reported origin among Latin Americans was Spanish. Among the Arabs, it was Lebanese. It was Iranian among the West Asians and Vietnamese among Southeast Asians.

The ethnic composition of the first generation reflected the more recent sources of immigration to Canada. Nearly one-quarter (24 percent) of the first generation reported East and Southeast Asian origins, either alone or with other origins, and about one in seven (13.8 percent) reported South Asian origins.

The Chinese accounted for 15 percent of the first generation; East Indians accounted for 10 percent; Filipinos (4.7 percent), and Vietnamese (1.9 percent).

The Third World population has grown steadily over the last 25 years. In 1981, when data for the four Employment Equity designated groups were first derived, the estimated 1.1 million Third Worlders represented 4.7 percent of Canada's total population.

In 1991, 9.4 percent of the population were Third Worlders—2.5 million people. The Third World population further increased to 3.2 million in 1996, or 11.2 percent of the total population. By 2001, their numbers had reached an estimated 3,983,800 or 13.4 percent of the total population.

In 2006, three in ten of the visible minority groups were born in Canada. In comparison, those who came to Canada as immigrants made up two-thirds (66.3 percent) of the visible minority population.

The highest proportion of these were the Japanese. Of the 81,300 individuals who said they belonged to the Japanese group, almost two-thirds (63.2 percent) were born in Canada.

Slightly over one-half (52.5 percent) of blacks were foreign-born. Of those who were foreign-born, most came from the Caribbean and Africa, such as Jamaica (25.8 percent), Haiti (14.9 percent), Trinidad and Tobago (5.2 percent), Ethiopia (4.5 percent), Somalia (4.4 percent), Ghana (4.4 percent), Guyana (3.5 percent), Nigeria (3.3 percent), Barbados (3.2 percent) and the Democratic Republic of Congo (3.1 percent).

Close to one-third (31.2 percent) of the Southeast Asian Third Worlders were born in Canada and two-thirds were foreign-born who came as immigrants. Vietnam, Cambodia and Laos were the top source countries of the foreign-born Southeast Asians.

El Salvador (18.6 percent), Colombia (15.1 percent) and Mexico (10.3 percent) were the top three birthplaces among Latin American Third Worlders who came to Canada as immigrants.

West Asians had the smallest proportion of Canadian-born, at 14.8 percent, among all the Third World groups. The top two birthplaces among the foreign-born West Asians were Iran (59.2 percent) and Afghanistan (23.9 percent).

In 2006, 22.2 percent of the population in Calgary belonged to a visible minority group. This was up from 164,900 in 2001 when they had accounted for 17.5 percent of Calgary's population and 15.6 percent in 1996.

Two-thirds of Calgary's visible minorities were born outside Canada. In fact, among recent immigrants who arrived between 2001 and 2006, 78 percent belonged to a visible minority group.

The largest Third World group in Calgary were the Chinese with 66,400 people, or 6.2 percent of the metropolitan area's total population. In 2006, of all the Chinese in Canada, Calgary was home to the fourth largest number, behind Toronto, Vancouver and Montreal. South Asians (57,700) were the second largest Third World group in Calgary.

They accounted for 5.4 percent of the total population in the metropolitan area. More than two-thirds (68.8 percent) of South Asians residing in Calgary were born outside of Canada, mainly in India or Pakistan.

The Third World population in Montreal grew by 28.8 percent between 2001 and 2006. In contrast, the total population in the metropolitan area grew by 5.4 percent over the same period. The 2006 census counted some 590,400 people as belonging to a visible minority. Immigration was largely responsible for the growth of the Third World population.

In 2006, Montreal was home to the second largest group of recent immigrants in Canada, after Toronto, and close to two-thirds (64.8 percent) of recent immigrants were members of a Third World group.

The census also counted almost 100,000 Arabs in Montreal in 2006, an increase of 45.8 percent since 2001. They accounted for 37.2 percent of all Arabs in Canada and represented 2.8 percent of Montreal's total population, and 16.7 percent of all Third Worlders in Montreal. About seven in ten Arabs were foreign-born, with most of them born in Morocco, Lebanon or Algeria.

While Montreal was home to the largest number of Arabs in Canada, the largest Third World group in the census metropolitan area was black. The 2006 census enumerated about 169,100 blacks in Montreal. This group made up nearly

three in ten (28.6 percent) of Montreal's visible minorities and over one-fifth (21.6 percent) of all blacks in Canada.

An estimated 55.9 percent of blacks in Montreal were foreign-born. Among them, over one-half (55.4 percent) were born in Haiti.

Latin American was the third largest visible minority group in Montreal. With an estimated 75,400 individuals in 2006, they accounted for 2.1 percent of the census metropolitan area's population.

A majority (71.8 percent) of Latin American visible minorities in Montreal were born outside Canada. Of those who were foreign-born, over one-quarter (28.5 percent) arrived in Canada between 2001 and 2006 and one-third (33.5 percent) came in the 1990s. The top five countries of birth were El Salvador, Peru, Colombia, Mexico and Chile.

Chinese (72,000), South Asian (70,600), Southeast Asian (45,000) and Filipino (23,500) were other visible minority groups that each represented between one and two percent of the Montreal metropolitan area's total population.

The metropolitan area of Toronto had the highest share of Third Worlders among all metropolitan areas in Canada. This was because a large share of recent Third World immigrants settled there between 2001 and 2006.

During this period, Toronto took in 40.4 percent of all newcomers to Canada; 81.9 percent of these newcomers belonged to a visible minority group. The 2006 census enumerated 2,174,100 individuals who identified themselves as visible minorities in Toronto, by far the largest number among all metropolitan areas. They made up 42.9 percent of Toronto's population of 5.1 million, up from 36.8 percent in 2001 and 31.6 percent in 1996.

Between 2001 and 2006, Toronto's Third World population grew by 27 percent, about the same growth rate as the national average of 27.2 percent. The vast majority (94 percent) of the Third World population in the metropolitan area of Toronto lived in only six municipalities: the City of Toronto, Mississauga, Brampton, Markham, Richmond Hill

and Vaughan. These municipalities were also home to 83.5 percent of Toronto's total population.

About 1.7 million of the Third Worlders in Toronto were aged 15 and over. They represented 41 percent of Toronto's total workforce population. The two largest Third World groups in Toronto in 2006 were South Asians and Chinese. The census enumerated 684,100 South Asians, the largest group, and 486,300 Chinese.

The South Asians in Toronto accounted for over one-half (54.2 percent) of all South Asians in Canada in 2006. They represented 31.5 percent of all visible minorities in Toronto, and 13.5 percent of Toronto's total population.

Almost three-quarters (72.3 percent) of the South Asian community in Toronto were foreign-born, having arrived as immigrants. Just under one-third (30.7 percent) of foreign-born South Asians immigrated to Canada between 2001 and 2006. Most of them came from India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka.

Slightly over one-quarter (25.9 percent) of Toronto's South Asians were born in Canada. This population of Canadian-born South Asians was fairly young. In 2006, their median age was 10 years, compared with 27 years among the total Canadian-born population in Toronto.

The 486,300 Chinese made up 22.4 percent of Toronto's Third World population, and 9.6 percent of its total population in 2006. Their top three birthplaces were the People's Republic of China, Hong Kong (Special Administrative Region) and Vietnam.

As it did with the South Asian community, recent immigration fueled the Chinese population in Toronto. Among the Chinese, 74.7 percent were foreign-born, and one-fifth of these arrived between 2001 and 2006.

Toronto was also home to the largest number of blacks and Filipinos among all metropolitan areas. These two groups were respectively the third and fourth largest Third World groups in Toronto in 2006. The black population of 352,200 made up 16.2 percent of Toronto's Third World population, and 6.9 percent of its total population.

Some 55.4 percent of the black population, about 195,300, were foreign-born in 2006, which is a smaller proportion than for the South Asian and Chinese communities. Over one-half (55.1 percent) of foreign-born blacks came from three countries: Jamaica, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ghana.

The 2006 census enumerated 172,000 individuals identified as Filipinos living in Toronto. They represented 7.9 percent of all Third Worlders and 3.4 percent of its total population. Nearly three-quarters (73.1 percent) of Filipinos were foreign-born.

Other groups in the metropolitan area of Toronto included Latin Americans (99,300), West Asians (75,500), Southeast Asians (70,200), Koreans (55,300), Arabs (53,400) and Japanese (19,000).

In summary then, the figures show that Canada will lose its First World majority population well within the next 30 years, and will be majority populated by Third World inhabitants.

THIRD WORLD SOCIAL DELINQUENCY IN CANADA

The social effects of this tidal wave of Third World immigrants has been completely predictable, and has destroyed any liberal dream that changing an environment brings about a change in culture.

Nearly 50 percent of the children of recent immigrants into Canada are below the breadline, while 40 percent of Canadian Indian children and 33 percent of children of Third Worlders live in poverty, according to a 1999 report by the anti-poverty group "Campaign 2000."

The group's report said that one in four "British Columbia children" were living in poverty—the highest of any province. It is no coincidence that that province's Third World immigrant level in 2000 was well above 20 percent, and has since jumped to well over 26 percent.

The federal Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness Canada department ran a program from 1999 to 2003 called

Project Early Intervention that targeted children in a community “made up of recent Arabic and Somalian immigrants ... to increase participants’ resiliency to risk factors related to criminal behavior.”

In 2004, Canada established a national action plan, aimed in part at reducing violence, called *A Canada Fit for Children*, which said “children of recent immigrants and refugee children are more likely to experience economic disadvantage with its associated risks.”

TERRORISM LINKED TO MUSLIM IMMIGRATION AND CANADIAN INTERVENTION IN MIDDLE EAST WARS ON BEHALF OF ISRAEL

Terrorist attacks in Canada have been plotted by both foreign-born (typically naturalized citizens) and Canadian-born people. Half of the 12 identified terrorists in the well-known 2006 Toronto terrorism case were foreign-born and the other half were Canadian-born.

In 2013, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RMCP) confirmed that “Canadians” (actually Arabs living in that country) were involved in the January 2013 terrorist attack on an Algerian gas plant in which over 60 people were killed.

At the time, Algeria’s prime minister claimed that “two Canadians of Arab descent” were among the militants involved in the attack.

“The RCMP confirms that Canadian human remains have been identified in Algeria,” Cpl. Laurence Trottier said. “As this is an ongoing investigation no further information will be given at this time.”

Canadian security agencies have warned that dozens of what they call “radicalized Canadians” have gone abroad to join Al Qaeda affiliates.

The twin policies of intervening in the Middle East on behalf of Israel—and thereby inciting the Muslim world against Canada—and an open doors immigration policy which allows hundreds of thousands of Muslim immigrants from

these regions which the Canadian military is ordered to attack, is , just like in many other western nations, a recipe for disaster.

NONWHITE CRIME WAVE IN CANADA

Non-white gang warfare has gripped almost every single major city in Canada along with the Third World invasion. In Edmonton, for example, the Asian ‘Crazy Dragons’ gang is well known for its gun smuggling, and black or Latin American origin gangs such as the Powder Kings, Listen, Flow, Sour, S.W.E.D., Bloodz and Crips have also proliferated.

In Toronto, there has been a huge surge in black gun violence.

More than half of Ottawa’s 600 confirmed street gang members were born outside of Canada (and the membership of Ottawa’s two ‘predominant’ gangs—the West Side Bloods and the Ledbury Banff Crips is made up of 57 different nationalities).

The Ledbury Banff Crips (LBC) are notorious for drug trafficking, fraud and prostitution. In July 2008, for example, LBC member Remy Maliragora was charged with possession of stolen property, carrying a concealed weapon, possession of break-in instruments, theft and robbery and was deported to Rwanda, his home country. His case echoed that of another LBC member, Sharmarke Ali, who was also deported to Ethiopia earlier that year.

There are a multitude of other Third World-origin street gangs in Ottawa including the Southside Crips, Cedarwood Crips, H-Block Crips, Asian Boyz, Viet Boyz, Overbrook Bloods, Baycrest Crips, Eastside Mafia Crips, D Block Mobstaz, Sandlewood Crips, Esson Castonguay Chrislund Crips, Huntclub Crips, Russel Ridas, Russel Crips, Overbrook Crips and Donald Project Gangstas—all of whom give their ethnic origins away in their names.

In Vancouver, one of the most prominent gangs rejoices in the name of the United Nations Gang, and is a splinter gang of the local Chinese triad. In Winnipeg, a black street gang known as the Mad Kowz has won infamy for its multiple

murders and street shootouts. It recruits from African and Middle Eastern nationalities. North Preston's Finest is the most well known Halifax street gang due to their prostitution ring, with which they tricked or threatened Nova Scotian women into going to Toronto to work the streets as prostitutes. NPF recruits mainly from the black community of North Preston, Nova Scotia.

CANADA STRUGGLES WITH RISING BLACK AND AMERIND PRISON POPULATION

Figures contained in a November 2013 report issued by the ombudsman for federal inmates in Canada revealed the following:

- Amerinds constitute 22 percent of that country's prison population, although they only make up 4 percent of the total population.
- Blacks make up a further 10 percent of the total prison population, yet according to official statistics make up only 2.9 percent of the country's population.
- A further 10 percent of prisoners are classed as "non-Caucasian." Although their racial origin is not specified, it is likely that they are Chinese or other Asian.
- Black inmates are more likely than the general inmate population to do time in maximum security and solitary confinement.
- Black inmates are less likely to hold prison jobs—their prison unemployment rate is 7 percent, while the rate for all prisoners is just 1.5 percent.
- Black inmates are also less likely to be released on parole, mainly because of behavioral problems which disqualify them.
- The incarceration rate of blacks has rocketed by more than 80 percent in the last ten years.
- The incarceration rate of "aboriginal women" also increased by 80 percent in the past decade.

– At the same time, the number of “Caucasian” inmates declined by three percent.

– The federal budget for the Correctional Service of Canada (CSC) has increased 40 percent to \$2.6 billion in the past five years, most of it being spent on building 2,700 new cells.

“Today, four in 10 of the federal inmate population is comprised of non-Caucasian offenders,” the ombudsman report said, adding that black inmates are “over-represented,” particularly in maximum-security institutions.

UNEMPLOYMENT HIGH AMONG THIRD WORLD IMMIGRANTS

Unemployment is also higher amongst these Third Worlders. In 1996, 23 percent of Third World people aged 15-24 were unemployed. This figure has steadily increased along with Third World immigration levels, and costs the Canadian taxpayer untold billions in welfare.

Imprisonment rates for Third World immigrants in Canada is regarded in that country as an explosive issue, and the Canadian government accordingly either does not keep such figures, or does not release them to the public. There have been no publicly released figures on non-white crime and imprisonment figures since the late 1990s, most likely out of a fear of the trends which were already evident.

From 1986 to 1993, for example, official statistics showed that the number of blacks imprisoned in Ontario had increased by 204 percent compared with a 23 percent increase for whites. In 1996, only three percent of Ontario’s 10 million people were black, but blacks accounted for 15 percent of the prison population in that city.

In 1994, a report by the ‘Commission on Systematic Racism in the Criminal Justice System’ found that blacks in Canada had a prison admission rate twice that of whites, despite being a minority. A similar 1998 report found that blacks in Canada had an imprisonment rate three times higher than the general population. Whites were imprisoned at the

rate of 42 per 100,000, Canadian Indians at the rate of 184 per 100,000, blacks at the rate of 146 per 100,000 and Asians at the rate of 16 per 100,000, while 'others' were imprisoned at a rate of 99 per 100,000.

CHAPTER NINE: THE REPUBLIC IN PERIL—THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The 2010 US Census reported that the total population of America was 310,233,000. Of this number, the census said, 246,630,000 were “white alone,” 39,909,000 were “black alone,” 3,188,000 were “American Indian and Alaska Native alone,” 14,415,000 were “Asian alone,” 592,000 were “Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone,” 5,499,000 were “Two or more races,” 49,726,000 were of “Hispanic origin” (although the report cautioned that “Persons of Hispanic origin may be any race”), and that the “Not Hispanic, White alone” category numbered 200,853,000 (Table 11. “Resident Population Projections by Race, Hispanic-Origin Status, and Age: 2010 and 2015,” US Census Bureau, Statistical Abstract of the United States: 2011).

WHITE POPULATION DECLINES BY 25% OF TOTAL IN 50 YEARS

It is the latter figure which approximates the actual white population of the US, which means that the European-origin element of the American population stood at 64 percent at the beginning of 2010. This is a dramatic decline from 1960, where whites made up 88 percent of the US’s population (158,831,732 out of a total of 179,323,175 people).

This figure masks an even more serious factor for the future of white America, namely that in 2009, the majority of live births there were to nonwhites. In 2009, non-Hispanic whites made up just under half of all children three years old, which was the youngest age group shown in the Census Bureau’s survey.

Just ten years earlier, more than 60 percent of children in that age group were white. According to the 2010 data, nonwhites babies under the age of two outnumbered white babies for the first time.

The data showed that in 2009, twelve states and the District of Columbia had white populations below 50 percent among children under age five: Hawaii, California, New Mexico, Texas, Arizona, Nevada, Florida, Maryland, Georgia, New Jersey, New York, and Mississippi. Just ten years earlier, “only” six states and the District of Columbia had nonwhite majorities.

In July 2015, it was announced that Hispanics had for the first time outnumbered whites in California, America’s most populous state.

The massive increase is almost exclusively due to immigration, mainly from Mexico, Central and South America, and other Third World nations. An August 2008 report from the US Census Bureau (USCB) projected that by 2042, whites in America would be an absolute minority.

The exponential growth in America’s population figures can be seen in the increase in just two years alone. In 2006, the US population’s total was some 299 million—which means a six million jump in just two years.

RACIAL CATEGORIES IN US NOTORIOUSLY INACCURATE

Bearing in mind that racial classifications in all US Census Bureau surveys are self-selected—in others words, people choose their own racial categories depending on how they view themselves—and are therefore open to at least a small amount of distortion, the 2006 racial classifications are of note.

In 2006 then, some 66% (198 million) of Americans classified themselves as white; 14.8% (44 million) classified themselves as Hispanic or Latino ‘of any race’; 13.4% (40 million) classified themselves as black or ‘African American’; 4.4% (13 million) classified themselves as Asian (which in the American context is taken to mean Far Eastern Asian); 6.5% (19 million) classified themselves as ‘some other race’; and 2% (6.1 million) classified themselves as ‘two or more races.’

In addition, 0.68% (two million) classified themselves as ‘American Indian or Alaska Native’; and 0.14% (half a million) classified themselves as ‘Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander.’

WHITES SET TO BE MINORITY BEFORE 2045

Figures released by the US Census Bureau in June 2015 revealed that White people are dying faster than they are being born, which means they are on target to become a minority in the United States in 30 years.

For the third year in a row, deaths of non-Hispanic whites outnumbered births, according to detailed population estimates for states and counties released by the U.S. Census Bureau.

“This is without historical precedent,” Kenneth Johnson, the senior demographer at the University of New Hampshire’s Carsey School of Public Policy, was quoted in the media as saying. “The minority population is growing, and the non-Hispanic white population is not.”

“I don’t think people fully appreciate how much natural increase [more births than deaths] contributes to the nation’s growing diversity,” Johnson said. “If you ask people why is America more diverse, they would say it’s because minorities are being born. What nobody ever thinks about is that a lot more whites are dying.”

Five jurisdictions are already majority-minority. Hawaii has the largest majority-minority at 77 percent, followed by the District of Columbia (64.2 percent), California (61.5 percent), New Mexico (61.1 percent) and Texas (56.5 percent). Nevada, now at 48.5 percent minority, is the next expected to cross the majority-minority threshold.

California — the nation’s most populous state with 38.8 million residents — and Los Angeles County had the largest white, Hispanic, Asian and American Indian populations of any state or county. Texas added the most whites, blacks and Hispanics from 2013 to 2014.

New York had the largest African-American population 3.8 million, but the District of Columbia had the highest

percentage of black residents, with 50.6 percent.

“So we are having an older white population, with whites, once again, showing a minority of births,” Frey said. “These new estimates — showing declining white births, minority white toddlers and pervasive losses in the nation’s under age 20 white population — indicate that future generations almost everywhere will be increasingly made up of minorities.” With a population growth rate of six million every two years, this figure is set to change dramatically.

Overall, the population of the United States is due to rise from 296 million in 2005 to 438 million, with 82 percent of the increase coming from Third World immigrants. The total projected growth is equal to the combined populations of Great Britain, France and Spain. The 105 million from immigration by itself is equal to 13 additional New York Cities.

WHITE CHILDREN AN ABSOLUTE MINORITY BY 2020

White children will be outnumbered by nonwhite children in the United States by 2020, the US Census Bureau predicted in 2015. By the year 2020, 50.2 percent of all children in the US are expected to be non-white, according to the Census.

From 2020, the USCB has reported, more Mexicans will be added to the population each year than blacks, Asians and Indians combined. In addition, from 2019, the relatively youthful Mexican population will have America’s lowest death rate.

STATES RAPIDLY SWITCHING TO MAJORITY NONWHITE

Snapshot figures from just two individual states reveal the seriousness of the situation. The population of California is set to reach 60 million by 2050, up from 36 million in 2006. In Starr County, Texas, located on the US-Mexico border, the 2006 USCB figures showed that 90.7% of the population spoke Spanish at home—meaning that they all came from Mexico.

This figure has implications for the rest of Texas, which are indeed reflected in USCB projections. In that state, whites will number only 30 percent of the population by 2050. By 2030, 16 to 20 percent of the population will be 65 or older, an increase of about 10 percent over 2000. Most will be whites. Of Texans older than 65 in 2000, 72.6 percent were white.

As far-reaching as these figures are, they do not even include the impact of illegal immigration. According to the Center for Immigration Studies, an independent, non-partisan, non-profit research body widely regarded as an authority on the issue, in August 2007, the illegal population total stood at around 12.5 million. This means, in real terms, that there are probably more illegal aliens in America than there are blacks.

When this figure is added to the official totals, the demographic swing against European-origin America is even more marked.

As all Third World immigrants have far higher birth rates than whites, then, when the legal and illegal immigration wave is factored in, it is likely that even the 2042 date for majority non-European America is optimistic. With the population leapfrogging by three million every year, the date when European Americans are set to be swamped is likely to be far earlier than 2042—by as much as ten years.

WHITES BECAME MINORITY OF UNDER-FIVES IN 2013

The dramatic shift in the racial makeup of the United States of America was revealed in 2013 by the US Census Bureau. According to the official figures, “non-Hispanic whites” became a minority in the under-5 age group in 2012. To further distort the figures, the US Census Bureau, like the FBI, officially classifies a large number of people who are not white, as white, for “statistical purposes.”

According to the US Census Bureau, a white is defined as a “person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa. It includes people who indicate their race as ‘White’ or report entries such as

Irish, German, Italian, Lebanese, Arab, Moroccan, or Caucasian.”

From this it can be seen that all Arabs, Middle Easterners are counted as “white” by the Census Bureau.

As a result, the actual “white” numbers are going to be smaller than the official figures, and it can safely be assumed that whites are already past minority status in the under-5 age group in America. This means that the official Census Bureau projection that nonwhites will make up a majority of under-18s by the year 2018, is also an underestimate.

SOCIAL EFFECTS OF THIRD WORLD COLONIZATION OF AMERICA

The effects of this Third World immigration tsunami, which has reached into all parts of America, have been uniformly negative, quite apart from the long-term implications which will see that nation downgraded to Third World Status well before the end of the 21st Century.

Third World population elements continue to experience higher poverty rates than whites. According to the USCB’S 2001 report, some 22.1 percent of blacks were below the official poverty line. This compared with 21.2 percent of Hispanics, 10.8 percent of Asians and Pacific Islanders, and 7.5 percent of whites.

In more than 40 central cities, big and small, there are double-digit poverty rates, according to the US Department of Housing and Urban Development.

A 2007 report by a high school superintendent in Arkansas showed a direct correlation between the rise in Third World immigrants and poverty in the area. Superintendent Jim Rollins from Springdale High School, Springdale, reported that year that half of the students at his school were non-white and below the poverty rate. The poverty rate, as measured by the number of students who qualified for free and reduced price meals, had risen from 29 percent in 2003 to 45 percent in 2007, he said. During the same four years, the ethnicity of the school had changed from 67 percent white and

33 percent non-white to 53 percent and 47 percent respectively. Just over one-third of the district's 15,000-plus students were 'English language learners'—meaning, of course, that English was not their first language. Rollins said the district received about \$1 million in state aid for English language programs, but the district spent \$3.5 million on those programs the previous year alone.

The poverty rate for immigrants and their US-born children (under 18) is 18.4 percent, 57 percent higher than the 11.7 percent for natives and their children. Immigrants and their minor children account for almost one in four persons living in poverty.

One-third of immigrants lack health insurance—two-and-one-half times the rate for natives. Immigrants and their US-born children account for almost three-fourths (nine million) of the increase in the uninsured population since 1989.

Immigration accounts for virtually all of the national increase in public school enrollment over the last two decades. In 2005, there were 10.3 million school age children from immigrant families in the United States.

THIRD WORLD ECONOMIC DECLINE STARES US IN FACE

According to a January 2015 report by the Southern Education Foundation, more than half of the US's school-age children are now officially living in poverty—destroying the argument that immigration will “boost the economy” and plunging America to the very precipice of Third World economic decline.

According to the analysis, titled *A New Majority: Low Income Students Now a Majority In the Nation's Public Schools*, “low income students are now a majority of the schoolchildren attending the nation's public schools.”

The appropriately-titled report said that the latest data collected from the states by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), show that 51 percent of the students across the nation's public schools were low income in 2013. In 40 of

the 50 states, low income students comprised no less than 40 percent of all public schoolchildren. In 21 states, children eligible for free or reduced-price lunches were a majority of the students in 2013.

Most of the states with a majority of low income students are found in the South and the West. Thirteen of the 21 states with a majority of low income students in 2013 were located in the South, and six of the other 21 states were in the West. Mississippi led the nation with the highest rate: 71 percent, almost three out of every four public school children, were low-income. The nation's second highest rate was found in New Mexico, where 68 percent of all public school students were low income in 2013.

The report follows on from an earlier report issued by the Southern Education Foundation in 2010, titled "A New Diverse Majority: Students of Color in the South's Public Schools" which revealed that "for the first time in history, public schools in the American South no longer enroll a majority of White students."

According to that report, black, Latino, Asian-Pacific Islander, American Indian, and multiracial children now constitute slightly more than half of all students in the 15 states of the South.

The pattern of poverty, while concentrated in the Hispanic overrun South, is however appearing across the nation as the immigration invasion spreads.

According to the 2015 Southern Education Foundation report, half or more of the public schoolchildren in 21 states were eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches, a benefit available only to families living in poverty or near-poverty in 2013.

In 19 other states, low income students constituted between 40 percent and 49 percent of the states' public school enrollment. In other words, very high proportions of low income students were evident in four-fifths of the 50 states in 2013.

This poverty rate has been steadily growing. In 1989, less than 32 percent of the nation's public school students were low-income.

By 2000, the national rate as compiled and calculated by the Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) had increased to over 38 percent.

By 2006, the national rate was 42 percent and by 2011 it had climbed to 48 percent. NCES data shows that in 2012 the rate of low income students was barely below one-half—49.6 percent. In 2013, the rate crossed the threshold of one half so that in 2013 low income students became a new majority in the nation's public schools.

The demographic change is almost exclusively due to the mass invasion of the US by millions of illegal immigrants from central and South America.

The Southern Education Foundation figures are supported by official statistics released last year which revealed that, nationally speaking, the 2014–15 school year will mark the threshold when nonwhites will officially become the majority of pupils.

The correlation between the plunge into poverty level and the overrunning of America by millions of nonwhites from central and South America is clear. Contrary to the leftists' claim that immigration will "boost" the economy, the economic and social chaos which characterizes the Third World is moving *en masse* to America along with the invaders.

WORKER SKILL SETS DECLINING

It is often argued that immigrants are bringing much needed skills to the country. In reality, nothing could be further from the truth. In 1996, according to the Immigration and Naturalization Service, 1.2 million newcomers joined the US population—yet fewer than five percent were admitted because of their job skills. Most of the rest were allowed in because they were relatives of US citizens or other immigrants or were refugees, and a quarter of the total were illegal immigrants.

During the 1990s, more than 1.3 million people with less than a high school education entered the USA. Of those who arrived in that decade, 34.4 percent were school dropouts.

In 1998, nearly 40 percent of immigrants had less than a high school education—double the share for natives, according to the 1990 census figures. The gap widens when grade school education is considered. Some 23 percent of immigrants have less than nine years of education, compared with just four percent of Americans.

In an article on the effects of mass immigration, Forbes magazine posed the question: “Why has immigration increased the poverty rate?” and then answered it by saying: “They (the immigrants) are unlikely to do well in the knowledge economy” (due to their lack of skills).

The academic disaster of the Californian Latino population was the subject of a 1998 California Research Bureau report entitled the ‘Educational attainment of Latinos, California.’

According to this report, 44.7 percent of that state’s Latinos had no high school education at all; only 41.1 percent had some high school education; 5.8 percent had an associate’s degree; 6.2 percent had a bachelor’s degree; 1.2 percent had a master’s degree, and only one percent had a doctorate or professional qualification.

It is thus clear that the problem of uneducated Third World immigrants is not a temporary issue that subsides with increased opportunity, but in fact gets worse with the passage of time.

The high school dropout rate is not something new to immigrants in the USA; it is common in the Third World origin countries of these immigrants. The high school dropout rate in most Latin American countries exceeds 50 percent. Mexico, for example, has an illiteracy rate in excess of ten percent, and Guatemala has an illiteracy rate of over 40 percent.

Third World immigration into the USA has therefore delivered a mass of undereducated people, unable to work in a

society that is highly dependent on technology—the extreme opposite of what the pro-immigration lobby alleges.

EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM COLLAPSE FOLLOWS THIRD WORLD COLONIZATION

The massively increased Third World immigration wave is also not contributing in any way to ‘increased educational performance’ or up skilling the economy. According to the independent research body, Family Security Matters, in 2006, some 45 percent of all ‘non-Mexicans’ in the United States had a post high school degree. The vast majority of these were awarded to white Americans.

The FSM figures showed that only 5.4 percent of first generation Mexicans had a post high school degree. This figure climbed to 9.3 percent of second generation Mexicans, dropped to 8.5 percent of third generation Mexicans, and then went up to 9.6 percent of fourth generation Mexicans.

Thus, even by the fourth generation—possibly 50 or 60 years of ‘assimilation’, it is clear that the descendants of Mexican immigrants are either incapable or unwilling to improve their educational status. This has catastrophic implications for American educational standards as that country moves towards majority non-white status.

A 2006 study, by sociologists Rubén G. Rumbaut of the University of California-Irvine and Alejandro Portes of Princeton University, found that significant numbers of immigrants had lower levels of education, lower incomes and higher birth rates than white Americans.

Furthermore, the study found, the US-born children of Mexican, Haitian and West Indian immigrants were the worst offenders in these areas. According to its findings, 40 percent of children from Mexican immigrants and Laotian and Cambodian refugees did not go beyond a high school diploma. The researchers found that children of Laotians, Cambodians and Haitians had the lowest median annual household income. They were followed closely by Mexicans. Furthermore, the study found that the most educationally and economically backward children of immigrants were most likely to have

children of their own at a young age, compounding the problem which was unsolvable in the first place. Some 25 percent of Haitians, West Indians, Laotians and Cambodians fell into this category—but even those figures were dwarfed by Mexicans, for whom the figure was 41 percent.

In Texas, if current trends continue, that state's workforce will be less educated and less skilled. State services, already burdened, may be strained to a point never before experienced.

More than half of Hispanics 25 and older had failed to finish high school in 2000; fewer than 20 percent had completed some college, and only about 10 percent had a college degree. The implications are obvious, given that Hispanics will comprise 52 percent of the Texas work force by 2030.

As early as 1998, a study by the National Academy of Sciences estimated that each native Californian family paid nearly \$1,200 in taxes to support government services that went to immigrants.

Those costs will only grow as the number of immigrants in America increases. The Heritage Institutes's Robert Rector has estimated that each immigrant high school dropout will cost US taxpayers \$85,000 over his lifetime.

A 2006 report from the Center for Immigration Studies showed that Third World immigration was not creating jobs, but only driving Americans out of the labor market.

According to the report, between March 2000 and March 2005, only nine percent of the net increase in jobs for adults (18 to 64) went to Americans. All the rest went to immigrants—91 percent of all new jobs!

At the same time, unemployment among less-educated adult Americans increased by nearly one million, and the number of Americans who left the labor force altogether increased by 1.5 million.

**THIRD WORLD IMMIGRATION DRIVES UP
POVERTY**

There is direct evidence that immigration has harmed less-educated Americans. States with the largest increase in immigrants also saw larger declines in natives working, and in occupational categories that received the most new immigrants, native unemployment averaged ten percent.

A report by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco indicates that mass immigration to California has led to a widening gap between the affluent and the poor, sharply rising poverty, and a median income in the state that has slipped significantly below the national norm.

The Federal Reserve Report went on to say that if California's demographic structure had not diverged from that of the rest of the US, the proportion of the population with incomes below the poverty line would have increased by just 6 percent, rather than the 25 percent increase that took place.

In the Appalachia, Mississippi Delta, Black Hills, and Los Angeles areas, more than 30 percent of Hispanics are below the poverty line. According to a survey by the University of California at San Francisco and the Field Institute, Latinos are 13 times more likely to be part of the working poor—defined in the survey as a family of four earning less than \$20,000.

Third World immigration into the USA has not produced a highly skilled labor force. Instead, the US labor pool has become flooded with low level, menial laborers, whose desperation for work of any sort has undercut the wages paid at the lower end of the labor market. This in turn has made it more difficult for native-born American citizens to escape poverty. An estimated 1,880,000 American workers are displaced from their jobs every year by immigration; the cost for providing welfare and assistance to these Americans is over \$15 billion a year.

It is estimated that between 40 and 50 percent of wage loss among low-skilled Americans is due to the immigration of low-skilled workers.

THIRD WORLD IMMIGRATION DRIVES UP WELFARE PROGRAMS

The proportion of immigrant households using welfare programs is estimated by the Center for Immigration Studies to be between 30 to 50 percent higher than that of US-born citizens.

According to the 'Immigrants and Welfare, Research Perspectives on Migration' report released by the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace—International Migration Policy Project, immigrant use of welfare has been rising, regardless of how 'immigrant' and 'welfare' are defined.

Immigrants in California are three times more likely to receive welfare than native-born residents, a dramatic difference that is now seen in other states with burgeoning immigrant populations, according to a report by the US General Accounting Office. Forty-six percent of California's children live in families with incomes low enough to qualify for subsidized school meals (\$29,000 or less annually for a family of four).

Over 60 percent of Hispanic households in the Alexandria, Virginia, area receive assistance from federal or state programs for low-income residents. Forty-four percent of Hispanic families get subsidized school lunches for their children.

Immigrants who arrived in the US after 1989 and their US-born children account for 60 percent or 5.5 million of the increase in the size of the uninsured medical health population.

The low health insurance rate means that these Third World immigrants show up at the emergency rooms of America's hospitals because they cannot afford medical care.

Dozens of hospitals in Texas, New Mexico, Arizona and California, have been forced to close or face bankruptcy because of federally-mandated programs requiring free emergency room services for illegal aliens.

In Colorado, the state's emergency Medicaid program paid an estimated \$30 million in hospital and physician delivery costs for about 6,000 illegal immigrant mothers in 2003 alone, at an average of \$5,000 per baby.

Those 6,000 births to illegal aliens represented 40 percent of the births paid for by Medicaid in Colorado. Those 6,000 babies immediately became US citizens and qualified for full Medicaid services, with a cost yet to be tabulated.

Nearly half of the children born to Hispanic mothers in the US are born out of wedlock, a proportion that has been increasing rapidly with no signs of slowing down. The Hispanic birth rate is twice as high as that of the rest of the American population. That high fertility rate—even more than unbounded levels of immigration—will fuel the rapid Hispanic population boom in the coming decades. Hispanic women have the second highest unmarried birth rate in the country—over three times that of whites and Asians, according to the Centers for Disease Control. Forty-five percent of all Hispanic births occur outside of marriage, compared with 24 percent of white births and 15 percent of Asian births. Only the percentage of black out-of-wedlock births—68 percent—exceeds the Hispanic rate. But the black population is not going to triple over the next few decades.

The unmarried Hispanic birth rate is increasing faster than among other groups. It jumped five percent from 2002 to 2003, whereas the rate for other unmarried women remained flat. Couple the high and increasing illegitimacy rate of Hispanics with their higher overall fertility rate, and you have a recipe for unstoppable family breakdown.

THIRD WORLD COLONIZATION DRIVES UP TAXES

The National Academy of Sciences found that the net fiscal drain on American taxpayers is between \$166 and \$226 a year per native household. Even studies claiming some modest overall gain for the economy from immigration (\$1 to \$10 billion a year) have found that it is outweighed by the fiscal cost (\$15 to \$20 billion a year) to native taxpayers.

The net deficit is caused by a low level of tax payments by immigrants, because they are disproportionately low-skilled and thus earn low wages, and a higher rate of consumption of

government services, both because of their relative poverty and their higher fertility.

According to the ‘Immigrants and Welfare, Research Perspectives on Migration’ report released by the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace—International Migration Policy Project, approximately 1.4 million immigrants receive AFDC or SSI payments totaling \$4.5 billion annually. Estimates using a more broadly defined package of benefits and counting benefits from state and local as well as federal sources indicate that immigrants receive approximately \$25 billion annually in assistance benefits.

The Federation for American Immigration Reform, an anti-immigration lobby, estimated in 2006 that the total cost to American taxpayers in subsidizing immigration, both legal and illegal, in all fields, from welfare to prisoners, totaled in excess of \$4.3 billion. Projected out, FAIR said, this will grow to over \$8 billion by 2016.

This far outweighs any supposed economic benefit immigration was supposed to have brought, and, of course, will end in disaster as the (white) taxpaying segment of the population continues to shrink.

SOUTH AMERICAN CRIMINAL GANGS MOVE TO US

The lawlessness which is endemic in the Hispanic Third World has already been transferred en masse to the United States. A study of gangs and gang warfare in Denver, Colorado, for example—not widely thought of as a mass immigration magnet—showed that more than half of all adolescent Hispanic males in the city belonged to a violent criminal gang of one sort or another.

Estimates put gang members nationwide at well over one million, the vast majority of whom are non-white, either Hispanic, black or Asian. Gang membership is fast becoming the norm for non-white youth in America, rather than the exception. This carries obvious implications as these sorts of people steadily edge towards majority population status.

In 2003, racial or ethnic minorities made up 68 percent of the US prison population, according to US Justice Department data. Criminal immigrants account for more than 25 percent of all inmates in federal prisons and are the fastest growing segment of the prison population. Figures show that 80 percent of cocaine and 50 percent of heroin in the US is smuggled across the border by Mexican nationals.

Taxpayers pay half-a-billion dollars per year incarcerating illegal alien criminals. The federal prison population of non-citizens has increased by about 15 percent per year from the mid-1980s to the present. Upkeep for each prisoner costs the taxpayers \$21,300 per year.

In 2006, California governor Arnold Schwarzenegger was forced to reverse a decade of California policy when he ordered the construction of at least two more prisons and the addition of thousands of beds in existing facilities to deal with what he called “dangerously overcrowded” prisons. The massive increase in California’s Hispanic population is of course directly linked to the massive increase in incarcerated criminals. In that year, Schwarzenegger said, California housed more than 171,000 inmates, including 16,300 placed in prison gyms and day rooms, making it the most overcrowded prison system in the nation. He also revealed that “more than 10 percent of California’s prison population is in the United States illegally.”

THIRD WORLD IMMIGRATION DRIVES UP CRIME RATES

Official police figures showed that in 2007, 25 to 50 percent of all gangsters arrested in northern and western Virginia were deportable aliens. Gang investigators estimate that 90 percent of the members of MS-13, the most notorious immigrant gang, are illegal aliens. MS-13 and Mexican gangs are now so well entrenched in many parts of the country that Mexican authorities have complained that gangsters from that country are able to take refuge from Mexican police inside California. There, the police say, the wanted gangsters are able to merge into the massive illegal population where they are effectively untouchable by authorities.

Police statistics from Virginia in 2007 showed an even more worrying trend amongst non-white immigrant gangsters in that state. Apart from being responsible for the vast majority of violent crimes, a large number of these gangsters had day jobs as well. In other words, being in employment did not stop them from turning to crime.

In 2001, the Los Angeles authorities reported that 95 percent of all outstanding warrants for homicide (which totaled 1,200 to 1,500) targeted illegal aliens. Up to two-thirds of all fugitive felony warrants (17,000) were for illegal aliens.

A confidential California Department of Justice study reported in 1995 that 60 percent of the 20,000-strong 18th Street Gang in southern California were illegal aliens; police officers said the proportion was actually much greater. The gang collaborates with the Mexican Mafia, the dominant force in California prisons, on complex drug-distribution schemes, extortion, and drive-by assassinations, and commits an assault or robbery every day in L.A. County. The gang has grown dramatically over the last two decades by recruiting recently arrived youngsters, most of them illegal, from Central America and Mexico. The leadership of the Columbia Lil' Cycos gang, which uses murder and racketeering to control the drug market around L.A.'s MacArthur Park, consisted of around 60 percent illegal aliens in 2002.

In 2005, Department of Justice figures said that 27 percent of all inmates in federal jails were foreign-born aliens. This costs the American taxpayer over \$1 billion per year—not including local arrest, trial, incarceration, and probation costs.

When all of these are added in, it is clear that illegal alien criminals cost the American taxpayer billions and billions of dollars per year in criminal justice system costs alone. However, not even these statistics tell the true story about Third World immigrant crime in America. Once the figures for legal aliens and the descendants of immigrants are calculated in, the figures become almost unbelievable.

THIRD WORLDERS MAJORITY OF US PRISON POPULATION

By 2007, the total prison population had risen to 2.2 million people. Blacks—who include not only historically resident individuals, but also huge numbers of recent immigrants from Africa, such as the tens of thousands of Somalis dumped down in Minnesota and other largely white areas of the country—produce prison populations way in excess of their population percentages. Blacks now constitute 900,000 of the total 2.2 million incarcerated population—or 40 percent.

Data from the Bureau of Justice Statistics shows that one in six black men had been incarcerated as of 2001. If current trends continue, one in three black males born today can expect to spend time in prison.

Not too surprisingly, another development in US incarceration statistics over the past decade has been the growing proportion of the Hispanic population entering prisons and jails.

In 2005, legally resident Hispanics comprised 20 percent of the state and federal prison population, a rise of 43 percent since 1990. As a result of these trends, one of every six Hispanic males and one of every 45 Hispanic females can expect to go to prison in his or her lifetime. These rates are more than double those for non-Hispanic whites.

According to official figures, as of 2006, whites were imprisoned in America at a rate of 412 per 100,000, blacks at a rate of 2,290 per 100,000, and Hispanics at a rate of 742 per 100,000.

Possibly, the most shocking fact of all, when reviewing all US Government statistics, from census bureau projections right through to criminal and prison rates, is the fact that all US Federal statistics on race are biased—against whites. This is so because the official federal definition of white is “a person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East or North Africa.” In other words, ‘whites’ for the Federal Government includes Arabs and North Africans.

The implications of this are staggering: it means that US Census Bureau figures for whites are overestimates and

therefore, that the number of whites in America are actually fewer than official figures claim, and that incarceration rates for whites are even lower than the official figures suggest.

THE SUB-PRIME “CREDIT CRUNCH” AND IMMIGRATION

The most damning evidence of how illegal immigration is destroying America has come with the so-called ‘credit crunch’ financial crisis. This is, in fact, when stripped down to its bare essentials, the product of short-sighted, greedy and immoral financial institutions lending millions to illegal aliens and other ‘low income groups’ for housing loans and even credit cards. In 2008, the Department of Housing and Urban Development reported that no less than five million illegal aliens held illegal mortgages. These fraudulent straw purchases of mortgages by illegal aliens have affected every state in the union.

The problem began years ago when banks were forced to give mortgages without confirming social security numbers or borrower identification. As a result, illegal immigrants were able to obtain home mortgages which they could not afford. Lax immigration laws have also helped make this crime easy to perpetrate.

Half of the mortgages to Hispanics are so-called ‘sub-prime’—which is financial newspeak for credit lending at high interest rates to those who would otherwise not be able to get credit.

Regional reports across America showed that in seven of the ten metro areas with the highest foreclosure rates, Hispanics represented at least one-third of the population; in two of those areas—Merced and Salinas-Monterey, California—Hispanics comprised half the population.

Well known banks such as Wachovia and Bank of America have been in the forefront of giving loans—and even credit cards—to illegal aliens. The Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority have even guaranteed home loans to illegal immigrants. *The Washington Post* reported in 2005 that “Hispanics, the nation’s fastest-growing major

ethnic or racial group, have been courted aggressively by real estate agents, mortgage brokers and programs for first-time buyers that offer help with closing costs. Ads proclaim: “Sin verificación de ingresos! Sin verificación de documento!”—which loosely translates as, ‘Income tax forms are not required, nor are immigration papers.’”

The credit crunch has seen banks all over the world invest in this ‘sub-prime’ housing market in America—and now that the borrowers of this cash have defaulted en masse, the resulting crisis has worldwide ramifications. There appears to be no end to the path of destruction created by mass Third World immigration to the First—abetted in this particular case by financial institutions that refused to accept the critical distinction between the ethics and practices of First and Third World populations.

FBI CRIME FIGURES CONFIRM: BLACK TOWNS MOST DANGEROUS, WHITE AREAS SAFEST

Even though the latest official Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Uniform Crime Report classifies Hispanics and white people as one racial group when it comes to offenders—but separately as victims(!)—the statistics have once again confirmed that black-dominated cities are the most dangerous places in America.

According to the latest “Crime in the United States 2013” report, issued by the FBI, the black disaster zone of Detroit, MI, kept its notorious status as the most dangerous city in the nation with no less than 14,504 violent crimes, including 316 murders, during 2013.

This works out to an astonishing 39 violent crimes per day, or a statistical average of 1.6 violent crimes every hour. Detroit is an infamously all-black city which has been teetering on total collapse ever since the sky-high black criminal plague drove out the last of the white inhabitants.

The FBI’s report shows Detroit’s per capita murder rate—45 per 100,000 people—makes it more dangerous than New Orleans (41 murders per 100,000 residents); Newark, NJ (40

murders per 100,000 residents); St. Louis (38 murders per 100,000 residents); and Baltimore (37 residents per 100,000 residents). Needless to say, all of these named cities are majority black.

The per capita violent crime rate in Detroit was also higher than any other US city, according to the report.

The report measured violent crime in cities with populations of 100,000 or more. That meant that the black-dominated city of Flint, Michigan, which had a higher murder rate than any other US city in the 2012 FBI report, wasn't on the list because of population losses. The city now has a population of 99,941.

However, Michigan state statistics show that Flint reported 48 murders and 145 rapes in 2013—and that nationally, Flint was the fourth-most dangerous among 756 cities with populations greater than 50,000, just below neighboring Saginaw, which was the third most-dangerous mid-sized city in the nation.

Saginaw was third in violent crime and second in murder rates among 756 cities with populations of more than 50,000.

Black-dominated Gary, IN, had the nation's highest murder rate among cities above 50,000 but under 100,000 population.

Some of the safest cities in America are in Michigan, according to the FBI report. Sterling Heights (83.8 percent white), for example, had no murders in 2013, and violent crime there was ranked 46th among 290 cities with populations over 100,000.

Other statistics in the FBI's crime report claimed that in 2013, 68.9 percent of all individuals arrested were "white," 28.3 percent were black, and 2.9 percent were of other races. Furthermore, the FBI report claimed, "white" individuals were arrested more often for violent crimes than individuals of any other race and accounted for 58.4 percent of those arrests and that of adults arrested for murder, 52.1 percent were black, and 45.5 percent were "white."

In addition, the FBI continued, black juveniles comprised 53.3 percent of all juveniles arrested for violent crimes and “white” juveniles accounted for 59.7 percent of all juveniles arrested for property crimes. The FBI claimed further that of juveniles arrested for drug abuse violations, 73.0 percent were “white” while “white” juveniles comprised 54.4 percent of juveniles arrested for aggravated assaults.

These figures make it sound like white criminality is extremely high in the US. However, as a study of the official statistics and definitions show, the FBI practices deliberate deception in this regard in order not to reveal the full extent of nonwhite criminality.

They do this by classing all Hispanics as “whites” in the offender statistics. They do this deliberately, because when the “victim” statistics are observed, Hispanics are then differentiated out as a separate racial group.

There is only one purpose to this deception: and that is to deliberately inflate “white” crime rates so as to cover up the reality that nonwhites commit the vast majority of violent crimes in America today.

US POVERTY LINKED TO NONWHITE POPULATION PROPORTION

October 2014 US Census Bureau figures on poverty in America have confirmed that the flood of nonwhite invaders in that nation have not increased the economic well-being of the country, but have instead boosted the poverty level directly in proportion to their increase in numbers.

The Supplemental Poverty Measure report, released in mid-October—which factors in geographical housing costs, the impact of public assistance programs, and income levels based on a three-year average—reveals that the states with the highest percentages of “people in poverty” are, in order: California, 23 percent; Washington, D.C., 22 percent; Nevada, 20 percent; Arizona, 19 percent; Hawaii, 18 percent, and Louisiana, 18 percent.

In a dubious honor, California tops the nation in the absolute number of those living in poverty, in real and percentage terms. Nearly nine million residents of California—out of a total population of some 38 million, live below the official poverty line, according to the Census Bureau. The city of Los Angeles had the highest rate in the state, 26.9 percent, followed by Napa at 25.5 percent.

According to the US Census Bureau's estimates for 2013, the "white" population of California stood at 39.0 percent in that year. Non-white Hispanics, blacks, and Asians make up the rest of the population. Just fourteen years earlier, in 2000, California's 33.9 million residents were 46.6 percent white non-Hispanic.

Similarly, the official figures show that other states which have been heavily colonized by the non-white invasion—Nevada, New Mexico, Arizona, and Florida, also show increased poverty levels.

Nevada's poverty level is at 20 percent. Figures from 2013 showed that the white population of that state had dropped to around 54 percent—and that figure is likely an overestimate, given the Federal government's notorious inability to properly define race and its hopeless "categories" which include North Africans and all Middle Easterners as "Caucasian." (The US Census definition of whites reads as follows: "White—A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.")

The figures for New Mexico showed a sharp rise in the number of people living in poverty, clocking in at the country's second highest level.

Census figures indicate that 21.9 percent of New Mexico residents lived in poverty last year, up from 20.8 percent the previous year, and 15.9 percent the year before that.

According to the official 2013 population figures for New Mexico, 39.4 percent of that state's population is "white."

In Arizona, the official poverty level is also around 19 percent, the fourth highest in the country. Roughly one in five Arizonans, or 1.26 million people, are living in poverty

conditions. According to 2013 US Census Bureau figures, 56.7 percent of Arizona is “white.”

Florida has the third-highest poverty rate, at 19.1 percent. According to the 2013 Census Bureau figures, some 56.4 percent of Florida is “white.”

It is of significance that the state of Mississippi has, according to the latest figures, a poverty rate higher than New Mexico’s with 24 percent.

Official US Census Bureau figures show that the Hispanic population in Mississippi is relatively small—2.9 percent. The high poverty level in that state is therefore linked to the high black population—37.4 percent.

Similarly, Washington, DC’s poverty level is 22.4 percent. According to the latest Census Bureau statistics only some 35.8 percent of the American capital is “white.”

The same holds true for Louisiana. The US Census Bureau figures reveal that in Louisiana, 822,000 residents—or 18 percent of the population is living below the poverty level. Once again, it is the high black population—33 percent—which is the driver for this figure.

The figures are undeniable: the more non-white a region becomes, the poorer it becomes. The whiter a region, the wealthier it is.

NONWHITE CRIME APOCALYPSE ENVELOPS NEW YORK CITY

New York City, once America’s greatest and most famous metropolis, is now a crime-ridden hell where non-whites commit 95% of all murders, 90% of all rapes, 90% of Felony Sex Crimes, 96% of all robberies, 91% of all Felonious Assaults, 90% of all Grand Larceny offenses, 86% of all Misdemeanor Sex Crimes, 90% of all Misdemeanor Assault and Related offenses, 87% of all Petit Larceny offenses, 84% of all Misdemeanor Criminal Mischief offenses, 96.6% of all Shooting offenses, and constitute 90.8% of all firearm arrests, 86.3% of all Drug Felony arrests, 82.3% of all Felony Stolen Property arrests, and 94.5% of all Felony and Misdemeanor

Complaint Records with Identified Juvenile Victims, Suspects and Arrestees—all this according to official figures released by the New York City Police Department.

These astonishing figures were contained in the January 2014 “Crime and Enforcement Activity in New York City” report issued by the NYPD, which covers the dates January 1 to June 30, 2013.

The “White” statistics are, of course, distorted, as the authorities classify Middle Easterners, Arabs and others as “white” or “Caucasian” as well, in line with Federal guidelines. Once this erroneous classification is removed from the equation, the “White” crime rate will be even lower than stated. According to the report, the New York City population is divided as follows by Race/Hispanic Origin (using the Census Bureau 2012: American Community Survey ACS):

“White Non-Hispanic” 2,731,173 33.1%; Black Non-Hispanic 1,882,900 22.8%; American Indian & Alaskan Native Non-Hispanic 15,558 0.2%; Asian Pacific Islander Non-Hispanic 1,046,973 12.7%; Other Non-Hispanic 195,002 2.4%; Hispanic Origin 2,373,304 28.8%; Total Population 8,244,910.

SOMALIS IN AMERICA: A HORROR STORY OF CRIME, VIOLENCE, AND TERRORISM

The decision taken in the early 1990s by the US’s former president, Bill Clinton, to import tens of thousands of backward Somalis into the American heartland of Minnesota has turned into an entirely predictable tale of crime, violence—and terrorism. The first large-scale invasion of Somalis into Minnesota occurred after the outbreak of civil war in their country in the early 1990s.

After an abortive American military attempt to quell the uprising in Somalia (captured in film in the movie *Black Hawk Down*), the Clinton presidency decided that the primitive Somali tribesmen could be “saved” from their own self-inflicted chaos by transporting them in large numbers to what was then still the whitest part of the US.

This deliberate racial invasion—where tribesmen literally had to be taught how to use modern outlets and bathrooms before being unleashed upon the once-pristine twin cities of Minneapolis-St. Paul, has been actively pursued ever since, so that today that city is now one the largest Somali cities in the world (there is not even one of the ramshackle settlements in Somalia which masquerade as “towns” which have that many Somalis congregated together as residents).

Most of the Somalis were sent to Minnesota because a number of Christian state-based voluntary agencies (VOLAGS), namely the Lutheran Social Services, Catholic Charities, and World Relief Minnesota have formally contracted with the State Department to settle the Third Worlders in the Minneapolis-St. Paul area.

These VOLAGS provide various services to the newly-arrived foreign nationals from making housing arrangements to providing English language classes, as well as help in exploiting the welfare system. This is all done at the expense of the American taxpayer.

Current estimates of the number of Somali immigrants living in the United States vary widely, ranging from 35,760 to 150,000 persons. According to 2010 American Community Survey data, there are approximately 85,700 people with Somali ancestry in the US. Of those, about 25,000 or one third live in Minnesota. California, Washington, and Ohio have the next largest Somali communities.

Somali criminal activities in America have quickly taken their place as one of the most serious issues facing the authorities in Minnesota.

—In May 2013, a large group of Somali gang members began randomly attacking joggers on a Minneapolis trail that runs along the Mississippi River. The assailants demand no money, and the only motivation seems to be a penchant for violence. To date, all of the victims have been white.

—In November 2010, 29 Somali gang members were indicted for running an interstate sex-trafficking ring that forced Somali girls, as young as 12-years-old into prostitution.

The girls were regularly transported from the Minneapolis-St. Paul area to Nashville and Columbus, Ohio.

The *Standard-Examiner* reported: The Somali gang members, with nicknames like “Fatboy,” “Forehead” and “Pinky,” passed the girls around like chattel for sex with other gang members or with paying customers. One girl they sold for liquor. Another they pimped for a blunt. Sometimes, they picked the girls up from school, to have sex in apartments or abandoned garages or even in a rest-room stall in a suburban shopping mall.

In July 2012, Hennepin County Sheriff Rich Stanek testified before the House Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security on the threat which Somali refugees now pose to not only Minnesota, but to the entire nation.

In July 2012, Hennepin County Sheriff Rich Stanek testified before the House Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security on the threat which Somali refugees now pose to not only Minnesota, but to the entire nation. A portion of that testimony follows:

“I have been asked to testify today about the specific emergence of Somali gang related issues we are having in my county. Minnesota’s Somali population has been estimated in the range of 80,000 to 125,000 and a majority of them live in Hennepin County. Whereas the African population represented 4% in the United States in 2008, in Minnesota, Africans represent 18% of our population because Minnesota is a designated US Refugee Resettlement Area.

Typical Crimes committed by Somali Gangs include the following:

First, Credit Card Fraud: Recently, Somali gangs have committed a high volume of credit card skimming and credit card fraud. Credit card skimming is a high reward, low risk crime. The skimming is done by acquiring a skimming device, computer, and necessary software. In Minnesota we are seeing trends where gangs will recruit individuals, often restaurant employees, to perform the skimming during work hours and then give the skimming device back to the gang member.

Second, Cell Phone Store Burglaries: Cell phone stores in Minnesota also have been targeted by suspected Somali gang members where suspects smash the glass of the front doors, move to storage areas and target high-end smart phones. They can be in and out of the store in less than 2 minutes. Suspects have learned to travel to different metro areas in the United States to avoid the heightened awareness of law enforcement in certain communities.

Third, Witness Intimidation: Somali gangs readily engage in witness tampering and intimidation. In Somali culture, if a crime is committed against a family, clan, or tribe, remittances are paid to family members. Somalis in Hennepin County have continued this remittance payment practice. We've learned that victims' families often prefer to negotiate financial remittances rather than follow through with judicial prosecution. Oftentimes the payments are made directly to relatives in Somalia. This remittance payment continues to interfere in the successful prosecution of gang members.

Fourth, Gun Store Burglaries: In July of 2008, a gun store in Minnetonka, Minnesota, was burglarized; the suspects initially cut the alarm and telephone lines and waited for law enforcement response. After law enforcement and management had cleared the area, the suspects returned and committed the burglary. The suspects took 57 handguns. Through the investigation it was determined that the guns were taken by members of the Somali Outlawz. Through tracking of recovered guns, it was determined that the majority of guns were either traded or sold to other known gang members. These same guns were then used in homicides, aggravated assaults, shootings, and robberies. Twenty-seven of the stolen handguns have not yet been recovered.

Terrorism Nexus to Somali Gang Activity: In 2007, the local Somali community started to report that some of the youth in the area had essentially disappeared without warning. It was later learned that 20 young men had left Minneapolis to travel to Somalia to receive training and fight as members of al-Shabaab. One individual had moved to Minneapolis as a teenager in 1993. Following a shoplifting arrest, he fell into the violent street gang called the "Somali Hot Boyz". After a

short period of time, he emerged as a recruiter for al-Shabaab which eventually led him to leave Minneapolis for the Horn of Africa in 2008. Later, it was learned this individual was killed in fighting between al-Shabaab and Somali government forces.”

As recently as September 2013, it was announced that Federal authorities had been forced to “step up efforts to deport Somalis on Minnesota criminal court rolls.”

According to the *Star-Tribune*, the “federal government is engaging in an aggressive effort to deport Somali immigrants who run afoul of US law, after refraining for years from shipping people back to a country wracked by civil war and lacking a functioning government.

“The policy change affects more than 3,100 Somali nationals who have received final orders for removal from the United States since 2001, either because of violations of immigration law or criminal convictions. That includes 435 people who were ordered removed from the immigration court in Bloomington, representing 13 percent of all such Somali cases in the country’s 52 immigration courts.

“Until recently, they had been allowed to remain in this country despite the removal orders, living in a legal limbo, wearing ankle bracelets or under requirements to check in periodically with authorities.”

The Somali links to terrorism are also well-established, with the US’s first non-white Muslim Congressman, Keith Ellison, confirming in 2012 that the East African affiliate of Al-Qaeda was actively recruiting from the Somali community in Minnesota.

Of course, in by now standard practice, Ellison implied that whites were to blame for the Somali tendency to terrorism, claiming that “lack of integration into American society—caused by high unemployment and the expense of higher education—has made Somali-American youths more vulnerable to terrorist recruitment. “

Ellison demanded that white Americans give even more money to the Somalis in order to stop the tendency towards

terrorism, saying that “It’s important that we make sure that there [are] jobs, education, opportunity for Somali-American youth so that they will be able to say ‘no’ to these kinds of recruitments.”

The story of Somalis in America has proven once again that changing the environment of Third Worlders has not stopped them being Third World—as if race and culture could be discarded like an overcoat at immigration control.

CHAPTER TEN: AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND

1. AUSTRALIA

Australia's population had grown to 21 million in 2007, up nearly two million from 2002. Almost all of this growth was from immigration, an increasing amount from the Third World.

Traditionally, immigration to Australia was from Europe (in particularly Britain, Ireland and to a smaller degree, the Netherlands and Greece).

However, in the last two decades of the 20th Century, increasing numbers of Asians and Middle Easterners took advantage of Australia's immigration system to settle there.

During the 2006 census, 1,696,568 Australian residents declared that they had ancestral backgrounds from Central Asia, South Asia, Southeast Asia or East Asia, either alone or in combination with one other ancestry. This represents about 8.1 percent of the total population. More than two-thirds of Asians in Australia live in the two largest cities of Sydney and Melbourne.

Increased Third World population is aggravated by the fact that the Australian Bureau of Statistics has confirmed that the 'general' (i.e. the white) Australian population is aging and birth rates remain low.

ASIAN IMMIGRATION SWAMPING CITIES

Between 1984 and 1995, 40 percent of all migrants entering Australia were of Asian origin. In August 2003, a newspaper report revealed that 14 percent of the residents of Perth, one of Australia's largest cities, were born in Southeast Asia.

Over half of the population of inner city suburbs such as Highgate and East Perth (well known Vietnamese enclaves) were born outside Australia. At current levels, demographic

trends are that Australia will be at least 27 percent Asian by 2025.

HIGH MIDDLE EASTERN MUSLIM IMMIGRATION

It is not only Asia which has provided much of the Third World immigration into Australia. Large numbers of Middle Easterners—from countries as diverse as Lebanon, Afghanistan and other Arab nations have moved to that country, bringing with them a not insignificant Muslim presence.

During the year 2004-05, a total 123,424 people immigrated to Australia. Of them, 17,736 were from Africa, 54,804 from Asia, 21,131 from Oceania, 18,220 from the United Kingdom, 1,506 from South America and 2,369 from Eastern Europe.

In 2005-06, 131,000 people migrated to Australia and the migration target for 2006-07 was 144,000. The population of Australia is growing at a rate of 1.4 percent per year. The state of Victoria, as one example, was, in 2007, experiencing a population growth rate of 1.6 percent—or about 1500 people a week.

According to the Australian Bureau of Statistics, in mid-2006 there were 4,956,863 residents in Australia who were born outside Australia, representing 24 percent of the Australian resident population.

This figure included people born in the following countries:

China (Excluding Hong Kong, Taiwan and Macau), 279,447; Vietnam, 180,352; India, 153,579; Philippines, 135,619; Malaysia, 103,947; Lebanon, 86,599; Sri Lanka, 70,908; Indonesia, 67,952; Fiji, 58,815; Pakistan, 19,768; and Bangladesh, 13,751.

All in all, counting immigration from Asia and the Middle East, and discounting the still numerically insignificant Aboriginal population, Australia's Third World element in 2008 was estimated to be slightly in excess of ten percent of the country's total population.

During 2007, some 332,000 people were added to the Australian population, a record in the history of the country.

Based on various assumptions about Australia's future fertility, life expectancy and net overseas migration, Australia's population is projected by the Australian Bureau of Statistics to grow to between 24.9 million and 33.4 million by 2051.

WHITE MINORITY IN AUSTRALIA BEFORE 2060

Given Third World reproduction and the natural shrinkage of the First World population, Australia will be close to a Third World majority population well before 2060.

CRIME AND NONWHITE IMMIGRATION

The social and economic cost of this Third World immigration is steadily increasing. A 2006 study from the Australian National University, authored by a Vietnamese immigrant, found a direct correlation between a rise in violent crimes against people and the increased Asian immigration rate.

Lebanese criminal gangs in particular have dominated headlines in Australia, and criminal Lebanese gang behavior sparked off the famous Cronulla Beach riots in 2005, where masses of white Australians came out in force to protest a series of unprovoked non-white attacks on white beach lifeguards.

Lebanese gang rapes on white Australian girls dominated Sydney headlines in 2001. Over a year and a half period, gangs of Lebanese gang-raped at least 50 victims in Sydney's southwestern suburbs.

According to New South Wales Police Commissioner Peter Ryan, the series of attacks was a new and shocking phenomenon "without precedent in Australian criminology." He also admitted that the "tension in the city's west" was to some extent a by-product of Sydney's huge recent immigration.

"This is the largest immigrant population of mixed races in the world. It's going to be extraordinarily difficult to settle

that melting pot down”, he said.

Increased African immigration—largely driven by government policy to accept tens of thousands of refugees from the Sudan and other war-ridden areas of Africa—has also created African ghettos in some large Australian cities.

Their high crime rates and other social delinquencies were the cause of a political uproar in 2006. At that time, the Australian government decided to limit the number of African refugees granted asylum because “they are having trouble adjusting to Australian life,” according to the then minister of immigration, Kevin Andrews.

He told reporters, “African immigrants are having problems integrating with Australian society. We do have a responsibility to the Australian community to ensure that when people come to Australia they’re able to adequately settle in this country. We have detected that there have been additional challenges in relation to some of the people that have come from Africa over the last few years.” He then stated that Africans “have on average lower levels of education than almost any other group of refugees that have come to Australia.” Andrews also cited concerns about race-based gangs, and “reports of a developing trend of young African males congregating in parks at night, often to consume alcohol.”

LEGAL IMMIGRATION AVENUES

Other avenues are being exploited to bring all manner of Third Worlders to Australia, in spite of that country’s much vaunted ‘points-based immigration system.’ One of them is the chain migration by marriage system. In 2007, 2782 Indian brides, sponsored overwhelmingly by men of Indian birth, arrived in Australia. Eleven years previously, just 434 Indian brides were sponsored—meaning there has been a six-fold increase. This does not include Chinese entering Australia by the same route. In 2007, 2409 Chinese women and 1243 Chinese men entered the country, along with 1158 Filipino women and 318 Filipino men.

Visas issued under the spouse and fiancée program have grown from 25,500 in 1996-97 to 39,931 in 2007-08. What this means is that large numbers of new emigrants have not qualified under any skills testing scheme.

THIRD WORLD IMMIGRATION CAUSES HOUSING SHORTAGE

This unplanned increase in immigration created a housing shortage in Sydney. According to a 2008 newspaper report, the soaring immigration rate means Sydney's suburbs will need to squeeze in a third more apartment blocks and houses than councils and government planners first thought, on top of already ambitious development targets laid out in the State Government's 25-year city growth plan.

The Metropolitan Strategy—Sydney's blueprint for development—estimated in 2005 that the city would need an extra 640,000 dwellings by 2031 but a co-author of the report admitted that up to 876,640 will actually be needed. Across the rest of the country, projections now are that Australia needs to build an extra 40,000 new homes a year simply to cope with current demand.

VIETNAMESE CRIMINAL GANGS TAKE HOLD

For over a decade there has been concern expressed about the growth in Australia of organized criminal activity by Vietnamese gangs. For example, a 1988 media report stated: "Criminal gangs in the Vietnamese community are increasingly heavily armed, are moving into drugs and gambling, establishing links with Australian crime figures, and becoming involved in standover rackets in their own community."

A 1991 media report quoted an unnamed police source as saying that Vietnamese groups were mainly involved in crimes including murder, extortion, robbery and petty drug dealing. Gangs such as Full-Blooded Islanders, Viets in Defence and Brothers for Life were becoming more violent, police sources said.

AFRICAN GANGS IN SYDNEY

In addition, police said African gangs were moving into the Sydney central business district, in particular Crown Casino and Southbank Boulevard. The gangs, armed with anything from machetes, samurai swords and knives, to baseball bats and batons, were also becoming more violent.

“It’s been luck more than good management that no one’s been seriously injured,” said Detective Sergeant Paul Lunt, of the Region 3 robbery taskforce, in a local newspaper article.

Another police source said African youth gangs in the western suburbs were becoming more violent. “A lot of African refugees are housed there,” he said.

Youth worker Les Twentyman said the threat of jail was no deterrent to youths in African and Vietnamese gangs. “Prison would be like Buckingham Palace compared to where they’ve been—refugee camps and the like,” he said.

Although there are only about 5,000 Somalis in Perth, they are responsible for a mini crime wave, having introduced violent carjacking to that city. The perpetrators, mostly with links to the African Kings, a dangerous criminal gang, have carried out a series of violent carjackings, assaults and robberies.

For example, Somali refugee Abdirak Mohmd Hassan was jailed for seven years after being convicted in the Supreme Court of Western Australia on 28 May 2004 over a series of violent carjackings, including two knife-point attacks committed between October 13 and 25, 2002. In sentencing, Justice McKechnie said Hassan’s carjacking crimes indicated a disturbing pattern of criminality “largely alien to our society.”

Australian Federal Police Commissioner Mick Palmer warned in March 2001 that ethnic gangs involved in drug trafficking were responsible for a surge in crime, particularly violent crime in Australia. “The greater use of hand guns and knives,” Mr Palmer said, “is a reflection of behavior in the countries from where they came.”

He was referring to the Sydney gang rapes, where a series of gang attacks by a group of up to fourteen Lebanese men, led

by Bilal Skaf, attacked at least 50 white girls, some as young as 14, in Sydney during the previous year.

In court transcripts, Judge Michael Finnane mused that the rapes were events “you hear about or read about only in the context of wartime atrocities.”

POLICE OFFICIALS FIRED FOR REVEALING NONWHITE CRIME WAVE

Commissioner Palmer was on the point of retiring when he spoke out, and had no fear of losing his job. Former New South Wales detective Tim Priest, however, caused a storm by breaking a widespread police code of silence over Third World immigrant crime rates in 2004.

In an article published by the Australian literary and cultural journal *Quadrant* in that year, Priest—who was forced from his job as a result—lifted the lid from the inside out on the massive Third World criminality which is steadily engulfing many Australian cities.

“I believe that the rise of Middle Eastern organized crime in Sydney will have an impact on society unlike anything we have ever seen,” Priest wrote, pointing out that it was in the mid-1990s when the “emergence of Middle Eastern crime groups was first observed in New South Wales. Before then they had been largely known for individual acts of anti-social behavior and loose family structures involved in heroin importation and supply as well as motor vehicle theft and conversion.

“A generation of young criminals emerged, to become engaged in more sophisticated crimes, such as extortion, armed robbery, organized narcotics importation and supply, gun running, organized factory and warehouse break-ins, car theft and conversion on a massive scale including the exporting of stolen luxury vehicles to Lebanon and other Middle Eastern countries.

“But even more frustrating for operational police were the activities of this ethnic crime group; activities that set it apart from almost all others bar the Cabramatta 5T,” he continued. “The Lebanese groups were ruthless, extremely violent, and

they intimidated not only innocent witnesses, but even the police that attempted to arrest them. So pervasive is (Middle Eastern crime gangs) influence on organized crime that rival ethnic groups, with the exception of the Asian gangs, have been squeezed out or made extinct.

“The most influential of the Middle Eastern crime groups are the Muslim males of Telopea Street, Bankstown, known as the Telopea Street Boys.

“They and their associates have been involved in numerous murders over the past five years, many of them unprovoked fatal attacks on young Australian men for no other reason than that they are ‘Skips’, as they call Australians.

“They have been involved in all manner of crime on a scale we have never seen before. Ram-raids on expensive stores in the city are epidemic.

“The theft of expensive motor vehicles known as carjacking is increasing at an alarming rate. The vehicles are always around or above the \$100,000 mark and are believed to be taken to warehouses before being shipped interstate or to the Middle East.

“What sets the Middle Eastern gangs apart from all other gangs is their propensity to use violence at any time and for any reason,” Priest continued.

“I thought I would never see the level and type of violence that I saw with the Southeast Asian gangs in Cabramatta, particularly the 5T, the Four Aces and Madonna’s Mob, which were a breakaway from the old 5T.

“The Middle Eastern cycle of violence is not local. It can occur on the central coast, around Cronulla, Bondi, Darling Harbour, Five Dock, Redfern, Paddington, anywhere in Sydney.

“Unlike their Vietnamese counterparts, they roam the city and are not confined to either Cabramatta or Chinatown.

“The extent to which Middle Eastern crime gangs have moved into the drug market is breathtaking. They are now the main suppliers of cocaine in this city and are now developing

markets in southeastern Queensland and Victoria. They are major suppliers of heroin in and around the inner city, southwestern Sydney and western Sydney.

NONWHITE RAPE EPIDEMIC AGAINST WHITE FEMALES

Priest continued to reveal the extent of the nonwhite rape epidemic against white females: “And even more alarming is that the violence is directed mainly against young Australian men and women. There is a clear and definite link between violent attacks on our young men and women being racial as well as criminal. Quite often when taking statements from young men attacked by groups of Lebanese males around Darling Harbour, a common theme has been the racially motivated violence against the victims simply because they are Australian.

“The problems in Paris in Muslim communities are being replicated here in Sydney at an alarming rate. Paris has seen an explosion of rapes committed by Middle Eastern males on French women in the past fifteen years. The rapes are almost identical to those in Sydney.

“They are not only committed for sexual gratification but also with deep racial undertones along with threats of violence and retribution. What is more alarming is the identical reaction by some sections of the media and criminologists in France of downplaying the significance of race as an issue and even ganging up on those people who try to draw attention to the widening gulf between Middle Eastern youth and the rest of French society.

“That is what we are seeing here. The usual suspects come out of their institutions and libraries to downplay and even cover up the growing problem of Middle Eastern crime. Why? My opinion, for what it’s worth is that these same social engineers have attempted to redefine our society. They have experimented with all manner of institutions, from prisons to mental institutions and recently to policing.

“The Middle Eastern crime groups and their associates number in the thousands, not the hundreds as the government

and senior police would have you believe. It is the biggest crime problem we have ever faced, and it is growing.

“Hardly a day goes past without some violent crime involving a ‘male of Middle Eastern appearance’, though I see lately that description is watered down now to include ‘and/or Mediterranean appearance’. To an operational policeman, there is a noticeable difference between an Italian and a Lebanese male.

“That these groups of males can roam a city and assault, rob and intimidate at will can no longer be denied or excused. You need only to look at Paris and other European countries that have had mass immigration from Middle Eastern countries to see the sort of problems we can expect in years to come.

“My prediction is that within ten years, Middle Eastern crime groups will spread rapidly across Australia as they seek to expand their enterprises. There will be no go areas in southwestern Sydney, just like Paris.

“We have seen in the past three years the phenomenon of drive-by shootings, Los Angeles style. Not only are the increasing incidents a major cause of concern, but also the use of automatic weapons that spray hundreds of rounds at their targets. This is virtually unprecedented in this country.

“In many ways, what we are seeing is the copying of Los Angeles gangs: the Crips, the Bloods and others. The motor vehicles, the music, the dress codes, the haircuts, the weaponry and the attitudes towards authority are almost identical. These gangs in Los Angeles have been around for nearly thirty years and a culture has grown around them. The culture surrounding the Middle Eastern gangs is still in its infancy but the transition is not far away.

“There is also the serious possibility that some of these Middle Eastern youth that are engaged in organized crime and have no regard for our values and way of life may go a step further and engage in terrorist acts against Australia. The ingredients are there already.”

2. NEW ZEALAND

For most of the 20th Century, the small island state of New Zealand had a First World population element of some 85 percent, with the rest being made up of indigenous Maori people.

This remained the case until the last two decades of that century, when large numbers of immigrants from Third World countries took advantage of immigration quotas set up by successive governments, to settle permanently in that country.

In June 2008, the official government count of the total population of New Zealand was 4.27 million. The population had grown by 40,300, or one percent, in the previous calendar year. Of the 2008 population, some 68 percent were of First World extraction.

WHITES LESS THAN 60% OF POPULATION BY 2026

At current rates of immigration, the First World element of New Zealand's population is projected to drop to less than 60 percent by 2026. As the Maori element of the population, in percentage terms, will not increase dramatically, the vast majority of the increase in non-European population will come from immigration. In June 2006, Statistics New Zealand (SNZ), the official government census bureau, estimated that the Asian population would grow from 400,000 to at least 790,000 by 2026. Calculated from 2001 to 2021, SNZ said, the Asian population is set to increase by 145 percent from an estimated 270,000 to 670,000.

The rapid growth of the Asian population is mainly driven by migration, with a net inflow of about 240,000 migrants assumed over the 20-year projection period. Natural increase (births minus deaths) will account for about 160,000 of the projected Asian population growth.

New Zealand's Pacific (Oceania-origin) population is projected to reach 480,000 by 2026, an increase of 180,000 (2.4 percent a year) over the 2006 estimate of 300,000.

The growth of the Maori and Pacific populations is driven by births, which can be attributed to their higher fertility rates and a young age structure. The latter also provides a built-in momentum for future growth. By

comparison, the slower growth of the 'European or Other' population largely reflects lower fertility rates and an older age structure.

The age spread amongst the population will, however, radically change matters after 2026. According to the 2001 census projections, by 2021 European children will make up 63 percent of all New Zealand children, compared with 74 percent in 2001.

Even more dramatically, the 2001 census projections claimed that by 2050, some 57 percent of all New Zealand children will have Maori or Pacific ancestry, while 68 percent will be non-European.

Indigenous Maori people are the largest non-European ethnic group, accounting for 14.6 percent of the population in the 2006 census. People identifying with Asian ethnic groups account for 9.2 percent of the population, increasing from 6.6 percent in the 2001 census, while 6.9 percent of people are of Pacific Island origin.

Almost two thirds of the overall growth in the 'new immigrant' population since 1986 has come from Asia. The 2006 census showed the number of people of Asian descent had increased by 48.9 percent since 2001. This dramatic increase has seen those of Asian ethnicity overtake Pacific peoples as the third largest ethnic group, and demographers believe those of Asian ethnicity will overtake Maori as the second largest ethnicity within 20 years.

Recent immigration has also had a significant impact on the makeup of New Zealand's cities. More than half of all new immigrants were living in the Auckland area, where one in three residents was born overseas.

Given the increased immigration rates, natural indigenous and immigrant Third World birth rates and continued First World population decline, it is therefore likely that New Zealand will lose its majority First World population status before the year 2050. New Zealand has enjoyed relative immunity from illegal immigration because of its geographic isolation and distance from source countries. In the 1998-1999

year, only some 2,000 claims for refugee status were received, and all of these arrived via scheduled airline flights. This number was up from 600 refugee claimants five years earlier.

THIRD WORLD CRIME RATES IN NEW ZEALAND

The effects on New Zealand society of this growing Third World immigrant population can be seen, even if they are not yet as marked as in Australia. According to an official report by the New Zealand police, the following organized crime syndicates are already active in that country:

“Asian Groups—Asia has been the birthplace of a number of secret societies and crime groups such as the Triads in China and Hong Kong, the Yakuza or Boryokudan in Japan. Vietnamese crime groups and other criminal gangs of smaller immigrant communities also exist. Common Asian crime group offenses are extortion, drug trafficking, identity fraud and people smuggling.

“West African Organized Crime—West African organized crime groups have primarily originated in Ghana and Nigeria and are notorious for their involvement in large-scale fraud scams and the movement of illicit drugs. In recent years their activities have also involved other criminal activities such as people smuggling and firearms smuggling. These groups have worked advanced fee fraud scams in New Zealand, and have been involved in importing illicit drugs.

“South American Drug Cartels—With the rapid rise in the popularity of cocaine worldwide, the significance and influence of the South American drug cartels has increased. The wealth and corrupting power of these groups has caused international law enforcement to concentrate significant resources into combating these groups and the drugs they proliferate,” said the police report. There are enough Asians already present in Auckland, New Zealand’s biggest city, to embolden them to publicly threaten the government that they would “recruit Triad gang members for a vigilante force to help protect them against a crime wave.”

According to an Auckland newspaper of 6 July 2008, about 10,000 Asians staged a protest march through the suburb

of Botany Downs demanding more police and tougher sentences for criminals following three homicides of ethnic Asians the previous month.

Two of the murder victims were Chinese immigrants and the third a Sikh liquor store owner shot dead in a burglary. Organizer Peter Low, 55, who emigrated to New Zealand from Singapore 21 years previously, told reporters Asian immigrants had lost confidence in police to protect them.

He said if the government and police did not act, the community would take their own measures, including hiring Triad members. Low told Auckland's TV3 News, "Money can buy anything, mate. Just imagine we employ them as our personal security."

CHAPTER ELEVEN: WHAT MUST BE DONE

The review of First World nations as conducted in the previous chapters of this book would indicate a dire future for those nations. It would seem that given current rates of immigration, Third World population element birth rates, and declining First World birth rates, all Western nations are set for extinction under a wave of non-white immigration, well before the end of the 21st Century.

Not even Eastern Europe, common sense tells us, is likely to long survive the demographic swamping of Western Europe.

Once the taxpayer base in Western Europe is extinguished, or reduced to a tiny minority, and no longer able to generate the benefits which draw Third World immigration, it seems inevitable that they will turn towards those Eastern European states as the last source of plenty.

The United States of America and Canada are already perilously close to demographic disaster, yet their First World populations seem to be spellbound, sleepwalking their way to extinction as Third World immigration increases exponentially year upon year.

Australia and New Zealand fare no better: Massive Asian immigration threatens to turn those countries into colonies of the Indian subcontinent or China before the end of the century as well.

IS ALL LOST?

It seems that all is lost—or is it? The dire situation could easily be halted and reversed. The physical means to do so are available. After all, if First World nations could put men on the moon more than 30 years ago, or create linked worldwide computers which transmit information around the globe in milliseconds, then surely preserving their own national integrities should be a walk in the park.

No, it is not physically impossible to reverse major population flows by a combination of strict border control, humane repatriation programs and immigration laws.

Even the United States of America has, in its recent past, warded off and reversed a major population invasion from Mexico. Using the codename ‘Operation Wetback’, the United States Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) removed at least four million illegal immigrants from that country in 1954. They did not even have to arrest that many. Official figures show that only 130,000 Mexican nationals were actually deported, and the rest repatriated themselves once word got out that their invasion was no longer tolerated.

It is therefore not even a major military operation which is required to halt and reverse the immigration invasion. In fact, it would probably be less of an effort for either the United Kingdom or America to deploy its troops on its own borders, than it would be to invade countries like Iraq or Afghanistan.

Operation Wetback was an entirely humane undertaking, which benefited the US economy greatly by protecting American jobs and wages from the ravages of ‘cheap’ immigrant labor and the destructive influence of Third World social ills—all of which are evident in many parts of that country today, for exactly the same reasons.

HOW THIRD WORLD NATIONS DEAL WITH ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION

Third World nations themselves have no such compunctions about trying to repatriate immigrant invasion in such a humane manner. Nigeria summarily expelled just over one million Ghanaian immigrants in early 1983, and another 300,000 in 1985—by simply dumping them unceremoniously over the border.

In October 2008, Pakistan ordered the deportation of about 50,000 Afghan refugees from an insurgency-infiltrated tribal region amid a major military offensive against al Qaida and Taliban fighters. The Pakistani government said it was expelling all Afghan refugees in the Bajur tribal region and was bulldozing their houses to keep them from returning.

Mexico, the source of vast numbers of illegal aliens in America, has its own strictly enforced immigration laws, and would not for a minute tolerate 13 million Americans, or anybody else, just moving into its territory. Mexico, which annually deports more illegal aliens than the United States does, has laws which make it a serious criminal offence to be in that country without official permission. Even those in that country legally, as naturalized Mexican citizens born in another country, are banned from holding thousands of different jobs.

In the United States, the only jobs reserved for native-born Americans are the presidency and the vice-presidency. Yet in Mexico, foreign-born Mexicans cannot hold seats in either the congress or the Supreme Court; they cannot work in state legislatures or hold governorships, and many states even ban them from sitting on town councils. Mexico's Constitution states that all federal, military, or merchant marine posts can only be given to 'native-born Mexicans.'

The government of Mexico has made immigration laws even stricter over the past few years. Non-Mexicans are legally barred from holding local jobs such as firefighters, police, or judges. The hypocrisy is staggering.

Pakistan, a country which has demanded the right to export millions of its nationals to all manner of Western nations, itself has strict laws about who it lets into its borders. According to the 1951 Pakistan Citizenship Act, foreigners or aliens are described as individuals who are 'not citizens of Pakistan.' Thus, entry into the country will require a passport, travel documents, and a visa regardless of the purpose of the visit.

Visitors to Pakistan who have stayed more than 30 days are required to report and register at the nearest Foreigners' Registration Office. Israeli nationals are not allowed by the Government of Pakistan to enter at all, even for transit. Afghanistan foreign nationals are not allowed to enter the country if their passports or tickets provide evidence of boarding or transit in India. An individual transgressing any of

these rules is imprisoned and deported upon completion of sentence.

Saudi Arabia, the home of Islam, and one of the greatest financiers behind the building of the ever growing numbers of mosques in the United Kingdom, is very openly a non-immigration country. It is possible to get a work visa in Saudi Arabia, but never permanent residence. All workers have to leave after a certain period of time.

China, which is busy colonizing the far east of Russia, and which has sent millions of its nationals to almost every Western nation, is very decidedly a non-immigration country, and does not even have any laws which allow for immigration. Foreigners are only granted temporary visas and must leave upon the expiry of their permits.

And so the list goes on and on. Almost without exception, each and every Third World nation which demands the right to export millions of its own population to western nations, would never allow the situation to be reversed. It would never occur to any of these nations to allow mass immigration into their own lands, for the obvious disturbance that would bring—yet they, and their liberal collaborators in the West, are the first to condemn any attempt by First World nations to impose identical measures.

There is therefore no moral reason at all for Western nations to endlessly absorb immigrants from the Third World. A strict immigration policy, designed to preserve the character and identity of a particular nation, is also perfectly in line with accepted international laws and existing practice. Finally, there is also no physical reason why such a policy cannot be implemented. On the contrary, the creation and implementation of such a policy is in fact one of the easiest tasks to maintain—so easy that even backward Third World nations can do it.

The only, and this bears repeating, the only reason why Western nations tolerate this immigration invasion, which will, if left unchecked, utterly destroy those nations forever, is a lack of political willpower.

It is this stumbling block alone which stands between determining whether the West lives or dies. Currently, the ruling elite in almost all First World nations does not have the political will to take a stand in defense of the maintenance of Western Civilization. The simple truth is that they must be replaced by those with the political will to do what is necessary.

EUROPEANS HAVE A DUTY TO ORGANIZE POLITICALLY

This means that all Europeans, and European-origin nations in North America, Australia and New Zealand, who understand the importance and significance of the political issues at hand, have a moral duty to organize politically and take power from the current ruling elite.

European patriots of all these nations must organize themselves into properly constituted political movements, which must stand for office. This means creating, in each and every one of the First World nations, a viable, electable, respectable modern nationalist party. Many Western European nations already have such parties, and have had varying degrees of success.

In America, there was, as of 2008, a criminal lack of such a coherent political strategy. There are countless movements, magazines, study groups, and organizations in existence in America, all of which claim to be ‘working for the interests of white people,’ but almost none of them actually ever do anything. Handing out a few leaflets by itself is simply not good enough. Publishing a magazine by itself is simply not good enough. Holding the odd conference to ‘meet, eat and retreat’ is not good enough. Direct political activism is the only answer, and those who refuse to engage in it are betraying their people and their nation.

Although the following remarks are directed specifically at existing American organizations, the same principles apply to activists in all First World nations. To create a successful, modern, nationalist movement which actually hopes to influence the political process, activists will have to

understand that clinging to lost-cause ideologies and symbols of 70 years ago, or even back to the time of the *post-bellum* Confederate South, is a dead-end street.

Activists who choose that sterile path must accept that they will forever be consigned to the political fringe, and will remain there in impotence and derision as their nations die around them.

Modern, 21st Century nationalism must divorce itself from the obsession with World War II-era political movements, sheets, burning crosses or any of the large number of bizarre by-products, including the grossly negative and destructive 'skinhead' culture and music. Political power is dependent upon being modern, normal and attractive, not upon being freakish and as ugly as possible.

If those who cling to these negative stereotypes refuse to leave their historical necrophilia obsessions behind, and mature up for the real struggle for survival, then they must be cast aside and left to shrink into obscurity.

Real 21st Century nationalism requires dynamic thinking, and an ability to adapt to the situations and times as they appear.

In France, the Front National has shown the potential for many decades, while in Austria, the 30 percent vote polled by pro-Austrian nationalist parties in the 2008 elections show that it is feasible to come to the very cusp of power.

Denmark's Danish Peoples' Party, Norway's Progress Party, Switzerland's Swiss Peoples' Party, Belgium's Vlaams Belang, and many others, have all either actually played roles in determining immigration reform policy in their nations, or appear to be heading in that direction. They have all shown that it is possible to achieve political breakthroughs, even in the face of hostile media coverage.

This is only possible if the political program, behavior and appearance presented to the electorate is fair, reasonable and acceptable. To achieve this, racial hatred of any sort must be expunged. This is not for window-dressing purposes. No normal person hates any other person because of the color of

their skin, religion or origin. Anyone who does is not normal, and needs to be expelled from any normal political movement.

THE NEED FOR AN EUROPEAN ETHNOSTATE

Given current demographic tendencies in many western nations—the US and Canada in particular, but also possibly many Western European nations—conventional political activity may not be able to reverse the processes currently underway.

Thus a prudent political activist who seeks to preserve European identity and civilization must also give serious consideration to the promotion of the concept of an European ethnostate—a geographic area in which a European homeland could be established, just as, for example, the Jews have done through the creation of the state of Israel.

Ideally, such an European ethnostate would not make all the mistakes that the Jews did—and continue to do—in creating their ethnostate, but the basic concept remains the same.

The process required to create such an ethnostate are not as far-fetched or as impossible as it might first appear, but the depth of explanation required to formulate it, and to specify territories, tactics and strategies, is too detailed to discuss here at the end of this book. For that purpose, the reader is directed to the work *Nova Europa: European Survival Strategy in a Darkening World* (Ostara Publications, 2014).

Suffice to say here that, short of either a political revolution in an existing nation, or the creation of an European ethnostate, the very existence of Western civilization is at stake. If the demographic processes outlined in this book continue unabated, then the very existence of all European people is in grave danger.

THE CHOICE: SEPARATION OR EXTINCTION

This is the great challenge facing all First World patriots in all First World nations. The potential to effect political change, peacefully and democratically, lies open before them. Only they will determine if they are prepared to take up the

challenge. Only they will determine if the European-origin peoples of the world will stake their claim to existence or not.

Only they will lay out their claim, which is freely granted to every other nation on earth: the right to be themselves in their own lands. This is, without doubt, the most simple, reasonable and moderate demand which any people can make, and does not impinge upon anyone else's rights. It is decent, normal and right.

Recommended Reading:

Nova Europa: European Survival Strategy in a Darkening World

By Arthur Kemp. Given current demographic trends, European people will first become an outright minority in their own lands within the next thirty years. Thereafter, they will become a tiny minority, and shortly after that, will vanish completely under a flood of further immigration and miscegenation.

“Traditional” political activity has failed to stem the tide, and the time has come to consider an alternative strategy which will preserve Europeans in the post-Western age. This book describes the steps required to attain a practical solution, namely a European ethnostate, divorced from “white supremacy” over others.

Drawing upon history and two practical examples (the Afrikaner town of Orania and the Zionist state of Israel), the author forms an coherent argument for the creation of, at first, local European communities, and then eventually, the creation of a European ethnostate arising from the chaos of a Third Worldized globe. It includes detailed steps and territorial proposals.

It is a positive, practical, answer to the crisis facing European man and which, if implemented, might provide his only salvation.

Why is it that only the European people are under threat in this way?

What is different about the other peoples of the earth, that they are not under threat of extinction in this manner?

The answer is simple, and obvious: it is because all the other people of the earth have homelands, or heartlands, where they can maintain their racial integrity and identity, no matter what else happens elsewhere in the world.

No matter how much the miscegenation process continues elsewhere, China will always be Chinese. Japan will always be Japanese. Africa will always be African.

Why therefore, is there no European heartland, in which European people are a majority, and in which they have the inalienable right to maintain their racial identity and integrity?

European people need a heartland, a homeland, just like any other people on earth.

Nova Europa: Contents

Chapter 1: The Premise

Race Is Reality—The Myth of “European Colonial Debt”—The Legal Right to Self Determination—The Moral Right to Self-Determination—Self Determination for Europeans Is the Opposite of White Supremacism—Case Study: Indian Self-Determination and That Nation’s Racially-Based Immigration Laws—Ethnostates Are a Universal Right—The Continued Existence of a Culture Is Dependent upon the Continued Existence of Its Founding People—Case Study: The Racial Demographic Displacement of the American Indians

Chapter 2: The Historical Background and the Current Reality

Non-Europeans the First Colonizers of Europe—Renaissance Sparks Age of Exploration—Decolonization and Recolonization of Europe—Third World Immigration—Facts and Figures—Russia’s Demographics in 2010—Eastern European Demographics—Ukraine, Belarus, and Moldavia

Chapter 3: Can Europeans Survive?

The Democratic Participation Route—Can Democratic Participation succeed?—Demographic Displacement in European Heartlands—Strict Control of the U.S. Democratic Process by Powerful Lobbies and Interest Groups—Is it Worthwhile Pursuing Democratic Participation? Yes!—Need to Consider all Options—The Three Alternatives which Must be Considered—Realism and Presumptions—Sixty Years of Failure—Whites Have Lost Political Power in the U.S.—Not

All Whites Can, Or Should Be, Saved—The Psychological Rubicon Which Must be Crossed

Chapter 4: Moral, Legal, and Historical Justification for a European Homeland

The Right of All Racial Groups to a Homeland—The Tibetan Peoples' Right to a Racial Homeland—The United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues (UNPFII)—UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples—World Bank Definition of Indigenous Peoples—The Definition of an Indigenous People—A European Homeland Is Morally, Legally, and Historically Justified—The Historical Process is No Justification for Present Day Dispossession—Is Western Europe not already a European homeland?

Chapter 5: Case Study 1—Orania

Orania Founded in 1991—Majority Occupation Critical—Orania's Steady Growth—Sunday Times Reviews Orania's Development—Moving Toward Creating a State—The Lessons from Orania

Chapter 6: Case Study 2—Zionism and the State of Israel

The Originator of the Zionist Ideal: Herzl's Der Judenstaat—Rationale for the Demand for a Jewish State—Herzl Outlined How Emigration Should Take Place—How Anti-Semitism Serves as a "Push Factor"—Argentina or Palestine?—Jewish Company to Drive the Colonization Plan—Herzl's Achievements—First Zionist Congress—Later Zionist Congresses—Balfour Declaration—The Palestine Zionist Executive—Zionists Launch Terrorist Campaign to Drive British and Palestinians Out—United Nations Partitions Palestine and Creates Israel—Israel's Racially-Based Immigration Law—The Lessons from Israel

Chapter 7: The Plan and Its Implementation

Europeans Never So Close to Extermination as Now—Mindless Masses Cannot be Saved—Nature's Amoral Code of Conduct—The Coming Population Bottleneck—The Practical Implications: Only a Minority Can be Saved—A Planned and Structured Approach—Colonization Company—Local

Authorities First—Realism Extends to Long-Term Prospects and Defense—Propaganda and Presentation—Further Developments

Chapter 8: The Territory

Previous Case Studies—The Preconditions for a Successful Target Area—Problems and Answers—Option One: Selecting Small Regions in Existing States—Option Two: A Direct Approach to Demographically Suitable and Receptive Nation States—Final Decision on Territory Open-Ended and to be Decided by the “Europeanists”

Chapter 9: Conclusion

The Naysayers—What is the Alternative?—The Form of the State—Summary—A Noble Goal.

Available from ostarapublications.com or on Amazon.